

Bail Benchbook



September 2026

Contents

CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION TO BAIL	11
CHAPTER 2 – MAGISTRATES COURT BAIL.....	16
2.1 Power of court to grant bail (Section 8)	20
Person in custody.....	20
Which court should consider application?	21
Application after committal.....	21
2.2 Duty of court to grant bail (Section 9).....	21
Bail can only be ‘enlarged’ in circumstances where bail has previously been granted.	22
2.3 When bail should be refused (Section 16(1)).....	22
2.4 Assessing unacceptable risk (Section 16(2)).....	22
Assessment of risk involves a balancing exercise in the exercise of the discretion.	24
2.5 Procedure upon application for bail (Section 15)	24
2.6 When a defendant must ‘show cause’ (Section 16(3))	24
2.7 Comprehensive table of ‘show cause’ provisions in s.16(3) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	28
2.8 Applications for bail conducted remotely	29
CHAPTER 3 – CONDITIONS OF BAIL	31
3.1 Unnecessarily onerous conditions prohibited (Section 11(1))	33
3.2 The court is required to consider conditions for release on bail in particular sequence (Section 11(1))	34
3.3 Mandatory conditions of an undertaking (Section 20).....	35
TABLE 3.3.2: Bail following being committed for trial	36
TABLE 3.3.5: Bail subject to an undertaking containing a passport surrender condition	38
3.4 Discretionary special conditions of an undertaking (Section 11(2)).....	39
3.4.1 Special conditions must not be more onerous than necessary.....	40
3.5 Discretionary special conditions specifically provided for in the <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	40
TABLE 3.5.2: Special Condition – Passport surrender	42
TABLE 3.5.4: Special Condition – Wearing of a tracking device	43
3.6 Standardised bail conditions	45
Standardised Bail Conditions are set out in Annexure A.....	45
3.7 Special conditions involving a surety	45
3.7.1 Assessing the suitability of a surety	45

3.7.2	Discharge from liability with respect to bail undertaking (Section 23 – 25).....	47
3.7.3	Offence of indemnifying surety (Section 26)	48
3.8	Forms	48
CHAPTER 4 – VARIATION OR REVOCATION OF BAIL.....		49
4.1	Exclusive jurisdiction of trial judge to enlarge, vary or revoke bail previously granted (Section 10).....	50
4.2	Application by complainant, prosecutor or person acting on behalf of Crown for variation or revocation of bail previously granted (Section 30)	50
4.2.1	Test to be applied for application to vary or revoke bail previously granted (Section 30) 53	
4.2.2	Forms	53
4.3	Application by a defendant for variation of bail previously granted (Section 19(1)).	53
On an application under s.19(1) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> , the court may grant bail, vary bail, or refuse the application.		54
4.3.1	Two stage test to be applied upon application by defendant to vary bail previously granted 54	
As the Court explained in <i>Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions (Qld)</i> [2005] QCA 43:.....		55
4.3.1.1	Stage One - Whether the conditions are still necessary to secure the defendant's compliance with <i>Bail Act 1980</i> (Section 11(2)(a) & (b)).....	55
4.3.1.2	Stage Two – Whether the conditions are presently more onerous than necessary (Section 11(5))	56
4.4	Deeming provisions relating to variation of bail previously granted	57
4.4.2	Varying bail when summary charge is transmitted from receiving court back to the court of summary jurisdiction (Section 34B).....	57
CHAPTER 5 – YOUTH BAIL		60
5.1	Bail under the Youth Justice Act 1992	67
5.2	Who is a child?.....	69
5.3	Jurisdiction of the Childrens Court	69
5.3.1	Generally.....	69
5.3.2	When must a child be brought before the Childrens Court?.....	69
5.3.3	Confidentiality of proceedings in the Childrens Court	70
5.3.4	Childrens Court closed to the public (Section 20, Childrens Court Act 1992)	71
Who may be present at a proceeding?		71
5.3.5	Information relating to a child is confidential.....	71

5.3.6 Courts discretion to order restriction on publication of opposed bail applications (Section 12)	72
5.4 Power of court regarding bail	73
5.4.1. Child in custody	73
5.4.2 The court's power	73
5.4.2.1 Power to release a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence	74
5.4.2.1.1 The duty to grant bail (Section 48, Youth Justice Act 1992)	74
5.4.2.1.2 Discretion to release despite an unacceptable risk (Section 48AA(1)(c), Youth Justice Act 1992)	80
5.4.2.2 Power of the court to refuse to grant or enlarge bail	82
5.4.2.2.1 Insufficient information (Section 48AAA(5), Youth Justice Act 1992)	82
5.4.2.2.2 Reasons must be stated in the order	83
5.4.2.3 Show cause/reverse presumption of bail (Section 48AF, Youth Justice Act 1992)	83
5.4.2.3.1 Show cause case law	84
5.5 Conditions of bail (Sections 52 & 52A, Youth Justice Act 1992)	87
5.5.1 Restrictions on further conditions (Section 52A, Youth Justice Act 1992)	87
5.5.2 Conditional bail program	89
5.5.3 Breach of a condition of an undertaking	89
5.6 GPS monitoring (Sections 52A(2) & 52AA, Youth Justice Act 1992)	93
5.7 Failure to appear	95
CHAPTER 6 – CHALLENGES TO A REFUSAL OR GRANT OF BAIL	96
On an application under s.19(1) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> , the court may grant bail, vary bail, or refuse the application.	98
6.4.1 Stay of decision to grant bail pending application for review (Section 19CA)	101
CHAPTER 7 – MAGISTRATES COURT BAIL PENDING s.222 JUSTICES ACT 1886 APPEAL TO THE DISTRICT COURT	106
7.1 Power to grant appeal bail	106
7.2 Test to be applied	107
CHAPTER 8 – FORFEITURE OF UNDERTAKING, DEPOSIT OR OTHER SECURITY (INCLUDING SURETY) UPON NON-ATTENDANCE	109
8.2 Automatic declaration of forfeiture of undertaking (Section 31)	112
8.4 Variation or revocation of an order to pay an amount forfeited (Section 32B)	114
CHAPTER 9 - WARRANTS	117
9.1.1.1 Where defendant surrenders	119

9.1.2.1	Where defendant surrenders	121
9.1.2.2	Management of substantive matter by the court other than the court that issued the warrant	122
9.1.3.1	Where defendant surrenders	123
1.1.9.1	Where defendant surrenders	124
1.1.10.1	Committal to Supreme or District Court (Section 33B)	125
1.1.10.2	Where defendant surrenders	125
CHAPTER 10 – ALTERNATIVES TO BAIL ON UNDERTAKING		130
10.1	Cash bail granted by police (Sections 14(1) & 14(1A))	130
10.1.1	Action by court where person appears in accordance with bail	131
10.1.2	Where failure to appear	131
10.2	Grant of release without bail by police (Section 14(1B))	132
10.3	Release on issue of Notice to Appear (Section 7(3)(b))	132
10.4	Cash bail granted by Magistrate (Section 14A(1)(a))	132
This power is not available for indictable offences and some other scheduled offences.		133
10.4.1	Action by court where person appears in accordance with bail	133
10.4.2	Where failure to appear	133
10.5	Magistrate may permit defendant to go at large (Section 14A(1)(b))	134
10.6	Release of person with impairment of mind (Sections 11A & 11B)	135
CHAPTER 11 - BREACH OF BAIL - GENERAL		137
11.1	Offence of breach of bail (Section 29)	138
Suggested finding upon proof of breach pursuant to s.29:		139
<i>Having heard the Parties, I am satisfied that you breached the relevant</i>		139
11.2	Sentencing for multiple breaches of bail	139
11.3	Breach by a child	140
11.4	Prosecution of offences (Section 35)	144
CHAPTER 12 - BREACH OF BAIL – FAIL TO ATTEND		145
12.1	Failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking	147
12.2	Postponing issue or enforcement of a warrant in circumstances of a defendant failing to appear	150
12.3	Sentencing for failing to appear	151
12.3.1	Sentencing a child for failure to appear (Section 33(5))	151
CHAPTER 13 – TRANSPARENCY AND RESTRICTION ON PUBLICATION		153

13.1 The business of the Magistrates Court is to be conducted in open court (Section 14A, Magistrates Court Act 1921)	154
13.1.1 Discretion to limit the extent to which the business of the court is open to the public (Section 14A(2), <i>Magistrates Court Act 1921</i>)	154
13.1.2 Discretion of Magistrate to close court if interests of public morality require (Section 70, Justices Act 1886)	155
13.2 Reporting of court proceedings	155
Similarly, McHugh JA stated in <i>John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal of New South Wales</i> (1986) 5 NSWLR 465:	155
13.2.1 Courts discretion to order restriction on publication of opposed bail applications (Section 12)	156
This section also applies to bail applications under the <i>Youth Justice Act 1992</i>	156
13.2.1.1 Strict construction of Section 12 of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	157
13.2.1.2 An order pursuant to section 12 of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> should only be made where reasonably necessary to secure the proper administration of justice	157
13.2.1.3 Any order made pursuant to section 12 of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> should clearly indicate the temporal limits of the order	158
13.2.1.4 Capacity to vary, terminate and enlarge order restricting publication	158
13.2.1.5 Penalty for non-compliance with order restricting publication	158
13.3 Inherent jurisdiction of Supreme Court to limit publication	159
13.4 Restriction on publication of information identifying party to domestic violence proceedings (<i>Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012</i>)	159
CHAPTER 14 – COSTS	161
CHAPTER 15 – POLICE BAIL	162
15.1 Obligation to consider bail	163
15.2 Release on issue of a Notice to Appear (Section 7(3)(b))	163
15.3 Cash bail granted by police (Section 14(1) & (1A))	164
15.3.2 Where failure to appear	165
15.4 Grant of release without bail by police (<i>Bail Act 1980</i>, s.14(1B))	165
15.4.1 Where failure to appear	165
15.5 Release of child without bail	166
15.5.1 Where failure to appear	166
15.6 Release of person with impairment of mind (Sections 11A & 11B)	166
These sections apply to both adult and child defendants.	166

CHAPTER 16 – SUPREME AND DISTRICT COURT BAIL	168
However, a judge may deal with bail upon application, upon review and upon appeal.	169
16.2.1 On application to the Court of Appeal in its original jurisdiction	169
16.2.3 On application to the District, Supreme Court, or Court of Appeal when defendant awaiting a criminal proceeding (Sections 8(1)(a)(i) & 19)	170
16.2.4 On application to the District, Supreme Court, or Court of Appeal when the court has adjourned the criminal proceeding (Sections 8(1)(a)(ii) & 19)	171
16.2.6 On application to the trial or sentencing judge in the District or Supreme Court (Section 10(2) & (3))	171
Bail during the course of a jury trial	171
Bail during course of a sentencing hearing	172
As recognised by the Court of Appeal in <i>Wren</i> :.....	172
16.5.2 Power of the Court upon surrender to withdraw and cancel warrant (Sections 28(2), (2A) & (2B))	174
CHAPTER 17 - EXTRADITION	176
17.1 Interstate apprehension of person named in warrant (Section 82(1), SEPA)	176
17.3 Enquiry must be made to ascertain if defendant ‘under restraint’ (Section 84, SEPA) 178	
17.4 Procedure on remand on bail (Section 85, SEPA)	178
17.5 Review of the order made under s.83 (Section 86, SEPA)	180
17.6 Law applicable to grant of bail (Section 88, SEPA)	180
17.7 Extradition proceedings from Australia to extradition countries	180
CHAPTER 18 - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OFFENCES AND BAIL	182
18.1 Prima facie right to bail	184
18.2 Unacceptable risk – consideration of risk of future domestic violence	184
18.3 Conditions	186
18.4 Surety/security/deposit	187
18.5 Domestic violence related offences where defendant in ‘show cause’ position	187
18.6 Table of ‘show cause’ provisions	188
18.7 Prohibition on publication of material disclosing the identity of a party, witness or child concerned in domestic violence proceedings	189
18.8 Stay of decision to grant bail pending application for review (Sections 19B, 19C & 19CA) 190	
CHAPTER 19 – DRUG RELATED OFFENCES AND BAIL	191

19.1 Conditions	191
Section 11 applies only to adult defendants.	191
19.1.1 Condition to participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course (Section 11(9))	192
19.1.2 Condition requiring completion of Drug Alcohol Assessment Referral (DAAR) course	192
<i>(4) However, subsection (2) does not apply if-</i>	192
19.1.3 Other conditions	193
Further conditions are set out in the Standardised Bail Conditions – Annexure A.....	193
19.2 Release without bail by police officer for minor drug offence – drug diversion (Section 14(1B)(b))	194
CHAPTER 20 - LIMITS ON JURISDICTION	195
20.1 Section 13(1) <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	195
20.2 Murder and repeat serious child sex offence	196
20.3 Section 13(2) and 13(3) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	196
CHAPTER 21 – TERRORISM OFFENCES AND BAIL	199
21.1 Assessment of unacceptable risk (Section 16(2)(g) &(h))	200
<i>For subsection (2)(g) and (h)(ii), a person has promoted terrorism if the person has-</i>	200
21.2 Refusal of bail unless exceptional circumstances (Section 16A, <i>Bail Act 1980</i> & Section 15AA, <i>Crimes Act 1914</i> (Cth),	201
21.3 ‘Show cause’ (Section 16(3)(c))	202
21.4 Lack of jurisdiction of Magistrates Court constituted by a justices or justice to grant bail in certain circumstances (Section 13(2) & (3))	202
CHAPTER 22 – ORGANISED CRIME OFFENCES AND BAIL	205
22.1 Legislative background	206
22.2 Conditions	206
<i>See Standardised Bail Conditions at Annexure A.</i>	207
22.3 Organised crime-related offences where defendant in ‘show cause’ position	207
22.4 Table of ‘show cause’ provisions in Section 16(3) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i>	207
CHAPTER 23 – WEAPONS OFFENCES AND BAIL	210
23.1 ‘Show cause’ (Section 16(3)(c))	210
<i>(a) a gun or other thing ordinarily described as a firearm; or</i>	210
CHAPTER 24 – INDIGENOUS DEFENDANTS	212

24.1 Submission from the community justice group in bail applications (Section 15(1) & (2))	213
24.2 Refusal of bail (Section 16(2)(e))	214
24.3 Access to court files by representative of community justice group in defendant's community (Section 34C)	216
24.4 Applications for bail conducted remotely (Section 15A, Bail Act 1980 & Sections 23EC & 178C, Justices Act 1886)	216
24.4.2 Applications for bail conducted remotely by audio or video link	217
24.5 Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) program	218
24.6 The Murri Court and bail	219
24.6.1 Referral	219
24.6.2 Procedure upon referral	220
24.6.3 Bail issued by a Murri Court Magistrate	220
24.6.4 Breach of bail in the Murri Court	220
24.7 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children (Section 48AA(4)(a)(vii), Youth Justice Act 1992)	221
CHAPTER 25 - COMMONWEALTH OFFENCES AND BAIL	222
25.1 Application of <i>Bail Act 1980</i> to Commonwealth offences	222
25.2 Apprehension of defendant in Queensland on bail for Commonwealth offences (Section 3Y, Crimes Act 1914 (Cth))	223
CHAPTER 26 – JUSTICES	228
26.2 The powers conferred on a Justice of the Peace	228
26.2.2 Justice of the Peace (Qualified)	228
26.2.4 Justice of the Peace (Commissioner for Declarations)	229
26.4 Lack of jurisdiction by a justice or justices to grant bail in terrorism related circumstances (Section 13(2) & (3))	230
CHAPTER 27 – FORMS	231
ANNEXURES	233
ANNEXURE A – STANDARDISED BAIL CONDITIONS	233
ANNEXURE A - Standardised Bail Conditions	234
Note: “contact or communicate with” includes –	235
“directly” means you contacting or communicating, or attempting to contact or communicate;	235

“indirectly” means getting someone else to contact or communicate, or attempt to contact or communicate, for you.....	235
You must not go to (place or area).	235
You must take part in (program) and obey the rules and conditions of the program.....	236
You must report when you are told to report and obey the rules and conditions of the Murri Court Process.	236
You must take part in (program) and obey all the rules and conditions of the program.	237
You must sign a document called an “Authority” (one is attached) which allows the people running the program to contact the Officer in charge of (police station) if:	237
You must not be released from custody until your current passport has been handed to the Registrar of the (Court type) at (location) and a document called a ‘receipt for passport’ has been given to you or the person handing in your passport for you.....	237
AUTHORITY	238

CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION TO BAIL

Bail in brief

A person charged with an offence may be released on bail under the provisions of the [Bail Act 1980](#). This Act “provides an exhaustive statement of the manner in which the discretion to grant bail is to be exercised”.¹ There is no common law right of a person charged with a criminal offence to be released on bail pending resolution of the charge.² However, the *Bail Act* is founded on concepts derived from the English common law which give effect to the presumption of innocence by minimising restrictions on the liberty of charged persons up until the time of conviction.

The term ‘bail’ is not defined in the *Bail Act 1980* but usually refers to the release from custody of a person subject to their giving an undertaking to return to court and to comply with other conditions.

After being arrested and charged a person may be granted bail by an authorised police officer if it is not practicable to take them promptly before a court. Once a charged person has been brought before a court it becomes the obligation of the court to consider bail.

Under the *Bail Act 1980*, a person awaiting resolution of a charge is *prima facie* entitled to be granted bail.³ In relation to a defendant who is an adult, the court has a duty to grant bail unless satisfied there is an unacceptable risk the person will fail to appear or will offend while released on bail.⁴

In addition, for certain specified charges an adult defendant must “show cause” why detention is not justified.⁵

Failure to appear when required and failure to comply with bail conditions are criminal offences.⁶

¹ [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) at 96.

² [Chau v DPP \(1995\) 37 NSWLR 639](#).

³ [Bail Act 1980, s.9](#).

⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.16](#).

⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)](#).

⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss.29, 33](#).

The [Youth Justice Act 1992](#) contains the core provisions for the grant of bail to a child charged with an offence, but many *Bail Act 1980* provisions also apply in relation to a child.⁷

The *Bail Act 1980* applies not only to offences charged under State law but also to relevant Commonwealth offences.⁸

1.1 What is bail?

In Queensland, the law relating to bail is contained in the *Bail Act 1980*. This Act “*provides an exhaustive statement of the manner in which the discretion to grant bail is to be exercised*”.⁹

Usually, a person obtains bail by entering into an “undertaking”¹⁰ to appear at court at a later date when required. The undertaking may also contain other conditions including the provision of a surety; that is a promise by another person to pay a nominated sum of money if the defendant absconds.¹¹

Magistrates and police may also grant cash bail to those charged with lesser non-indictable offences, where in lieu of entering into an undertaking the person makes a deposit of money as security they will appear in the future.¹² If the person fails to appear as required, the money will be forfeited. Cash bail is frequently granted by watch-house managers in relation to minor non-indictable offences in expectation that the defendant will fail to appear and therefore forfeit bail.

1.2 Who has the power to grant bail?

The *Bail Act 1980* empowers each of the following to grant bail although the scope of the power given to each differs as between them:

- an Officer-In-Charge of a police station or police establishment or a watch-house manager;¹³
- a Magistrates Court;¹⁴
- the District Court;¹⁵

⁷ [Sections 7, 11, 16, and 16A of the Bail Act 1980](#) do not apply in relation to children.

⁸ [Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\), s.68\(1\).](#)

⁹ [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) at 96.

¹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.6](#) defines an undertaking as a promise in writing signed by the defendant and his surety.

¹¹ [Bail Act 1980, ss.21 and 32A.](#)

¹² [Bail Act 1980, ss.14-14A.](#)

¹³ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(1\)\(a\).](#)

¹⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\).](#)

¹⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\).](#)

- the Supreme Court or a judge of the Supreme Court;¹⁶ and
- the Court of Appeal.¹⁷

1.3 Who may be given bail?

An Officer-In-Charge of a police station or a watch-house manager may grant bail to a person in their custody after arrest in connection with an offence or under a court issued warrant¹⁸ if they are satisfied it is not practicable to bring the person before a court promptly.¹⁹

[Section 8 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a court may grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge or in relation to an offence if:

- The person is awaiting a criminal proceeding to be held by that court in relation to that offence; or
- The court has adjourned the criminal proceeding; or
- The court has committed or remanded the person in the course of or in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by that court or another court in relation to that offence.²⁰

A Magistrates Court may also grant bail to a person awaiting an appeal to the District Court under [s.222 of the *Justices Act 1886*](#).²¹

The Supreme Court has broader powers. The Supreme Court or a judge of the Supreme Court may grant bail to a person in custody on a charge or in connection with a criminal proceeding whether or not the person has appeared before the Supreme Court in connection with that charge or proceeding.²²

A court's power to grant bail is accompanied by the power to enlarge, vary, or revoke bail previously granted.²³

¹⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss.8\(1\)](#) and [13](#).

¹⁷ [Bail Act 1980, ss.8\(1\)](#) and [8\(5\)](#).

¹⁸ A warrant issued under the [Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.33AC](#).

¹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.7](#).

²⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.8](#) and [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) at 98 where it was held that the Supreme Court is included in the courts described in s.8.

²¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(a\)\(ia\)](#).

²² [Bail Act 1980, s.10\(1\)](#).

²³ [Bail Act 1980, ss.7\(8\), 8\(1\)\(b\)](#) and [10\(1\)](#).

1.4 Alternatives to bail

Most persons arrested and charged will initially be granted police bail. For lesser offences this may be cash bail. However, there are other avenues available to police which do not require the grant of bail.

An alternative to arrest is to proceed by way of complaint and summons under the [Justices Act 1886](#).²⁴ As the person is not taken into police custody there is no need to consider bail. Where a defendant charged by complaint and summons with a summary offence or an indictable offence capable of being dealt with summarily fails to appear as required the court may issue a warrant or hear and determine the matter in the defendant's absence.²⁵

More frequently police will utilise the Notice to Appear process under the [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#).²⁶ This involves the police officer serving a person reasonably suspected of having committed an offence with a notice specifying the time and place they are required to appear in court. The Notice to Appear must state the offence alleged and is deemed to be a complaint under the *Justices Act 1886*.²⁷ The officer lodges a copy with the court. Issuing a Notice to Appear avoids the need to take the person into police custody and is more convenient than the complaint and summons process.

An authorised police officer also has power to release into care without bail persons charged with drunkenness and to release without bail to attend drug diversion persons charged with minor drug offences.²⁸

The Magistrates Court may release without bail persons charged with offences other than indictable or scheduled offences on condition they appear when required.²⁹

1.5 Persons in custody

A bail application may be made to a court by a person held in police custody. Also, a person required to appear under a bail undertaking³⁰ a Notice to Appear or a complaint and summons will, upon presenting him or herself to the Magistrates Court, come within the custody of the

²⁴ [Justices Act 1886, ss.42-58](#).

²⁵ [Justices Act 1886, ss.142](#) and [142A](#); [Justices Act 1886, s.4](#), definition 'simple offence'; [Acts Interpretation Act 1954, s.44](#).

²⁶ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.382-390](#).

²⁷ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.384\(1\)\(a\)](#) and [s.388\(1\)](#).

²⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(1B\)](#).

²⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(1\)\(b\)](#).

³⁰ It is a standard condition of all undertakings for indictable offences that the defendant not depart from the court unless bail has been enlarged: [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(3\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#).

court. It is then necessary for the court when adjourning the matter to consider whether the person should be released from custody.³¹

If the court declines to grant bail or otherwise release the person under the provisions of the *Bail Act 1980* the person must be remanded in custody.³²

³¹ The Magistrates Court may release a person on a bail undertaking, give cash bail or release them without bail under either [ss.11A](#) or [14A of the Bail Act 1980](#).

³² [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(2\)](#).

CHAPTER 2 – MAGISTRATES COURT BAIL

Power to grant bail (Section 8)

A Magistrates Court has power to grant bail to a person in custody charged with an offence if:

- The person is awaiting a criminal proceeding in that court in relation to that offence; or
- The court has adjourned the criminal proceeding; or
- The court has committed or remanded the person in the course of or in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by that or another court in relation to that offence; or
- The person is awaiting an appeal to the District Court under [s.222 of the Justices Act 1886](#).³³

Only the Supreme Court can grant bail where the punishment is mandatory life imprisonment or an indefinite sentence.³⁴

Duty to grant bail (Section 9)

The court has an obligation, subject to the Act, to grant bail or enlarge bail where the person is before the court charged with an offence but has not been convicted.³⁵

Bail can only be 'enlarged' in circumstances where bail has previously been granted.

When bail should be refused (Section 16(1))

The presumption in favour of granting bail in [s.9 of the Bail Act 1980](#) applies unless the court is satisfied:

(1) there is an **unacceptable risk** that the defendant if released would:

- fail to appear or surrender into custody;

³³ [Bail Act 1980, s.8.](#)

³⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.13.](#) This includes the offence of murder ([s.305 Criminal Code \(Qld\)](#)) and a repeat serious child sex offence ([s.161E Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#)) and offences for which an indefinite sentence may be imposed.

³⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.9.](#)

- commit an offence;
- endanger the safety or welfare of a victim or anyone else;
- interfere with witnesses or obstruct the course of justice; or

(2) the defendant should remain in custody for his or her protection.³⁶

Assessing unacceptable risk (Section 16(2))

The obligation in [s.9 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to grant bail requires (unless the defendant is in a 'show cause' situation) that bail be granted unless the court is positively satisfied there is an unacceptable risk.

The Act requires the court in assessing unacceptable risk to have regard to all relevant matters including:

- the nature and seriousness of the offence;
the character, antecedents, associations, home environment, employment, and background of the defendant;
- the history of previous grants of bail to the defendant; and
- the strength of the evidence against the defendant.³⁷
- if the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person – any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community³⁸
- if the defendant is charged with a domestic violence offence or an offence against [s.177\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#) – the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence being committed by the defendant³⁹
- any promotion by the defendant of terrorism⁴⁰
- any association the defendant has or has had with (i) a terrorist organisation within the meaning of [s.102.1\(1\) of the Criminal Code \(Cwlth\)](#) or (ii) a person who has promoted terrorism⁴¹

³⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(1\).](#)

³⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\).](#)

³⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(e\)](#) including for example, about (i) the defendant's relationship to the defendant's community; or (ii) any cultural considerations; or (iii) any considerations relating to programs and services in which the community justice group participates.

³⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(f\).](#)

⁴⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(g\).](#)

⁴¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(h\).](#)

- the likely effect that refusal of bail would have on—
 - (i) a person with whom the defendant is in a family relationship and for whom the defendant is the primary caregiver; or
 - (ii) a person with whom the defendant is in an informal care relationship; or
 - (iii) if the defendant is pregnant—the child of the pregnancy.

In assessing risk, the court is not permitted to have regard to the effect on risk of imposing a condition the defendant wear a tracking device.⁴²

Procedure upon application for bail (Section 15)

Under [s.15 of the Bail Act 1980](#), the ordinary rules as to the admissibility of evidence are relaxed for bail application proceedings.⁴³ A court is given a broad discretion under s.15 to receive evidence it considers credible or trustworthy.⁴⁴ The court can receive evidence of previous convictions, other charges, previous failures to appear and the circumstances of the offence charged.⁴⁵

Importantly, the defendant cannot be examined or cross-examined as to the offence charged nor any inquiry made of him or her as to that offence.⁴⁶

When a defendant must ‘show cause’ (Section 16(3))

A defendant charged with any of the following offences must ‘show cause’:⁴⁷

- an indictable offence alleged to have been committed while the defendant was at large, with or without bail, prior to trial for another indictable offence;⁴⁸
- the offence of murder or a repeat serious child sex offence.⁴⁹ (Only a Supreme Court judge, or for a child a Childrens Court judge, may grant bail to a defendant falling in this category);

⁴² [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2A\)](#).

⁴³ [Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) v Filippa \[2005\] 1 Qd R 587; \[2004\] QSC 470](#) at [5].

⁴⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(e\)](#).

⁴⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(c\)](#).

⁴⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(b\)](#).

⁴⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)](#).

⁴⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(a\)](#).

⁴⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(b\); s.13; Criminal Code, s 305 and Penalties and Sentence Act 1992, s.161E](#)

- an indictable offence alleged to have involved the use or threat to use by the defendant of a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance;⁵⁰
- an offence against the [Bail Act 1980](#);⁵¹
- an offence of contravening a control order under [Part 9D \(Serious and Organised Crime\) of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#);⁵²
- an offence of making a threat to a law enforcement officer investigating a criminal organisation;⁵³
- an offence of contravening a public safety order under the [Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982](#);⁵⁴
- an offence of choking, suffocation, or strangulation in a domestic setting;⁵⁵
- an offence punishable by at least seven years imprisonment if also a domestic violence offence;
- certain [Criminal Code \(Qld\)](#) offences if the offence is also a domestic violence offence;⁵⁶
- an offence of contravening a domestic violence order⁵⁷ if a specified circumstance applies.⁵⁸

If a defendant charged with any of those offences fails to show cause as to why detention in custody is not justified the court must refuse to grant bail.

Onus of proof

Ordinarily the *court* has a duty to grant bail unless there is material positively satisfying the magistrate there is an unacceptable risk the defendant will fail to appear or will reoffend. The burden of proof is reversed if the defendant is charged with a 'show cause' offence. Once the defendant is in a show cause situation the onus falls on him or her to demonstrate why bail should be granted.

⁵⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(c\).](#)

⁵¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(d\).](#)

⁵² [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(e\); Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.161ZL.](#)

⁵³ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(f\); Criminal Code, s.359\(2\).](#)

⁵⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(e\); Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982, s.32.](#)

⁵⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.16\(3\)\(g\) and 16\(7\); Criminal Code s.315A.](#)

⁵⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss.16\(3\)\(g\) and 16\(7\); Criminal Code ss.75, 328A, 355, 359E or 468.](#)

⁵⁷ [Bail Act 1980, ss.16\(3\)\(g\) and 16\(7\); Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177\(2\).](#)

⁵⁸ Specified circumstances: (a) Use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence; (b) previous conviction for violence within five years; or (c) previous conviction under [s.177\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#) within second years.

Application for bail conducted remotely

[Section 15A of the Bail Act 1980](#) and [s.23EC of the Justices Act 1886](#) in combination permit a bail application to be heard 'remotely' by audio or video link.

See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities.](#)

2.1 Power of court to grant bail (Section 8)

The power of a court to grant or enlarge bail is limited to persons in custody in respect of an offence or alleged offence where the person is awaiting or part-heard in a criminal proceeding for that offence in that court.⁵⁹ The power of the Magistrates Court to grant bail also extends to persons committed or remanded in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by another court⁶⁰ or awaiting an appeal to the District Court.⁶¹

Person in custody

For a person to be granted bail, they must be presently in custody. A person may be brought before the Magistrates Court in police custody. More often, persons before the court on criminal charges will appear under a bail undertaking⁶², a Notice to Appear or a complaint and summons. Upon presenting themselves to the court, they fall within the custody of the court and are not free to leave. Where a charged person is in custody before the court, there is an obligation on the court to consider whether the person should be released from custody.⁶³ If the court declines to grant bail or otherwise release the person under the provisions of the *Bail Act 1980*, the person must be remanded in custody.⁶⁴

Subject to provision to the contrary in the *Bail Act 1980* or other legislation, a court may enlarge bail in the absence of the defendant.⁶⁵

⁵⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\)](#); The proceeding must fall within the jurisdiction of the court: *Re Grove's Application* [1973] Qd R 310.

⁶⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iii\)](#).

⁶¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\)](#).

⁶² It is a standard condition of all undertakings for indictable offences that the defendant not depart from the court unless bail has been enlarged: [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(3\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#).

⁶³ The Magistrates Court may release a person on a bail undertaking, give cash bail or release them without bail under either [s.11A](#) or [s.14A of the Bail Act 1980](#).

⁶⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(2\)](#).

⁶⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(3\)](#).

Which court should consider application?

Bail applications will ordinarily be brought before and considered by the court with present jurisdiction to deal with the matter. That will be the Magistrates Court up until committal for trial and thereafter by the trial court, either the District or Supreme Court. Bail applications can also be brought before a judge of the Supreme Court at any time as that court has power to grant bail irrespective of which court is dealing with the criminal proceeding.⁶⁶ In addition, only the Supreme Court, or a Childrens Court judge for a child, can grant bail on a charge of murder or of a repeat serious child sex offence.⁶⁷

Application after committal

Bail applications are often brought in the Magistrates Court in the period between committal for trial or sentence and commencement of the proceeding in the District or Supreme Court. [Section 8\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) extends jurisdiction to a court that *“has committed ... the person ... in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held ... by another court in relation to that offence; and ... may enlarge, vary or revoke bail so granted.”* The plain words of this provision empower the Magistrates Court to grant bail in the period after committal.⁶⁸ Such an application may be brought in the higher court but where a defendant chooses to bring the application before a Magistrates Court, the magistrate would usually not decline to exercise the jurisdiction granted by s.8(1) unless the Supreme or District Court has already commenced conduct of the proceeding. This may be taken to have occurred once the defendant has been arraigned upon the indictment,⁶⁹ but may also occur where the trial court entertains a pre-trial application (including a bail application) in exercise of its powers under [s.590AA of the Criminal Code](#).

2.2 Duty of court to grant bail (Section 9)

A court is under a positive obligation to grant bail to a person in custody, subject to provision to the contrary in the *Bail Act 1980*.⁷⁰ This obligation applies to persons who have not been convicted.⁷¹ The distinction reflects the principle that, until convicted, a person is presumed to

⁶⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.10\(1\)](#).

⁶⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.13](#).

⁶⁸ So held in [CDPP v Templin \[2013\] QMC 4](#) at [24]-[27]; This interpretation is consistent with the insertion of [s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\) in the Bail Act 1980](#) in 2003, giving the Magistrates Court power to grant bail to persons awaiting an appeal to the District Court under [s 222 of the Justices Act 1886](#).

⁶⁹ Under [s.597C\(3\) of the Criminal Code](#), the trial is deemed to commence upon arraignment of the defendant.

⁷⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.9](#).

⁷¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.9](#).

be innocent.⁷² The section does not extend to persons awaiting sentence or appeal following conviction.

Bail can only be 'enlarged' in circumstances where bail has previously been granted.

2.3 When bail should be refused (Section 16(1))

The duty to grant bail contained in [s.9 of the Bail Act 1980](#) is subject to the requirements of s.16. Under s.16(1), a court must refuse to grant bail if satisfied:

“(a) that there is an unacceptable risk that the defendant if released on bail—

(i) would fail to appear and surrender into custody; or

(ii) would while released on bail—

(A) commit an offence; or

(B) endanger the safety or welfare of a person who is claimed to be a victim of the offence with which the defendant is charged or anyone else’s safety or welfare; or

(C) interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice, whether for the defendant or anyone else; or

(b) that the defendant should remain in custody for the defendant’s own protection.”

The reference in [s.16\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)\(B\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to endangering the welfare of a person is not limited to natural persons and can extend to endangering the economic welfare of a corporation.

Section 16 of the *Bail Act 1980* does not apply to a child.⁷³ See instead [ss.48, 48AAA](#) and [48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#).

2.4 Assessing unacceptable risk (Section 16(2))

In assessing unacceptable risk, the court must have regard to all relevant matters including, where relevant, the following matters listed in [s.16\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#):

⁷² [Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#) at [31].

⁷³ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(1AA\)](#).

“(a) the nature and seriousness of the offence;

(b) the character, antecedents, associations, home environment, employment, and background of the defendant;

(c) the history of any previous grants of bail to the defendant;

(d) the strength of the evidence against the defendant;

(e) if the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person—any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group in the defendant’s community, including, for example, about—

(i) the defendant’s relationship to the defendant’s community; or

(ii) any cultural considerations; or

(iii) any considerations relating to programs and services in which the community justice group participates;

(f) if the defendant is charged with a domestic violence offence or an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, section 177(2) - the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, being committed by the defendant⁷⁴.”

An exception is that the court may not have regard to the effect on risk of imposing a condition the defendant wear a tracking device.⁷⁵

When assessing unacceptable risk, the following considerations described by Thomas J in [Williamson v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2001\] 1 Qd R 99](#) should be borne in mind:

“No grant of bail is risk-free. The grant of bail, however, is an important process in civilised societies which reject any general right of the executive to imprison a citizen upon mere allegation or without trial. It is a necessary part of such a system that some risks have to be taken in order to protect citizens in those respects.”

⁷⁴ Under [s.15\(1\)\(c\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court has power to receive and take into account evidence relating to the risk of further domestic violence.

⁷⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2A\)](#).

An assessment of risk under [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#) may consider not only the risk of reoffending but also the seriousness of the consequences if reoffending occurs.⁷⁶

Assessment of risk involves a balancing exercise in the exercise of the discretion.

[Section 16\(2\)\(e\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) requires the court, when assessing risk in respect to an Indigenous defendant, to have regard to any submissions from a community justice group representative. In appropriate cases it would be desirable that the magistrate ensure a representative is available to provide that input.

2.5 Procedure upon application for bail (Section 15)

Under [s.15 of the Bail Act 1980](#), the ordinary rules as to the admissibility of evidence are relaxed for bail application proceedings.⁷⁷ A court is given a broad discretion under s.15 to receive evidence it considers credible or trustworthy.⁷⁸ Matters agreed between the parties may be taken into account.⁷⁹ The court can receive evidence of previous convictions, other charges, previous failures to appear and the circumstances of the offence charged.⁸⁰ The court can also have regard to a previous finding of guilt, as a child, without a conviction being recorded.⁸¹

If the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, the court may receive submissions from a representative of a Community Justice Group.⁸²

NOTE - Importantly, the defendant cannot be examined or cross-examined as to the offence charged nor any inquiry made of him or her as to that offence.⁸³

2.6 When a defendant must 'show cause' (Section 16(3))

A defendant charged with an offence listed in [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) must be refused bail unless he or she shows cause why their detention in custody is not justified. This provision places the onus of proof on the defendant on the balance of probabilities and sets aside the presumption in favour of bail in [s.9 of the Bail Act 1980](#).

⁷⁶ [Fountain v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2002\] 1 Qd R 167](#) at 168-169.

⁷⁷ [Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) v Filippa \[2005\] 1 Qd R 587; \[2004\] QSC 470](#) at [5].

⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(e\)](#).

⁷⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(e\)](#).

⁸⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(d\)](#).

⁸¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19A](#).

⁸² [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(f\)](#).

⁸³ [Bail Act 1980, s.15\(1\)\(b\)](#).

[Section 16\(3\)\(d\)](#) provides that in circumstances where a defendant is charged with an offence against the *Bail Act 1980* (for example, failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking pursuant to s.33), the defendant will be in a 'show cause' situation with respect to bail. Circumstances may arise where a defendant is brought before the court facing a charge of failing to appear in addition to further charges. If the defendant pleads to the failing to appear charge and is sentenced, the defendant cannot be said to be 'charged' with an offence against the *Bail Act 1980*. Accordingly, depending on the nature of the remaining charges, the defendant may no longer be in a show cause position with respect to bail. However, if a bail application is subsequently made, the Magistrate may take into account the defendant's conviction for failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking.

When a defendant is in a show cause situation, if the Crown case is strong, the application must be 'somewhat special', 'abnormal' or of an 'extraordinary nature' to discharge the onus.⁸⁴

The magistrate is obliged, in exercising the discretion, '*to balance competing considerations and to weigh the relative importance which the different factors bear in the context of the decision which needs to be made. That exercise of discretion is not an empirical exercise; there are no bright lines drawn to determine conclusively when one important factor outweighs another*'.⁸⁵

A magistrate must consider the factors in [s.16\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) regarding the existence of risk that may or may not justify continued detention. Failure to do so will result in appealable error.⁸⁶

Section 16(2) factors are as follows and must be considered:

- the nature and seriousness of the offence (s.16(2)(a));
- the character, antecedents, associations, home environment, employment, and background of the defendant (s.16(2)(b));
- the history of any previous grants of bail to the defendant (s.16(2)(c));
- the strength of the evidence against the defendant (s.16(2)(d));

⁸⁴ [R v Iskandar \(2001\) 120 A Crim R 302](#) at 305 [14] per Sperling J, approved by P Lyons J in [Turbill](#) and cited by Chesterman JA in [Sica v DPP \[2010\] QCA 18](#) at [54] referring to the abnormal or extraordinary nature of the grant of bail in cases to which [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) applies.

⁸⁵ [Lacey v DPP \[2007\] QCA 413](#) at [13].

⁸⁶ In [Sica v DPP \[2010\] QCA 18](#), the Court of Appeal found error by the primary judge who refused bail in a show cause situation (involving charges of murder), by not specifically referring to the \$900,000 surety offered by the defendant's parents, the defendant's age and antecedents, and personal circumstances. The Court of Appeal stated: '*the fact that the primary judge did not expressly mention these factors does not, of course, mean they were overlooked, but it is surprising that if taken into consideration they were not mentioned. A failure to consider them would amount to an error of fact as described in House.*'

- if the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person – any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group in the defendant’s community, including for example, about –
 - the defendant’s relationship to the defendant’s community;
 - any cultural considerations; or
 - any considerations relating to programs and services in which the community justice group participates. (s.16(2)(e));
- if the defendant is charged with a domestic violence offence or an offence against [s.177\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#)– the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012* being committed by the defendant.

A magistrate should consider the ‘important factors’ concerning the risk of flight, interference with witnesses and further offending in determining whether or not the defendant is able to discharge the onus and show cause.⁸⁷

The circumstance concerning a lengthy detention prior to the trial date if bail is not granted was considered in [Lacey v DPP \(Qld\) \[2007\] QCA 413](#) describing such as ‘an important factor to consider when determining whether the appellants have shown cause under s.16(3)’.⁸⁸

The court noted that where the time in custody on remand is likely to exceed the custodial sentence post-conviction, the relative importance of time may outweigh the other relevant factors. However, the Court in *Lacey* indicated that ‘s.16(3) of the Bail Act cannot be read as if its operation was conditioned by guarantee of a trial within a given timeframe’.

That approach was endorsed by Chesterman JA in the Court of Appeal in [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) stating with respect to the length of time that might pass before the appellant is tried: ‘This is always an important factor as is recognised by this Court in *Lacey* but it is not a factor which outweighs all others as that authority explains’.⁸⁹

The strength of the Crown case in [s.16\(2\)\(d\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) will be the prime, but not the exclusive consideration.⁹⁰ If the Crown case is strong ‘[c]ountervailing circumstances common to

⁸⁷ See also [Ex parte Taylor \[2009\] QSC 131](#).

⁸⁸ Thomas JA in [Williamson v DPP \[1999\] QCA 356](#) at [22] stated: ‘The length of incarceration before trial is an important factor when a defendant attempts, as the appellant must, to show that his detention in custody is not justified’. See also Sperling J in [R v Cain \(No 1\) \(2001\) 121 A Crim R 365](#) at 367 and Jerrard JA in [DPP v Bakir \[2006\] QCA 562](#) concerning the need to balance civil rights in the detention of persons who have not been convicted, with civil responsibility.

⁸⁹ See also Thomas JA in [Williamson v DPP \[2001\] 1 Qd R 99](#) at 104 [23].

⁹⁰ [Re Turbill \[2009\] QSC 197](#) and Sperling J in [R v Iskandar \(2001\) 120 A Crim R 302](#) at 305 [14].

*applications for bail in the generality are to be accorded less weight than in the ordinary case’.*⁹¹ But s.16(2) does not require the court to take into account the strength of the Crown case in circumstances where the strength of the case is difficult to determine. Rather, as Chesterman JA indicated in [Sica v DPP \[2010\] QCA 18](#) “It requires only that, to the extent the strength of the case is apparent, it must be taken into account”.

A magistrate should consider whether or not any risk associated with a grant of bail can be cured by the imposition of **special conditions**. [See Chapter Three – Conditions of Bail].

A magistrate must conclude either that the defendant has or has not shown cause why their detention in custody is not justified. If the defendant has shown cause and the defendant is released on bail, the court *must* include a statement of reasons for granting bail.

A ‘show cause’ situation arises under [s.16\(3\)\(c\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) where a defendant is charged with an indictable offence “*in the course of committing which the defendant is alleged to have used*” an offensive weapon. It was held in [Williamson v Director of Public Prosecutions \[1999\] QCA 356](#) that the section applied to a defendant who was alleged to be a party under [ss.7](#) or [8 of the Criminal Code](#) although he did not personally use the weapon.

A defendant who is charged with committing a Queensland offence while on bail for an indictable offence in another Australian jurisdiction will be required to show cause under s.16(3)(a) of the *Bail Act 1980*.⁹² The [Acts Interpretation Act 1954](#) defines an “indictable offence” as including “...an act or omission committed outside Queensland that would be an indictable offence if it were committed in Queensland.”⁹³

Please be aware of the decision in [Re PZX \[2024\] QSC 186](#) which concerned the issue of whether a defendant is in a show cause position under s.16(3)(a) of the *Bail Act 1980* in circumstances where the applicant was charged with indictable offences that may be dealt with summarily under [s.552BA of the Criminal Code](#). Bowskill CJ held at [14] and [15]:

“In this case, given that the offences for which the applicant already had bail must proceed summarily under section 552BA of the Code, the applicant’s submission is that section 16(3)(a) of the Bail Act does not apply because, at the time he allegedly committed the later offences, he was not at large awaiting committal for trial or trial, defined as that is by reference to a charge on indictment for those other, earlier offences.”

⁹¹ Sperling J in [R v Iskander \(2001\) 120 A Crim R 302](#) at 305 [14]; cited [Sica v DPP \(Qld\) \[2010\] QCA 18](#) at [53]-[54].

⁹² [Re Kelly \[2015\] QSC 299](#) at [7]-[10].

⁹³ [Acts Interpretation Act 1954, Schedule 1](#).

“On balance, I form the view that the applicant’s submission should be accepted, because of the use of the word “awaiting” in section 16(3)(a). That is, it cannot be said that, at the time he allegedly committed the offences for which he now seeks bail, he was awaiting committal for trial or trial in the sense of a charge on indictment. The only thing he is awaiting at present is summary proceedings, as mandated by section 552BA, in respect of those earlier charges”

Please note the application of [s.16\(3\)\(a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) remains a matter to be determined by the individual Magistrate.

2.7 Comprehensive table of ‘show cause’ provisions in s.16(3) of the *Bail Act 1980*

Legislative basis	Circumstance of offence charged
s.16(3)(a)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence that is alleged to have been committed while the defendant was at large with or without bail between the date of the defendant’s apprehension and the date of the defendant’s committal for trial or while awaiting trial for another indictable offence.
s.16(3)(b)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence to which s.13 of the Bail Act 1980 applies (murder or a repeat serious child sex offence). Only the Supreme Court may grant bail to defendants falling in this category. ⁹⁴
s.16(3)(c)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence in the course of committing which the defendant is alleged to have used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance.
s.16(3)(d)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> .
s.16(3)(e)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.161Z1 (Contravention of a Control Order) or the Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982, s.32 (Contravention of a Public Safety Order);
s.16(3)(f)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the Criminal Code, s.359 (threats) with a circumstance of aggravation as mentioned in s.359(2) (threat made to a law enforcement officer or person assisting a law enforcement officer, when or because the officer is investigating the activities of a criminal organisation);

⁹⁴ See Chapter 20.1 - 20.2 for further information

s.16(3)(g)	Where the defendant is charged with a ‘<i>relevant offence</i>’ as defined in s.16(6) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> ⁹⁵ as: (a) An offence against the Criminal Code, s.315A (Choking, suffocation, or strangulation in a domestic setting); (b) An offence punishable by a maximum penalty of at least seven years imprisonment if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (as defined in the Criminal Code, s.1);⁹⁶ (c) An offence against the Criminal Code, s.75 (threatening violence), s.328A (dangerous operation of a vehicle), s.355 (deprivation of liberty), s.359E (stalking) or s.468 (injuring animals) if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (defined the Criminal Code, s.1);⁹⁷ (d) An offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177(2) (Contravention of a Domestic Violence Order) if: i) The offence involved the use, threatened use, or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or ii) The defendant, within 5 years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence involving the use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or iii) The defendant, within two years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177(2).
------------	--

2.8 Applications for bail conducted remotely

[Section 15A of the Bail Act 1980](#) permits a court in a different locality to hear a bail proceeding in substitution for the court which has jurisdiction to hear that proceeding if the first court has been nominated for the purpose by practice direction.

⁹⁵ [Section 16\(6\)](#) was inserted into the *Bail Act 1980* as a consequence of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) and came into force on 30 March 2017.

⁹⁶ [Section 1 of the Criminal Code](#) defines ‘domestic violence offence’ to mean ‘an offence against an Act, other than the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#), committed by a person where the act done, or omission made, which constitutes the offence is also: a) domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#), committed by the person, or; b) a contravention of the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177\(2\)](#). Be aware that where the person is charged with contravention of s. 177(2) but no other offence, that offence will not be a “domestic violence offence” within the meaning of [s. 1 of the Criminal Code: Queensland Police Service v JSB \[2018\] QDC 120](#) at [38]-[40].

⁹⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(7\)\(c\)](#).

A Magistrates Court practice direction issued in accordance with s.15A nominates, for designated locations, alternative courts to hear proceedings by video link or audio link. See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities](#).

[Section 23EC of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that where a Magistrate has jurisdiction to hear a proceeding and the court is authorised to conduct the proceeding using video link facilities or audio link facilities (e.g. authorised under s.178C), and a practice direction has been issued by the Chief Magistrate providing for the proceeding to be conducted by an alternative court (in a different locality jurisdiction) by video or audio link facilities, the alternative court may conduct the proceeding.

See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities](#).

[Section 178C of the Justices Act 1886](#) allows a court with jurisdiction to hear a criminal proceeding (including a bail proceeding) to hear the matter by video or audio link if the defendant is in prison or in another location but represented by a lawyer. The section provides that where a defendant is in custody and is required or entitled to be present before a Magistrates Court for a proceeding relating to the person's bail, and where the prison has audio or video link facilities, the proceeding must be conducted using the video link facilities, unless the primary court, in the interests of justice, otherwise orders.

This section also provides that where the defendant is required or entitled to be present before a Magistrates Court for a proceeding relating to the person's bail, but who is not held in custody but who is represented by a lawyer and present at another place that the presiding magistrate considers suitable for the conduct of the proceeding and where video or audio link facilities are available, the primary court may, in the interests of justice, order the proceedings to be conducted by video or audio link.

Accordingly, in appropriate circumstances, a court with jurisdiction may be constituted in one place and the defendant may appear by video or telephone from outside that court's district. Furthermore, if a practice direction so provides, an alternative court lacking locality jurisdiction may hear a proceeding by video or telephone in place of the court with jurisdiction to hear the matter.

CHAPTER 3 – CONDITIONS OF BAIL

Unnecessarily onerous conditions prohibited (Section 11(1))

[Section 11\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that the court shall not impose conditions that are more onerous for the defendant than the court considers are necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest.

Court is required to consider conditions for release on bail in particular sequence (Section 11(1))

Section 11(1) provides that a court shall consider the conditions for the release of a person on bail upon their own undertaking, in the following sequence:

- (a) without sureties and without deposit of money or other security;
- (b) with a deposit of money or other security of stated value;
- (c) with a surety or sureties of stated value;
- (d) with a deposit of money or other security of stated value and a surety or sureties of stated value.

Mandatory conditions of undertaking relate to the following categories of bail

- 1) Bail pending the examination of witnesses before a magistrate or Childrens Court or any justice or justices conducting an examination of witnesses in relation to an indictable offence ⁹⁸
- 2) Bail following committal for trial ⁹⁹
- 3) Bail after defendant committed for trial or when pending appeal against conviction and or sentence ¹⁰⁰
- 4) Bail in circumstances not provided for by ss.20(3), (3A) or (3B) - (s.20(3C))
- 5) Bail subject to a passport surrender condition ¹⁰¹

⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(3\)](#)

⁹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(3A\)](#)

¹⁰⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(2\)](#)

¹⁰¹ [Bail Act 1980, ss.20\(3D\) & 11AA](#)

Discretionary special conditions (Section 11(2))

A bail undertaking may contain 'special conditions' but *only if* the court considers that such conditions are necessary to:

- (a) ensure a defendant appears in accordance with the undertaking and surrenders into custody; or
- (b) ensure a defendant on bail does not
 - (i) commit an offence; or
 - (ii) endanger the safety or welfare of members of the public; or
 - (iii) interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice.

Special conditions must not be more onerous than necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest.¹⁰²

In considering whether to impose special conditions under [s.11\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), s.11(3A) requires the court or police officer to consider the effect any condition would have on the defendant's ability to carry out responsibilities for:

- (a) a person with whom the defendant is in a family relationship and for whom the defendant is the primary caregiver; or
- (b) a person with whom the defendant is in an informal care relationship; or
- (c) if the defendant is pregnant – the child of the pregnancy.

Discretionary special conditions provided for in the *Bail Act 1980* include:

- 1) Licensed premises;¹⁰³
- 2) Passport surrender;¹⁰⁴
- 3) Examination of defendant's physical and or mental condition;¹⁰⁵
- 4) Wearing of a tracking device;¹⁰⁶

¹⁰² [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(5\)](#)

¹⁰³ [Bail Act 1980, ss.11\(3\), 11\(4AA\)\(b\) & 11\(4\)](#)

¹⁰⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(4A\)](#)

¹⁰⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(6\)](#)

¹⁰⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss11\(9B\) & 11\(9C\)](#)

5) Participation in rehabilitation, treatment, or other intervention course;¹⁰⁷

6) Bail granted by Supreme Court before or during committal proceedings.¹⁰⁸

Standardised bail conditions

For Standardised Bail Conditions - see Annexure A.

Special conditions involving a surety

Prior to accepting a surety as a condition of bail, the justice:

- shall require an Affidavit of Justification in the approved form ([Form 11](#)) from the proposed surety;¹⁰⁹
- must be satisfied of the pre-conditions set out in s.21(1); and
- shall have regard to the matters set out in s.21(3);
- must be satisfied as to the sufficiency of means of any proposed surety.

Discharge from liability of a surety with respect to bail undertaking (Section 23 & 24)

[Section 23 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that at any time prior to a condition of an undertaking being broken or the defendant's arrest pursuant to [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) (relating to failure to comply with an undertaking), a surety may apply to the court before which the defendant is required to appear in accordance with an undertaking, for discharge from liability with respect to the undertaking.

Upon such application, s.23(2) provides that a court may make '*such order as it thinks fit, including an order that the surety be discharged from liability with respect to the undertaking*'.

3.1 Unnecessarily onerous conditions prohibited (Section 11(1))

[Section 11\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that any conditions shall not be more onerous for the person than those that in the opinion of the court or police officer are necessary having regard to:

¹⁰⁷ [Bail Act 1980, ss11\(9\) & 11\(AB\)](#)

¹⁰⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(3B\)](#)

¹⁰⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.21\(4\)](#)

- a) the nature of the offence,
- b) the circumstances of the defendant; and
- c) the public interest.

In [*Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\)* \[2005\] QCA 43](#), a condition requiring the defendant's banishment from Palm Island following riots, whilst directly related to the nature of the offence, with the passage of time and in light of the defendant's personal circumstances and taking account of the public interest, was considered by the Court of Appeal to be '*more onerous than necessary*', stating:

'... it deprives him of the companionship and support of his wife, his ability to be a father to his children, his employment and financial independence and the right to live in his own home which he has built in his chosen community. Apart from actual imprisonment, it is difficult to imagine a more onerous bail condition.'

[Section 11 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) does not apply to a child.¹¹⁰ Instead see [s.52A of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*](#).

3.2 The court is required to consider conditions for release on bail in particular sequence (Section 11(1))

[Section 11\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a court shall consider the conditions for the release of a person on bail in the following sequence:

- (a) upon own undertaking without sureties and without deposit of money or other security;
- (b) upon own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value;
- (c) upon own undertaking with a surety or sureties of stated value;
- (d) upon own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value and a surety or sureties of stated value.

¹¹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(1AA\)](#).

3.3 Mandatory conditions of an undertaking (Section 20)

[Section 20 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that, with the exception of [s.14](#) and [s.14A](#) (cash bail – see Chapter 10), all defendants granted bail *must* enter into an undertaking in the approved form.¹¹¹ [Form 7 - Undertaking as to Bail](#) is used for this purpose.

An **undertaking** is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to mean: *a promise in writing with respect to bail signed by a defendant or by a defendant and the defendant's surety or sureties that the defendant will appear at a hearing or an adjourned hearing or upon the defendant's trial or an appeal and surrender into custody and comply with such other conditions as are imposed for the defendant's release on bail.*

Accordingly, all bail undertakings must include the following mandatory conditions:

- to appear and surrender into custody of the relevant court at a hearing or an adjourned hearing or trial or appeal; and
- to comply with such other conditions as are imposed.

[Form 7](#) includes an additional condition that the defendant '*must not depart from the Court unless bail is enlarged*'.

The nature of any additional mandated conditions of any bail undertaking will vary depending on the defendant's circumstances.

The *Bail Act 1980* sets out mandated conditions that will be applicable in particular circumstances as follows:

TABLE 3.3.1: Bail pending examination of witnesses before a Magistrate or Children's Court of any justice or justices conducting an examination of witnesses in relation to an indictable offence

Section	Circumstances	Mandated condition
20(3)	When a defendant is granted bail requiring attendance before a Magistrates Court,	The undertaking <i>must</i> contain conditions: (i) that the defendant must surrender into custody as required;

¹¹¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(1\)](#) – See [Form 7](#) and [Form 10](#) at 3.1.8 below.

	Childrens Court or any justice or justices conducting an examination of witnesses in relation to an indictable offence.	(ii) that the defendant must not depart from the court unless the bail is enlarged; (iii) whether or not the defendant is represented, that the defendant must obey the directions of the court in relation to any further appearance, whether the directions are given to the defendant personally or to the defendant's lawyer; and (iv) such further conditions as are imposed under ss.11(2, (3), (6) or (9) or 11AB of the Bail Act 1980, or s.52A of the Youth Justice Act 1992 Note - Section 20(3AA) of the Bail Act 1980 provides that despite subsection (3), the defendant need not surrender into custody or appear personally if the defendant is represented by a lawyer unless: (a) the court directs otherwise; or (b) a charge is being heard and determined, an examination of a witness is being conducted or a penalty is being imposed.
--	--	---

TABLE 3.3.2: Bail following being committed for trial

Section	Circumstances	Mandated condition
20(3A)	When a defendant has been granted bail following being committed for trial.	The undertaking <i>shall be</i> subject to conditions that the defendant: i. shall appear or be represented before the court to which the defendant is committed for trial, at a time and in accordance with a notice given pursuant to s.27 of the Bail Act 1980; and ii. if the notice states that it is intended to ask the court to proceed with the trial at the time stated in the notice, shall surrender into custody, and not depart from the court unless the bail is enlarged; and

		iii. shall obey the directions of the court, whether given to the defendant personally or to the defendant's lawyer, with respect to any further appearance, and if directed to appear personally, shall surrender into custody, and not depart from the court unless the bail is enlarged; and iv. shall notify the director of public prosecutions or, as the case may be, deputy director of public prosecutions in writing forthwith of any change of address for service of notices or residential address other than that arising from the defendant's surrender into custody.
--	--	--

TABLE 3.3.3: Bail after defendant committed for trial or when pending appeal against conviction and or sentence

Section	Circumstances	Requirement of undertaking
20(2)	When a defendant is granted bail who has been: (a) committed for trial, or (b) convicted and has appealed against the conviction and or sentence	The undertaking <i>must</i> contain: (i) the defendant's residential address; and (ii) an address for service of notices.¹¹²

¹¹² [Section 20\(2A\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that 'for subsection (2) the defendant's address for service of notices may be the same as the defendant's residential address'. In [Turner v Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions \[2017\] QCA 30](#), the undertaking in question did not contain a separate address for service of notices as required by s.20(2). McMurdo P (with whom Fraser JA and McMeekin J separately agreed) at [50] stated '*as s.20(2A) provides that a defendant's address for service of notices may be the same as the defendant's residential address, where, as here, only the residential address is included in the undertaking, that address must be taken to be the address for service of notices....*'. Additionally McMurdo P indicated that s.20(3A)(iii) does not require directions to be given to the defendant 'in person' because the word 'personally' as it appears for the first time in s.20(3A)(iii) requires personal service only in the sense of referring to an individual person in their own right, that is, '*distinguishing directions given to a defendant in their own right from those given to them through their lawyer or agent*'. Directions, referred to in s.20(3A)(iii) while required to be given to the defendant 'personally' did not require the defendant to be served 'in person'.

TABLE 3.3.4: Bail in circumstances not provided for by *Bail Act 1980*, ss.20(3), (3A) or (3B)

Section	Circumstances	Mandated condition
20(3C)	When bail is granted in circumstances not provided for by ss.20(3), (3A) or (3B) (re s.13 circumstances - see below)	The undertaking <i>shall</i> be subject to: (a) the condition that the defendant notify the Director of Public Prosecutions or, as the case may be the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions in writing forthwith of any changes of address for service of notices or residential address other than that arising from the defendant's surrender into custody; and (b) such further conditions as are imposed by the court granting bail.

TABLE 3.3.5: Bail subject to an undertaking containing a passport surrender condition

[Section 11AA of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that where an undertaking includes a passport surrender condition, the court *must* order that the person be detained in custody until the passport is surrendered. Once the passport has been surrendered, pursuant to s.20(3D), the defendant is then released on an undertaking that *must* state the defendant has surrendered the defendant's current passport.

Section	Circumstances	Mandated conditions
20(3D)	When bail is granted subject to a 'passport surrender condition', (defined in s.20(10) to mean ' <i>a special condition under s.11(2) that includes a requirement that the defendant surrender</i>	The undertaking <i>must</i> include: i) a statement that the defendant has surrendered the defendant's current passport. <u>Note of caution:</u> The standardised bail conditions refer to a condition to surrender passport but do not specifically include a statement that the defendant has surrendered the defendant's current passport.

	<i>the defendant's current passport'.)</i>	
--	--	--

3.4 Discretionary special conditions of an undertaking (Section 11(2))

The *Bail Act 1980* provides the magistrate with very broad powers to impose special conditions, as the magistrate sees fit, provided the magistrate considers the imposition of the special condition or conditions is or are necessary to secure the defendant's future appearance, and to ensure the defendant, whilst on bail, does not commit an offence, endanger the safety or welfare of members of the public or interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice.¹¹³

In considering whether to impose special conditions under [s.11\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), s.11(3A) requires the court or police officer to consider the effect any condition would have the defendant's ability to carry out responsibilities for:

- (a) a person with whom the defendant is in a family relationship and for whom the defendant is the primary caregiver; or
- (b) a person with whom the defendant is in an informal care relationship; or
- (c) if the defendant is pregnant – the child of the pregnancy.

Examples of responsibilities –

- *transporting a child to an appointment, childcare or school*
- *attending a medical appointment in relation to a pregnancy*
- *cultural obligations to a family member.*

'Family relationship' is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to have the meaning given by the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.19.](#)

'Informal care relationship' is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to have the meaning given by the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.20.](#)

¹¹³ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(2\)](#). See [DPP v Bakir \[2006\] QCA 562](#) regarding special conditions imposed in circumstances involving charges including of attempted murder, to reduce the risk of interfering with witnesses to an acceptable level.

3.4.1 Special conditions must not be more onerous than necessary

Any special conditions imposed pursuant to [s.11\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) *must not be more onerous than are necessary* having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest.¹¹⁴

3.5 Discretionary special conditions specifically provided for in the *Bail Act 1980*

TABLE 3.5.1: Special Condition – Licensed premises (*Bail Act 1980*, ss. 11(3), 11(4AA(b)) and s.11(4))

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
11(3)	Entering, remaining, or attending licensed premises/event in public where liquor will be sold	The undertaking <i>may</i> be subject to special conditions prohibiting a person from doing or attempting: (a) entering or remaining in a stated licensed premises or a stated class of licensed premises; (b) entering or remaining in, during stated hours, a stated area that is designated by its distance from, or location in relation to, the stated licensed premises or stated class or licensed premises mentioned in a special condition imposed under (a) above; (c) attending or remaining at a stated event, to be held in a public place, at which liquor will be sold for consumption. Examples are provided for in the legislation at s.11(3) of the Bail Act 1980 as follows: • a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or remaining in, between the

¹¹⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(5\)](#).

		hours of 10pm and 6am, an area that is within 10 metres of stated licensed premises mentioned in a special condition imposed under paragraph (a); • a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or remaining in, between the hours of 11pm and 5am, a stated street, or an area abutting several stated streets, that is located near stated licensed premises mentioned in a special condition imposed under paragraph (a); • a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or remaining in, between the hours of 11pm and 5am, the safe night precinct under the Liquor Act 1992 in which the stated licensed premises mentioned in a special condition imposed under paragraph (a) are located
11(4AA)(b)	To give practical effect to a 'special condition' imposed pursuant to s.11(3) of the Bail Act 1980 (above)	The court may impose a condition that requires the person to report to a police station within 48 hours after bail is granted to be photographed under Chapter 19, Part 5B of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000
11(4)	Where the offence for which bail is being considered involves: (a) the use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence to another person or property; and	The court or authorised police officer <i>must consider</i> the imposition of a special condition as set out in s.11(3) of the Bail Act 1980 (see above).

	(b) having regard to the evidence, the court or police officer is satisfied that the alleged offence was committed in a licensed premises or in a public place in the vicinity of licensed premises.	
--	--	--

TABLE 3.5.2: Special Condition – Passport surrender

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
11(4A)	Where the defendant is not an Australian citizen or permanent resident. (Section 11(10) of the Bail Act 1980 defines 'Australian citizen' and 'permanent resident')	The court or authorised police officer must consider the imposition of special conditions: (a) requiring the person to surrender the person's current passport; and (b) prohibiting the person from applying for a passport. (See mandatory conditions ss.203D and 11AA above that are triggered by a discretionary decision to impose a special condition requiring a passport surrender.)

TABLE 3.5.3: Special Condition – Examination of defendant's physical and or mental condition

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
11(6)	Where a court grants bail on an adjournment of a hearing or while the defendant is awaiting trial	A special condition requiring examination of the defendant's physical or mental condition may be made, provided the examination is one that the

	and considers that an examination of the defendant's physical or mental condition ought to be made	defendant could lawfully be required to undergo if the defendant were remanded in custody. ¹¹⁵ The Court must also arrange for a statement containing the reasons for the investigation and the information before the Court about the defendant's physical or mental condition, to be given to the institution place or doctor. ¹¹⁶
--	--	---

TABLE 3.5.4: Special Condition – Wearing of a tracking device

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
11(9B)	In accordance with s.11(2) of the Bail Act 1980	A special condition that the defendant wear a tracking device whilst released on bail.
11(9C)	Where a court imposes a special condition under s.11(9B) of the Bail Act 1980	The Court may impose any other condition the court considers necessary to facilitate the operation of the tracking device. 'Tracking device' is defined in s.11(10) of the Bail Act 1980 Examples of such special conditions are set out in s.11(9C) of the Bail Act 1980

TABLE 3.5.5: Special Conditions – Participation in rehabilitation, treatment, or other intervention course

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
11(9)	In accordance with s.11(2) of the Bail Act 1980	A Magistrates Court may impose a condition that the defendant participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course, having regard to:

¹¹⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(7\)](#)

¹¹⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(8\)](#)

		(a) the nature of the offence; (b) the circumstances of the defendant, including any benefit the defendant may derive from participation; (c) the public interest. ;¹¹⁷
11(AB)	If the defendant consents to completing a Drug and Alcohol Assessment Referral (DAAR) course	The court may impose a condition for the person's release that the person complete a DAAR course by a stated day.¹¹⁸ In deciding whether to impose the condition, the Court must have regard to: (a) the nature of the offence; (b) the person's circumstances, including any benefit the person may derive by completing a DAAR course; and (c) the public interest.¹¹⁹ The court cannot impose the condition if the defendant has: (a) completed two DAAR courses within the previous five years; or (b) is under 18 years old; or (c) section 11A of the Bail Act 1980 applies (regarding a defendant who appears to have an impairment of mind).¹²⁰

¹¹⁷ A breach of the conditions imposed pursuant to [s.11\(9\), or s.11\(AB\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) is not an offence under s.29 – see [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(c\)](#). Additionally, upon breach of s.11(9) or s.11(AB), the court has the power to vary or rescind the condition, but cannot revoke bail - [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(6\)](#).

¹¹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(AB\)\(2\)](#).

¹¹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(AB\)\(3\)](#).

¹²⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(AB\)\(4\)](#).

TABLE 3.5.6: Special Condition – Bail granted by Supreme Court before or during committal proceedings (Section 20(3B))

Section	Circumstances	Discretionary special condition
20(3B)	When bail is granted by the Supreme Court pursuant to s.13 of the Bail Act 1980 , prior to the commencement of or during the examination of witnesses in relation to the indictable offence in respect of which the defendant has been so granted bail.	The undertaking <i>may</i> be subject to a condition that: (i) if the defendant is committed for trial the bail shall be enlarged (in which case the undertaking shall be subject to the mandatory conditions that apply to a defendant granted bail following committal, as set out in s.20(3A)(a)(see above)).

3.6 Standardised bail conditions

Standardised Bail Conditions are set out in Annexure A.

3.7 Special conditions involving a surety

3.7.1 Assessing the suitability of a surety

The *Bail Act 1980* requires that prior to accepting any person as a surety, the justice *must* be satisfied of the preconditions set out in s.21(1) as follows:

- (a) has attained the age of 18 years old;
- (b) has not have been convicted of an indictable offence;
- (c) is not:
 - i. an involuntary patient under the [Mental Health Act 2016](#);
 - ii. a forensic disability client within the meaning of the [Forensic Disability Act 2011](#); or
 - iii. a person for whom a guardian or administrator has been appointed under the [Guardian and Administration Act 2000](#).

- (d) has not be an insolvent under administration;
- (e) has not been, and is not likely to be charged; and
- (f) is worth not less than the amount of bail in real or personal property.¹²¹

[Section 21\(3\) of Bail Act 1980](#) provides that in considering the suitability of a person as a surety, regard *shall* be had to:

- (a) the person's financial resources;
- (b) the person's character and antecedents;
- (c) the person's proximity to the defendant (whether by kinship, place of residence or otherwise).

Section 21(4) provides that *'before accepting a person as a surety, a justice shall satisfy himself or herself as to the sufficiency of means of the person and shall require that person to make before the justice an affidavit of justification in the approved form'* (see [Form 11](#)).

Section 21(5) provides that when considering a proposed surety's sufficiency of means, a Justice before whom an affidavit of justification is sworn, shall ask all questions that appear to the Justice to be necessary. Section 21(6) provides that in order to satisfy a Justice of a surety's sufficiency of means, a proposed surety may deposit the amount of their surety in money with the proper officer of the court granting bail.

In circumstances where a surety produces to a justice any property (for example jewellery) or document (for example a Title Deed) in order to satisfy the justice that the surety owns or has an interest in any real or personal property, s.21(6) provides that the Justice shall record on the affidavit of justification, details of the property or document cited, and return the property or document to the proposed surety.

In accordance with s.21(8), a Justice may not accept a surety if it appears to the Justice before whom the affidavit of satisfaction is sworn, that it would be ruinous or injurious to the person or the person's family if the undertaking were forfeited.

¹²¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.21\(1\)](#).

Where it becomes apparent that a surety has sworn an affidavit of justification that is false in a material particular, the court may, pursuant to s.21(7), revoke the defendant's bail and issue a warrant for the defendant's arrest.

[Section 29A of the Bail Act 1980](#) sets out the procedure in respect of defendant's apprehension pursuant to s.21(7). In accordance with that provision, the defendant shall be brought forthwith before a Magistrates Court (or as the case may be, the Childrens Court). The magistrate has the power pursuant to s.29A(2) to vary the defendant's bail.

3.7.2 Discharge from liability with respect to bail undertaking (Section 23 – 25)

[Section 23 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that at any time prior to a condition of an undertaking being broken or the defendant's arrest pursuant to [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) (relating to failure to comply with an undertaking), a surety may apply to the court before which the defendant is required to appear in accordance with an undertaking, for discharge from liability with respect to the undertaking.

Upon such application, s.23(2) provides that a court may make '*such order as it thinks fit, including an order that the surety be discharged from liability with respect to the undertaking*'.¹²²

Section 23(3) of the *Bail Act 1980* provides that where a court discharges a surety, the court may issue a warrant directing that the defendant be committed to prison until such time as a further surety or other security is provided or until such time as required to appear before the court as stated in the undertaking.¹²³ (See [Form 14](#) – Warrant to Apprehend Defendant on Application by Surety for Discharge from Liability with Respect to Undertaking as to Bail)

[Section 24](#) provides that a surety may bring a defendant to court at any time and that a court may then discharge the surety from liability with respect to a bail undertaking and call upon the defendant to provide a further surety.¹²⁴ If a defendant is unable to provide a further surety, the defendant may be committed to prison. The defendant may then apply for bail pursuant to s.24(3) of the *Bail Act 1980*.

¹²² Relevant considerations will include whether the surety has taken all reasonable steps to ensure the attendance of the defendant and any financial hardship arising since the undertaking was given: [Director of Public Prosecutions \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#) [72]-[79].

¹²³ Discussed in [Ex Parte Doueihi \[1986\] 2 Qd R 352](#) at 356 per Williams J.

¹²⁴ Discussed in [Ex Parte Doueihi \[1986\] 2 Qd R 352](#) at 355 per Williams J.

[Section 25](#) provides that in the event of the death of a surety, the surety's estate is not subject to any liability with respect to the undertaking. A defendant in such circumstances may be required to provide a further surety.

3.7.3 Offence of indemnifying surety (Section 26)

It is an offence to indemnify or agree to indemnify any person with respect to liability that may be incurred as a surety.¹²⁵ [Form 11](#) (Affidavit of Justification of a Surety) includes a statement confirming that the surety has not been indemnified as to bail. See also Chapter Eight below regarding forfeiture of undertaking, deposit, or other security (including surety) upon non-attendance.¹²⁶

3.8 Forms

- [Form 7](#) '*Undertaking as to Bail*'¹²⁷
- [Form 8](#) '*Notice to defendant of Undertaking as to Bail*'¹²⁸
- [Form 9](#) - '*Undertaking as to Bail Following Committal for Trial or for Sentence*'¹²⁹
- [Form 10](#) '*Undertaking as to bail following grant of bail by judge of the Supreme or District Court or Court of Appeal*'¹³⁰

Care should be taken to ensure all necessary additional mandatory conditions as required by s.20 of the *Bail Act 1980* and the circumstances of the application, as set out above, are included.

¹²⁵ See [Ex Parte Doueihi \[1986\] 2 Qd R 352](#) at 361 per Williams J.

¹²⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss. 31, 32 & 32B](#)

¹²⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(1\)](#)

¹²⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(5\)\(b\)](#)

¹²⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(2\)](#)

¹³⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.20](#)

CHAPTER 4 – VARIATION OR REVOCATION OF BAIL

Power to vary or revoke bail previously granted upon application by complainant, prosecutor, or Crown (Section 30)

Upon application by the Crown, a prosecutor, or a complainant to revoke or vary bail:

- the court that granted bail;
- the court before which an indictment is presented; and
- the Supreme Court

are empowered by virtue of [s.30 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to vary or revoke bail, if the court is of the opinion that it is *necessary or desirable in the interest of justice to do so*.

Power to vary bail previously granted upon application by the defendant (Section 19(1))

Upon application by the defendant to vary bail conditions, a magistrate should consider:

1. whether the conditions of bail imposed originally are still necessary to secure the defendant's compliance with the matters set out in [ss.11\(2\)\(a\) and \(b\) of the Bail Act 1980](#); and
2. whether those conditions at the time of the application to vary bail, are more onerous than necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the defendant's circumstances, and the public interest.

Caution: A magistrate will fall into error if the magistrate approaches an application to vary bail by applying the test under [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#) as to whether there exists an unacceptable risk. A different test applies on an application to vary bail already granted to the defendant.

4.1 Exclusive jurisdiction of trial judge to enlarge, vary or revoke bail previously granted (Section 10)

By virtue of [ss.10\(2\) and \(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), a trial judge (in the District and Supreme Court) has exclusive jurisdiction to enlarge, vary or revoke bail, which is not reviewable once the proceeding has commenced.

In [R v Wren \[2000\] 1 Qd R 577](#), the Court of Appeal noted that:

‘Whilst at first glance ss.10(2) and 10(3) might seem limited to applications for bail in jury trials, upon analysis those subsections are of wide application and include a case such as the present where there has been a plea of guilty and an application is made for bail in the course of the sentencing procedure’.

4.2 Application by complainant, prosecutor or person acting on behalf of Crown for variation or revocation of bail previously granted (Section 30)

[Section 30 of the Bail Act 1980](#) names the parties that may bring an application to vary or revoke bail previously granted. Judicial officers are not empowered by that section to vary or revoke bail on their own initiative without an application by the named parties

Section 30(1) provides that:

Bail granted to a defendant on an undertaking may be varied or revoked, on the application of a complainant, prosecutor or person appearing on behalf of the Crown¹³¹, by –

- (a) the court that granted bail; or*
- (b) the court before which an indictment has been presented; or*
- (c) the Supreme Court¹³²*

if the court is of the opinion that it is necessary or desirable in the interests of justice to do so.

¹³¹ ‘Crown’ is not defined in the *Bail Act 1980* but in [Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) v Foy \[2006\] QSC 45](#), the Court held that ‘Crown’ included the Director of Public Prosecutions.

¹³² [Section 10 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that the Supreme Court may ‘enlarge, vary or revoke bail granted to a person in or in connection with a criminal proceeding whether or not the person appeared before the Supreme Court in or in connection therewith’.

Similarly, s.30(1A) provides that:

Bail granted to a defendant on an undertaking by a police officer authorised by this Act or the Youth Justice Act 1992 to grant bail, may be varied or revoked on application of the complainant, prosecutor or person appearing on behalf of the Crown, by:

- (a) If the defendant is required to appear before the Childrens Court - the Childrens Court; or*
- (b) a Magistrates Court;*

if the court is of the opinion that it is necessary or desirable in the interests of justice to do so.

The application may be made ex parte either:

- (a) after notice of intention to make the application has been given to the defendant and the defendant's surety or sureties; or
- (b) without giving notice pursuant to paragraph (a) if the defendant:
 - i. has absconded or if the court is satisfied that the defendant is likely to abscond; or
 - ii. has broken, or if the court is satisfied that the defendant is likely to break, a condition of the defendant's undertaking.¹³³

Section 30(2)(b)(ii) was considered in the case of [The Queen v Bellino \[1993\] QCA 390](#) where the Court of Appeal upheld the trial judge's decision to revoke the defendant's bail during the course of a trial. In that case, the trial judge had concerns relating to the continuing disruption of the trial and the risk of the trial not being able to be completed in the event that bail was not revoked after the defendant failed to attend trial and then failed to sufficiently account for how he had occasioned a broken jaw during the course of the trial.

In circumstances where an application to revoke or vary bail is brought *ex parte* and without notice pursuant to s.30(2)(b) of the *Bail Act 1980*, the court may:

- a) order that notice of the application be given to the defendant and the defendant's surety/ies notifying that if the defendant fails to surrender into custody in

¹³³ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\)](#)

accordance with the notice a warrant may issue for the apprehension of the defendant; or

- (b) forthwith issue a warrant to apprehend the defendant and bring the defendant before the court to show cause why the defendant's bail should not be varied or revoked.¹³⁴

Section 30(4) provides that if the defendant has been given notice pursuant to s.30(2)(a) or s.30(3)(a), and:

- (a) *fails to surrender into custody, the court may issue a warrant for the defendant's apprehension;*¹³⁵
- (b) *surrenders into custody and fails to satisfy the court that it is not necessary or desirable in the interest of justice that the defendant's bail be varied or revoked, the court may –*
 - (i) *vary the bail in such manner as it thinks fit; or*
 - (ii) *revoke the bail; or*
- (c) *surrenders into custody and satisfies the court that it is not necessary or desirable in the interests of justice that the defendant's bail be varied or revoked the court may order that the defendant be released from custody on the defendant's original undertaking.*

Section 30(5) provides that a surety/ies to whom notice has been given under s.30(2)(a) or s.30(3)(a), shall be entitled to appear at the hearing of the application and to give evidence. The court may, if it thinks fit, adjourn the hearing to allow the surety/sureties to do so.

Section 30(6) provides that if the only ground for making an application to vary or revoke is that the defendant has broken or is likely to break, a condition of the defendant's undertaking imposed under s.11(9) (participation in rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course) or s.11AB (completion of DAAR course), the court may vary the defendant's bail, including by rescinding the condition imposed under ss.11(9) or 11AB but *may not revoke* the bail.

¹³⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(3\)](#) and [Form 19](#).

¹³⁵ [Form 20](#).

Section 30 appears in [Part 4 of the Bail Act 1980](#) and accordingly, proceedings pursuant to s.30 do not have the benefit of the relaxation of the procedural rules and admissibility of evidence as a consequence of [s.15 of the Bail Act 1980](#) in respect of the release of persons on bail under [Part 2 of the Act](#).¹³⁶

4.2.1 Test to be applied for application to vary or revoke bail previously granted (Section 30)

In considering an application for variation or revocation of bail pursuant to [s.30 of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court must be satisfied, in accordance with the wording of s.30, that it is *necessary or desirable in the interests of justice to do so*.

There is an absence of authority as to what considerations should be taken into account under s.30 in determining if it be “necessary or desirable in the interests of justice” to vary or revoke bail. As a Crown application under s.30 seeks to vary bail previously granted, by analogy the reasoning adopted in [Clumpoint v DPP \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#) on an application by a defendant to vary bail would seem applicable. In that case it was held the focus should be on the adequacy of the conditions previously imposed and on ensuring the conditions are not more onerous than necessary. (See discussion in Chapter 4.3.1 below.)

An obiter comment by the Court of Appeal in *Clumpoint* assumed that a Crown application under s.30 would have to demonstrate materially changed circumstances.

4.2.2 Forms

- [Form 19](#) - Warrant to apprehend defendant on application for revocation or variation of bail¹³⁷
- [Form 20](#) - Warrant to apprehend defendant on application for revocation or variation of bail¹³⁸

4.3 Application by a defendant for variation of bail previously granted (Section 19(1))

[Section 19\(1\) of Bail Act 1980](#) provides:

¹³⁶ Per Douglas J in [Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) v Filippa \[2005\] 1 Qd R 587](#): ‘Section 15 relaxes the ordinary procedural rules and rules about the admissibility of evidence in respect of proceedings about the release of person under that part. That relaxation of the rule does not apply to an application to vary or revoke bail under s.30 of the Act which appears in Pt 4’.

¹³⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(3\)](#)

¹³⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(4\)](#)

*A defendant held in custody in relation to an offence who has been refused bail or having been granted bail feels aggrieved by the amount fixed or any condition imposed for the defendant's release from custody may make application to a court empowered by section 8 to grant bail to the defendant for an order granting or varying bail.*¹³⁹

Pursuant to [s.8 of the Bail Act 1980](#), such courts include:

- (i) a court, before which the person is awaiting a criminal proceeding;¹⁴⁰
- (ii) the Magistrates Court when the person is awaiting a s.222 appeal to the District Court;¹⁴¹
- (iii) a court that has adjourned the criminal proceeding;¹⁴² or
- (iv) the court has committed or remanded the person in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by that court or another court in relation to that offence.¹⁴³

On an application under [s.19\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court may grant bail, vary bail, or refuse the application.¹⁴⁴

4.3.1 Two stage test to be applied upon application by defendant to vary bail previously granted

[Section 8\(1\)\(a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that a court may, subject to the provisions of the Act, 'enlarge, vary or revoke bail' (s.8(1)(b)).

¹³⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19\(1\)](#). The common law right to successive bail applications was previously codified in Queensland in the form of s.555 of the *Criminal Code*. However, that codification was repealed by the *Bail Act 1980*. The common law right has been held to have survived the repeal of s.555 of the *Criminal Code* - see [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) and [Ex Parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#), particularly on the basis that [s.10\(2\) and \(3\)](#) state that a decision of a trial judge concerning bail is final, which suggests that outside the confines of a trial, the decision on bail is not final.

¹⁴⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\)](#). In the case of an appeal pending before the Court of Appeal, [s.8\(5\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that: 'The powers of the Court of Appeal with respect to bail may be exercised by a judge of the Supreme Court in the same manner as they may be exercised by the Court of Appeal, but, if the judge refuses an application with respect to bail, the person making the application may apply to the Court of Appeal and that court shall hear and determine the application.'

¹⁴¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#).

¹⁴² [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iii\)](#).

¹⁴³ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iv\)](#).

¹⁴⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19\(2\)](#).

[Section 9 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) emphasises the presumption of innocence by providing, subject to the other provisions of the Act, a presumption in favour of enlarging or varying bail already granted to the person.

[Section 11](#) of the Act provides that a court '*shall not make the conditions for a grant of bail more onerous for the person than those that in the opinion of the court ... are necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest*'.

Accordingly, as a consequence of the operation of ss.8, 9 and 11 of the *Bail Act 1980*, where a defendant has previously been granted bail and an application is subsequently made by the defendant to vary the bail, a court should consider:

- A) whether the conditions of bail imposed on the defendant are still necessary to secure the defendant's compliance with s.11(2)(a) and (b) of the *Bail Act 1980*; and
- B) whether those conditions are presently more onerous than necessary.

As the Court explained in [Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#):

'The learned primary judge did not approach the application to vary bail by considering s.9 and s.11 but instead considered whether he ought to refuse bail under s.16. This approach was wrong in law. Because Mr Clumpoint had already been granted bail the question was not whether bail ought to be refused under s.16 but whether the conditions of bail imposed on [the defendant] when he was released on bail were still necessary to secure the defendant's compliance with the matters set out in s.11(2)(a) and (b) and whether those conditions at the time of the application to vary bail were by that time more onerous than necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, [the defendant's] circumstances and the public interest (s.11(2A)) [now s.11(5)].' [18]

4.3.1.1 Stage One - Whether the conditions are still necessary to secure the defendant's compliance with *Bail Act 1980* (Section 11(2)(a) & (b))

In accordance with [s.11\(2\)\(a\) and \(b\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#), upon an application to vary bail, the court should consider whether the conditions are presently necessary to:

- (a) ensure a defendant appears in accordance with the undertaking and surrenders into custody; or

(b) ensure a defendant on bail does not

- (iv) commit an offence; or
- (v) endanger the safety or welfare of members of the public; or
- (vi) interfere with witnesses or otherwise obstruct the course of justice.

4.3.1.2 Stage Two – Whether the conditions are presently more onerous than necessary (Section 11(5))

In accordance with [s.11\(5\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court should also consider whether the conditions are more onerous than necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest.

In [Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#), the defendant was granted conditional bail following being charged with offences relating to riots on Palm Island, including a condition that he not visit Palm Island. The defendant sought a variation to the bail conditions to permit him to return to his family and home on Palm Island which was refused by the magistrate. He then applied to a Supreme Court judge who similarly refused the application to vary. The defendant then appealed the decision of the Supreme Court judge to the Court of Appeal. The Court of Appeal held that the primary judge had been wrong to approach the application to vary bail by considering [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#) in the context of whether bail ought to be refused because of an unacceptable risk. This is because the defendant had been granted bail previously and, in those circumstances, the correct approach involved a consideration of the presumption in favour of bail in [s.9 of the Bail Act 1980](#) and the utility of the conditions imposed pursuant to s.11 (and not ‘unacceptable risk’ as per s.16).

The Court of Appeal concluded that in the present circumstances the conditions preventing the defendant from living on Palm Island were, at the time of the application, more onerous than necessary.

In [Commonwealth DPP v Groves \[2012\] QCA 122](#), the Commonwealth DPP sought a stay of a decision of a judge varying bail by removing a condition requiring the surrender of the defendant’s passport. The Court of Appeal held the judge had correctly considered the flight risk in the context of ‘*the onerousness of the existing conditions and whether they were necessary*’. Holmes JA stated ‘*the question of whether the variation should be allowed inevitably turned on whether the existing conditions were rendered necessary by the risk of flight*’.

In [*Berg v DPP \(Qld\)* \[2009\] QCA 213](#), the Court of Appeal upheld the decision of the primary judge refusing to vary a bail condition requiring once-weekly reporting notwithstanding that it was argued that the condition adversely affected the defendant's mental condition and was thereby more onerous than necessary. The Court of Appeal found that in the absence of medical evidence to support the contention, there had been no error in the primary judge's decision.

In [*Crinis v Commissioner of Queensland Police Service* \[2018\] QCA 150](#), a condition had been imposed which had the effect of preventing the defendant from initiating civil proceedings against certain individuals. The Court of Appeal held this to be an extreme measure and varied the condition.

4.4 Deeming provisions relating to variation of bail previously granted

4.4.1 Varying bail when summary charge is transmitted from court of summary jurisdiction to another court (Section 34A)

Where a complaint or bench charge sheet is transmitted to a registrar of another court under [*s.652\(4\) of the Criminal Code*](#), the summary bail is continued and is taken to have been granted by the receiving court on the conditions imposed by the court of summary jurisdiction, but is taken to be varied to require the defendant to appear before the receiving court for the hearing of the summary matters on the day set by the receiving court for hearing of the charge on indictment.

4.4.2 Varying bail when summary charge is transmitted from receiving court back to the court of summary jurisdiction (Section 34B)

Where summary matters are remitted back to a court of summary jurisdiction (after having been transmitted pursuant to s.34A), summary bail is taken to have been granted by the court exercising summary jurisdiction on the conditions that applied to it under s.34A, but varied to require the defendant to appear before the court of summary jurisdiction for the hearing of the summary charge on the day set by the receiving/higher court on the day it gives the direction remitting the summary matters (which must not be earlier than one month after the day it gives the direction and makes the order).

4.4.3 Varying bail on registry committal (Section 34BA)

Where a defendant on bail proceeds by way of registry committal pursuant to the *Justices Act 1886*, the bail is continued and is taken to have been granted by the receiving court on the same

conditions that applied immediately before the registry committal but varied to require the defendant to appear before the receiving court as required by the receiving court.¹⁴⁵

If at the registry committal stage, the clerk of the court amends the charges pursuant to [s.115\(6\) of the Justices Act 1886](#), summary bail is taken to be granted for the charges on which the defendant is committed for trial or sentence under the registry committal.¹⁴⁶

[Section 34BA\(5\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) states that an undertaking that includes any promise of a surety for the purposes of summary bail, is taken to have been given to the receiving court.

4.4.4 Varying bail for charge of an indictable offence referred to clerk of the court under Section 23EB of the Justices Act 1886 to be managed by way of ex officio indictment

Where a defendant on bail proceeds by way of ex-officio indictment pursuant to [s.23EB of the Justices Act 1886](#), the bail applying to the defendant in relation to the charge is continued and is taken to have been granted by the receiving court in which the indictment has or is to be presented, on the same conditions, except varied to require the defendant to appear before the receiving court as required by the receiving court.¹⁴⁷ (ss.34BB(1)(2) and (3)).

[Section 34BB\(4\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) states that where a matter proceeds by ex-officio indictment and the bail undertaking includes a promise of a surety, the promise is taken to have been given to the receiving court.

Section 34BB(5) provides that if the matter does not proceed by way of ex-officio indictment and the charge is referred back to the Magistrates Court and the ex-officio indictment has not been presented, then bail is taken to be varied to require the defendant to appear at the time and place advised by the clerk.

4.4.5 Continuation of undertaking and sureties where defendant arrested on another charge (Section 34)

If a defendant on bail is arrested on another charge, the undertaking, and sureties to which the bail relates continue to apply until the defendant is sentenced or discharged on the offence for

¹⁴⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.34BA\(1\) – \(3\)](#)

¹⁴⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.34BA\(4\)](#)

¹⁴⁷ [Bail Act 1980, ss.34BB\(1\)-\(3\)](#)

which he or she has bail. ¹⁴⁸ This does not prevent the person arrested on another charge being committed to prison or granted bail on that charge.¹⁴⁹

¹⁴⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.34\(1\).](#)

¹⁴⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.34\(2\).](#)

CHAPTER 5 – YOUTH BAIL

Bail under the *Youth Justice Act 1992*

The [Youth Justice Act 1992](#) sets out the core provisions for the grant of bail to a child charged with an offence.¹⁵⁰ Subject to the *Youth Justice Act 1992*, the *Bail Act 1980* applies in relation to a child.¹⁵¹

The *Youth Justice Act 1992* provisions for youth bail differ in important respects to the *Bail Act 1980* provisions for adult bail. Principle 18 of the [Charter of Youth Justice Principles](#) provides that a child should be dealt with under the Act in a way that allows the child:

- to be reintegrated into the community; and
- to continue the child's education, training or employment without interruption or disturbance, if practicable; and
- to continue to reside in the child's home, if practicable.

The provisions in [ss.48, 48AAA](#) and [48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) relating to when the court or a police officer must release the child find no equivalent in the *Bail Act 1980* as it relates to adults.

Jurisdiction of the Childrens Court

Proceedings in respect of children charged with criminal offences are heard in the Childrens Court. A child must be brought before the Childrens Court within 24 hours of arrest or as soon as practicable on the next day the court can practicably be constituted.

Who is treated as a child?

A person who at the time of their alleged offending had not turned 18 will be dealt with under the youth justice system as a child. Therefore, a person who is alleged to have committed an offence as a child but has since become an adult must be treated as a child for the purposes of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*.¹⁵²

¹⁵⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, Part 5.](#)

¹⁵¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.47\(1\)](#); Note that [ss.7, 11, 16](#) and [16A of the Bail Act 1980](#) do not apply to a child.

¹⁵² [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.132-134.](#)

Power of court regarding bail (Section 48)

The *Youth Justice Act 1992* provisions apply if a court or police officer is deciding whether to release a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence or keep the child in custody¹⁵³.

The court's power to release a child

The court has the power to release a child by:

- a. granting or enlarging bail with or without conditions; or
- b. releasing the child without bail.

A child can be released as a consequence of one of the following:

1. the duty to release where no statutory prohibition or unacceptable risk ¹⁵⁴
 - i. by release on bail; or ¹⁵⁵
 - ii. by release without bail. ¹⁵⁶

(in determining whether the circumstances point to an unacceptable risk, consider mandatory and discretionary factors as set out in [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA](#))

2. the exercise of a discretion to release despite there being an unacceptable risk ¹⁵⁷
3. despite the child having been found guilty of a terrorism offence or being or having previously been subject to a Commonwealth control order, the court is satisfied that exceptional circumstances exist. ¹⁵⁸

The court's power to refuse to grant or enlarge bail

The court has a power to refuse to release a child by refusing to grant or enlarge bail.

A child can be kept in custody as a consequence of one of the following:

¹⁵³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(1\).](#)

¹⁵⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(1\)-\(2\)](#)

¹⁵⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)](#)

¹⁵⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.55](#)

¹⁵⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA](#)

¹⁵⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48A\(2\) & \(3\)](#)

1. satisfaction of an unacceptable risk of matters in [s.48AAA\(2\)](#)
2. satisfaction of unacceptable risk of matters in [s.48AAA\(3\)](#) in circumstances where the discretion in [s.48AA\(1\)\(c\)](#) is not exercised;
3. where court requires further information to assess whether unacceptable risk; ¹⁵⁹
4. where duty compels court in case of child with previous record of terrorism offences or who is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order unless exceptional circumstances exist; ¹⁶⁰
5. where duty compels court in case of endangered child. ¹⁶¹

Show cause/reverse presumption of bail (Section 48AF)

Where a child has been charged with a prescribed indictable offence committed while on release into the custody of a parent, or at large with or without bail, for another indictable offence, a court or police officer must refuse to release the child from custody unless the child shows cause why the child's detention in custody is not justified.

Conditions of bail (Section 52)

A child granted bail must under [s.52\(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) be released on their own undertaking, without sureties and without deposit of money or other security, unless the court is satisfied it would be inappropriate in all the circumstances. ¹⁶²

If the court decides not to release the child under s.52(2) it must consider the conditions for release in the following sequence:

- (a) on the child's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value;
- (b) on the child's own undertaking with a surety or sureties of stated value;

¹⁵⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(4\) & \(5\)](#)

¹⁶⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48A](#)

¹⁶¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AE](#)

¹⁶² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52\(2\).](#)

- (c) on the child's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value and a surety or sureties of stated value.¹⁶³

Restrictions on the imposition of conditions (Section 52A(2))

Except in the case of a child convicted of terrorism offences or who is or has been subject to a Commonwealth control order, a court or police officer can only impose conditions (other than about appearing before a court or surrendering into custody) if satisfied that:

- (a) there is a risk of the child doing a thing mentioned in [s.48AAA\(2\)\(a\) or \(3\)](#); and
- (b) the condition is necessary to mitigate the risk; and
- (c) the condition does not, having regard to the following matters, involve undue management or supervision of the child –
- (i) the child's age, maturity level, cognitive ability, and developmental needs;
 - (ii) the child's health including the child's need for medical assessment or medical treatment;
 - (iii) for a child with a disability – the disability and the child's need for services and supports in relation to the disability;
 - (iv) the child's home environment;
 - (v) the child's ability to comply with the condition; and
- (d) the condition does not unduly restrict the child's ability to carry out the child's responsibilities for –
- (i) a person with whom the child is in a family relationship and for whom the child is the primary caregiver; or
 - (ii) a person with whom the child is in an informal care relationship; or
 - (iii) if the child is pregnant – the child of the pregnancy.¹⁶⁴

Examples of responsibilities –

- *transporting a child of the child to an appointment, childcare or school*
- *attending a medical appointment in relation to a pregnancy*
- *cultural obligations to a family member.*

¹⁶³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52\(3\).](#)

¹⁶⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(2\)\(a\), \(b\), \(c\) and \(d\).](#)

Any conditions imposed under [s.52A\(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) must state the period the condition has effect, taking into account the matters mentioned in s.52(2)(c) and ensure that the period is no longer than is necessary to mitigate the risk.¹⁶⁵

If a court imposes a condition under [s.52 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the order must state how the condition is intended to mitigate the identified risk mentioned in s.52A(2)(a)¹⁶⁶. A police officer imposing such a condition must also record how the condition mitigates that risk.¹⁶⁷

GPS monitoring (Section 52A(2) & 52AA)

A court may, under s.52A(2), impose a condition of bail that the child wear a GPS monitoring device as a condition of bail if the court is satisfied, in addition to being satisfied of the matters mentioned in that section, that imposing the monitoring device condition is appropriate having regard to—

- (a) the suitability assessment report given to the court under subsection (4); and
- (b) any other matter the court considers relevant.¹⁶⁸

However, a court may only impose on a grant of bail to a child a monitoring device condition if the chief executive advises the court that all of the following services are available in the area in which the child lives—

- (a) services necessary to support the effective operation of a monitoring device;
- (b) services suitable to support the child's compliance with the condition;
- (c) services suitable to support the monitoring of the child.¹⁶⁹

A police officer must not impose on a grant of bail to the child a condition that the child must wear a monitoring device while released on bail.¹⁷⁰

¹⁶⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(3\)-\(4\).](#)

¹⁶⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52B\(1\)](#)

¹⁶⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52B\(2\)](#)

¹⁶⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA](#)

¹⁶⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992 s.52AA](#)

¹⁷⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(5\).](#)

Onus of proof

Ordinarily the court is obligated to grant bail unless a statutory prohibition applies or the prosecution can point to material capable of positively satisfying the court there is an unacceptable risk the child will fail to appear or will reoffend if released and the court chooses not to exercise the discretion in [s.48AA\(1\)\(c\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#).¹⁷¹ The burden of proof is only reversed with respect to a child previously found guilty of a terrorism offence or who is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order. Then the child must establish exceptional circumstances exist in order to be granted bail.

Failure to appear (Section 33(1) Bail Act 1980)

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with their bail.¹⁷² However, the mandatory cumulative sentence required where an adult is sentenced to imprisonment for breaching bail by failure to appear does not apply to a defendant who was a child when the offence was committed.¹⁷³

Breach of a condition of an undertaking

It is an offence for a child to breach a condition of an undertaking on which the child was granted bail.¹⁷⁴ A child may be arrested and brought before the court under [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) on reasonable suspicion the child has or is likely to contravene a condition of an undertaking.¹⁷⁵ Alternatively, a child may be issued with a notice requiring their appearance.¹⁷⁶ Police also have the power to take no action or issue a warning.¹⁷⁷

The Magistrate may, if satisfied the child has broken or is likely to break a condition, revoke or vary the bail.¹⁷⁸

¹⁷¹ [Section 48AE of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that where a child is in custody in connection with a charge of an offence, a court or police officer must not release the child from custody if satisfied that the child's safety would be endangered if the child were released; and the factors endangering the child's safety arise from the circumstances of the offence; and in the circumstances there is no reasonably practicable way of ensuring the child's safety other than by keeping the child in custody.

¹⁷² [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\)](#).

¹⁷³ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\)](#).

¹⁷⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(a\)](#).

¹⁷⁵ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(3\)](#).

¹⁷⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\)](#).

¹⁷⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59A](#)

¹⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A](#) and [s.30](#).

Bail may not be revoked if the only condition breached is for participation in a rehabilitation or treatment program or a DAAR course.¹⁷⁹

[Section 59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) requires a police officer to consider other alternatives to arrest where the police officer reasonably suspects the child has contravened, is contravening or is likely to contravene a condition of bail, and the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than a prescribed indictable offence; or an offence against [ss.177\(2\) or 178\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Act 2012](#).¹⁸⁰

[Section 59AA of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that a police officer may consider alternatives to arrest where the child has contravened a condition of bail in relation to prescribed indictable offences and an offence against s.177(2) or 178(2) of the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*.¹⁸¹

Confidentiality of proceedings before the Childrens Court

Proceedings in a Childrens Court constituted by a Magistrate are closed to members of the public except for persons specified in [s.20\(1\)\(a\) to \(l\) of the Childrens Court Act 1992](#).

Note: The [Making Queensland Safer Act 2024](#) amended s.20 of the *Childrens Court Act 1992* by expanding the categories of persons permitted to attend. While victims and their representatives were already permitted to attend, the amendments introduced additional categories, including:

- relative of a victim,
- a relative of a deceased victim,
- a representative of a relative of a deceased victim (includes individuals or organisation providing support),
- a person who, in the court's opinion has a proper interest in the proceeding
- an accredited media entry.¹⁸²

The power to exclude the above persons has been removed unless the matter is a proceeding under [ss.172 or 173 of the Mental Health Act 2016](#).¹⁸³ Confidential information about a child

¹⁷⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(6\)](#).

¹⁸⁰ Note 1 to [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(3\)\(a\)\(i\)](#) requires a police officer to consider matters outlined in [s.59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) before arresting a child in particular circumstances under [s.367\(3\) of the PPRA](#).

¹⁸¹ Note 2 to [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(3\)\(a\)\(i\)](#) requires a police officer to consider matters outlined in [s.59AA Youth Justice Act 1992](#) before arresting a child in particular circumstances under s.367(3) PPRA.

¹⁸² [Childrens Court Act 1992, s.20\(c\)](#).

¹⁸³ [Childrens Court Act 1992, s 20 \(3\)](#).

dealt with under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* is protected from disclosure¹⁸⁴ and it is an offence to publish identifying information about such a child without authorisation.¹⁸⁵ The court also has power to restrict publication of the details of a bail application.¹⁸⁶

5.1 Bail under the Youth Justice Act 1992

The *Youth Justice Act 1992* sets out the core provisions for the grant of bail to a child charged with an offence.¹⁸⁷ Subject to the *Youth Justice Act 1992*, the *Bail Act 1980* also applies in relation to a child.¹⁸⁸ However, several positions supersede parts of the *Bail Act 1980* as below.

Topic	Section of YJA	Supersedes sections of BA
Power of police officer to grant bail	Sections 48, 48AA, and 48AE	Section 7
Conditions on release of bail	Sections 52 and 52A	Section 11
Refusal of bail generally	Sections 48(4) and 48AA	Section 16 See section 16(5)
Refusal of bail for defendants convicted of terrorism offences or subject to Commonwealth control orders	Sections 48(2), 48AB and 48A	Section 16A

A review of a sentence order under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* is an appeal for the purposes of the *Bail Act 1980*.¹⁸⁹

[The Charter of Youth Justice Principles](#) contained in Schedule One to the *Youth Justice Act 1992* provides guiding principles for the administration of the *Youth Justice Act 1992* and importantly, Principle Nine requires that proceedings for children remanded in custody be given priority and Principle 18 provides that a child should be dealt with under the Act in a way that allows the child:

- to be reintegrated into the community; and
- to continue the child's education, training or employment without interruption or disturbance, if practicable; and

¹⁸⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss. 287, 288.](#)

¹⁸⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.301.](#)

¹⁸⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.12.](#)

¹⁸⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, Part 5.](#)

¹⁸⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.47\(1\)](#); Note that [ss.7, 11, 16](#) and [16A of the Bail Act 1980](#) do not apply to a child.

¹⁸⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.47\(2\).](#)

- to continue to reside in the child's home, if practicable.

[Section 48 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) gives effect to the Charter by providing that a court or police officer must release a child in custody in connection with a charge unless required by the *Youth Justice Act 1992* or another Act to keep the child in custody.¹⁹⁰

The burden of proof is only reversed with respect to a child previously found guilty of a terrorism offence or who is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order. Then the child must establish exceptional circumstances exist (see below).

The approach to the consideration of juvenile bail applications is outlined by Henry J in [Re JTL \[2021\] QSC 211](#):

“Consideration of juvenile bail requires, as with adult bail, consideration of risk. However, a much more benevolent approach notoriously needs to be taken for juveniles. Pursuant to s 48AA in Youth Justice Act 1992 (Qld), the decision-maker may have regard to a host of considerations in s 48AA, including s 48AA(4)(b); considerations which tend inevitably to weigh in favour of a grant of bail.

Of course, it is a significant consideration that I’m dealing with a young and obviously immature person, and I bear in mind at the forefront of my mind that and other aspects favourable to her, to which I have generically referred by reference to the section. However, the problem is her repeated pattern of behaviour.

There comes a point in time when the interests of the community and the safety of the community trumps the specific interests of the community in treating juveniles with care and avoiding their incarceration, particularly whilst awaiting sentence. Section 48AAA Youth Justice Act contemplates that if satisfied, if the child is released, there is an unacceptable risk that the child will commit an offence that endangers the safety of the community and that it is not practicable to adequately mitigate that risk by imposing particular conditions, then the court must decide to keep the child in custody.” [5] & [7]

The bail provisions in the YJA were recently amended, first by the [Queensland Community Safety Act 2024](#) and subsequently by the [Making Queensland Safer Act 2025](#). The progression of the amendments and their effect on bail decisions were analysed by Long SC DCJ in [R v BRA \[2025\] QChC 20](#) at [9] – [14].

¹⁹⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(1\)-\(2\)](#).

5.2 Who is a child?

A person who at the time of their alleged offending had not turned 18 will be dealt with under the youth justice system as a child. Therefore, a person who is alleged to have committed an offence as a child but has since become an adult must be treated as a child for the purposes of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*.¹⁹¹

5.3 Jurisdiction of the Childrens Court

5.3.1 Generally

Proceedings in respect of children charged with criminal offences are heard in the Childrens Court.

The Childrens Court constituted by a Childrens Court Magistrate has jurisdiction to hear and determine all proceedings under the [Justices Act 1886](#) for determination of charges against children and has the same powers as a Magistrates Court in relation to those matters.¹⁹²

Bail applications may also be heard by a Childrens Court judge.¹⁹³

5.3.2 When must a child be brought before the Childrens Court?

In accordance with [s.49\(1\) and \(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), a child arrested on a charge of an offence who is in custody in connection with the charge, must be brought before the Childrens Court to be dealt with according to law –

- (a) as soon as practicable and within 24 hours after the arrest; or
- (b) if it is not practicable to constitute the court within 24 hours after the arrest, as soon as practicable on the next day the court can practicably be constituted.

However, pursuant to [s.49\(2A\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), if the child is being detained under [Chapter 15, Part 2 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#), the child must be brought before the Childrens Court to be dealt with according to law –

¹⁹¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.132-134.](#)

¹⁹² [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.64-65.](#)

¹⁹³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.59 and 62](#) (Pursuant to s.59 of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*, a Childrens Court judge has the power to grant bail in relation to offences [under s.13\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980.](#))

- a) as soon as practicable and within 24 hours after the child's detention under that part ends; or
- b) if it is not practicable to constitute the court within 24 hours after the child's detention under that part ends – as soon as practicable on the next day the court can practically be constituted'.

[Chapter 15, Part 2 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) relates to investigations and questioning for indictable offences and permits a police officer, by virtue of [s.403 of the PPRA](#), to detain a person for a reasonable time of not more than eight hours (unless an extension of not more than a further 8 hours is granted pursuant to [s.406 of the PPRA](#)) to investigate or question the person about an indictable offence.

Pursuant to [s.49\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), s.49(1), (2) and (2A) do not apply if the child is being dealt with in a way mentioned in [s.393\(2\)\(c\) of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) (impounding a motorbike for motorbike noise direction offence under s.80 of the *Road Use Management Act*) or s.393(2)(d) (arrested under a warrant that requires the police officer to take the person before another body or to another place) or s.393(3)(b) (where the person escaped lawful custody while a prisoner of the court and is being taken to a police station or watch-house until they can be returned to the relevant court).

5.3.3 Confidentiality of proceedings in the Childrens Court

Proceedings in a Childrens Court constituted by a Magistrate are closed to members of the public¹⁹⁴ except for the persons specified section in [s.20 of the Childrens Court Act 1992](#) (see below). This differs from proceedings in the Childrens Court of Queensland where the Act provides that proceedings be conducted in open court¹⁹⁵.

Confidential information about a child dealt with under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* is protected from disclosure¹⁹⁶ and it is an offence to publish identifying information about such a child without authorisation¹⁹⁷. The court also has power to restrict publication of the details of a bail application.¹⁹⁸

¹⁹⁴ [Childrens Court Act 1992, s.20.](#)

¹⁹⁵ [Magistrates Court Act 1921, s.14A.](#)

¹⁹⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss. 287, 288.](#)

¹⁹⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.301.](#)

¹⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.12.](#)

5.3.4 Childrens Court closed to the public (Section 20, Childrens Court Act 1992)

Who may be present at a proceeding?

The presence of members of the public is not permitted at proceedings of a Childrens Court constituted by a Childrens Court Magistrate. Under [s.20 of the Childrens Court Act 1992](#), the only persons who may be present are those falling in categories allowed as of right to be present or who may be permitted by a court to be present. In 2024, s.20 was amended by the [Making Queensland Safer Act 2024](#) to expand categories of persons that can be present during proceedings, including:

- i) a victim, or a relative of a victim, of the offence committed by the child; or
- ii) a relative of a deceased victim of the offence committed by the child; or
- iii) a person who is a representative of a victim, or of a relative of a deceased victim, of the offence committed by the child; or

Examples for subparagraph (iii)—

- a person who provides support or assistance to a victim, or a relative of a deceased victim, in relation to the proceeding
 - a member of an organisation that is providing support or assistance to a victim, or a relative of a deceased victim, in relation to the proceeding
- iv) a person who, in the court's opinion, has a proper interest in the proceeding; or
 - v) a person holding media accreditation

[Section 20](#) does not apply to a Childrens Court constituted by a judge exercising jurisdiction to hear a charge on indictment.¹⁹⁹

5.3.5 Information relating to a child is confidential

It is an offence for a person to publish identifying information about a child who is or has been dealt with under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* unless permitted by court order or authorised to do so by the Chief Executive of the responsible Department.²⁰⁰

¹⁹⁹ [Childrens Court Act 1992, s.20\(5\)\(b\)](#).

²⁰⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.301](#).

It is an offence for a person involved in administration of the *Youth Justice Act 1992* (e.g., departmental employees, police officers etc.) to disclose confidential information about a child who is or has been dealt with under that Act unless disclosure is for an authorised purpose.²⁰¹

A Childrens Court constituted by a judge may allow publication of identifying information about a child sentenced by the Court if publication is in the interests of justice.²⁰² This power does not apply to bail proceedings and is not available to a Court constituted by a Childrens Court Magistrate.²⁰³

5.3.6 Courts discretion to order restriction on publication of opposed bail applications (Section 12)

[Section 12 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where an application for release on bail under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* is opposed, the court may, at any time during the hearing of the application, make an order prohibiting publication of evidence taken, information furnished, representations made by a party, or reasons given by the court for the grant or refusal of bail or release under [s.11A of the Bail Act 1980](#) (release of person with an impairment of mind) in whole or in part –

- (a) if an examination of witnesses in relation to an indictable offence is heard - before the defendant is discharged; or
 - (b) if the defendant is tried or committed for trial – before the trial is ended.
- Section 12 only applies in circumstances where bail is being opposed.
 - The discretion to restrict publication is not dependent on the nature of the offence and applies to indictable and non-indictable offences.
 - An order made pursuant to s.12 should clearly indicate the temporal limits of the order.²⁰⁴
 - The capacity to make an order pursuant to s.12 includes a discretion to vary, enlarge or terminate the order, enlivened by a change in circumstances,

²⁰¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.288, 289.](#)

²⁰² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.234.](#)

²⁰³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.234\(4\).](#)

²⁰⁴ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Stjernqvist \[2006\] QSC 200](#) at [25].

- information or material which bears on the making of the order that was not available at the time the order was made.²⁰⁵
- Failure to comply with a non-publication order is an offence punishable by a maximum of 10 penalty units or imprisonment of six months.²⁰⁶

Case law in respect to adult defendants indicates that an order under [s.12 of the Bail Act 1980](#) should only be made where reasonably necessary to secure the proper administration of justice²⁰⁷ and the section is to be construed strictly.²⁰⁸ Although these cases provide some guidance, where a proceeding involves a child, it will also be necessary to have regard to the level of confidentiality otherwise attaching to Childrens Court proceedings.

5.4 Power of court regarding bail

The *Youth Justice Act 1992* provisions apply if a court or police officer is deciding whether to release a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence or keep the child in custody²⁰⁹.

5.4.1. Child in custody

For a child to be granted bail they must be presently in the custody of the authorities. They may be brought before the Magistrates Court in custody. Often, a child before the court on criminal charges will appear under a bail undertaking, a Notice to Appear or a release notice. Upon presenting themselves to the court, they fall within the custody of the court and are not free to leave.

Where a child is in custody before the court there is an obligation on the court to consider whether the child should be released from custody. If the court declines to grant bail or otherwise release the child under the provisions of the relevant Acts the child must be remanded in custody.²¹⁰

5.4.2 The court's power

The court has the power to:

²⁰⁵ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Lacey \[2007\] QSC 392](#).

²⁰⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.12\(2\)](#).

²⁰⁷ [John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal of New South Wales \(1986\) 5 NSWLR 465](#) at 476-477 per McHugh JA.

²⁰⁸ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Stjernqvist \[2006\] QSC 200](#) at [23].

²⁰⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(1\)](#).

²¹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(2\)](#).

- i. release the child by:
 - a. granting or enlarging bail with or without conditions; or
 - b. releasing the child without bail; or
- ii. refuse to release the child by:
 - a. refusing to grant or enlarge bail.

5.4.2.1 Power to release a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence

A child can be released as a consequence of the following:

1. the duty to release where no statutory prohibition or unacceptable risk: ²¹¹
 - i. by release on bail; or ²¹²
 - ii. by release without bail. ²¹³
2. the exercise of a discretion to release despite there being an unacceptable risk. ²¹⁴
3. where despite the child having been found guilty of a terrorism offence or being or having been subject to a Commonwealth control order, the court is satisfied that exceptional circumstances exist ²¹⁵
4. A court may, instead of granting bail, release the child into the custody of a parent or permit the child to go at large without bail. ²¹⁶ Accordingly, a child may be permitted by a Magistrate to go at large in relation to all offences, including indictable offences.

(In determining whether the circumstances point to an unacceptable risk, consider mandatory and discretionary factors as set out in [s.48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#)- see below.)

5.4.2.1.1 The duty to grant bail (Section 48, Youth Justice Act 1992)

In circumstances provided for in [ss.48](#) and [48AAA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the court or police officer *must* release the child unless:

²¹¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(1\)-\(2\)](#)

²¹² [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)](#)

²¹³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s. 55](#)

²¹⁴ See [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)](#) for factors the court or police officer may have regard to when making a decision to release a child despite being satisfied there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(3\)](#)

²¹⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48A\(2\)-\(3\)](#)

²¹⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.55.](#)

- a) required by the *Youth Justice Act 1992* or another Act to keep the child in custody;²¹⁷
- b) satisfied that there is an unacceptable risk that the child will not surrender into custody as required;²¹⁸ or
- c) satisfied that there is an unacceptable risk that the child will do any of the following while on release –
 - a) commit an offence;
 - b) endanger the safety or welfare of a person;
 - c) interfere with a witness or otherwise obstruct the course of justice, whether for the child or another person.²¹⁹

i. Statutory prohibition on release of a child

1. Terrorism offences or Commonwealth Control Order (Section 48AA(2), Youth Justice Act 1992)

In accordance with [s.48A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the court has a duty not to grant bail or release without bail a child previously found guilty of terrorism offences or who is or has been subject to a Commonwealth control order unless exceptional circumstances exist.

A child with terrorism related history will be required to establish exceptional circumstances in order to obtain bail. [Section 48A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides:

(1) This section applies in relation to a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence if the child—

(a) has previously been found guilty of a terrorism offence;

or

(b) is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order.

²¹⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(2\).](#)

²¹⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(3\).](#)

²¹⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(3\).](#)

(2) Despite any other provision of this Act or the Bail Act 1980, a court must not release the child from custody unless the court is satisfied exceptional circumstances exist to justify releasing the child.

(3) In considering whether exceptional circumstances exist to justify releasing the child, the court may have regard to any relevant matter;

(4) If the court releases the child, the order releasing the child must state the reasons for the decision.

2. Endangered child (Section 48AE, Youth Justice Act 1992)

In accordance with [s.48AE\(1\) and \(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), a court or police officer must not release a child from custody if the court or police officer is satisfied:

- the child's safety would be endangered if released;²²⁰ and
- the endangering factors arise from the circumstances of the offence;²²¹ and
- in the circumstances, there is no reasonably practicable way of ensuring the child's safety other than by keeping the child in custody.²²²

[Section 48AE\(3\)](#) provides that in determining whether it is satisfied of any of the matters in s.48AE above, the court or police officer must not decide it is satisfied only because the child will not have accommodation, or adequate accommodation, on release from custody or has no apparent family support.

²²⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AE\(2\)\(a\).](#)

²²¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AE\(2\)\(b\).](#)

²²² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AE\(2\)\(c\).](#)

ii. Unacceptable risk

In accordance with [s.48AAA\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), a court may refuse to grant or enlarge bail if satisfied there is an unacceptable risk that the child will not surrender into custody as required²²³ or will do any of the following while on release –

- i. commit an offence²²⁴
- ii. interfere with a witness or otherwise obstruct the course of justice, whether for the child or another person.²²⁵

Section 48AAA(2) requires the court to keep the child in custody if satisfied if the child is released, there is an unacceptable risk that the child will commit an offence that endangers the safety of the community, or the safety or welfare of a person and it is not practicable to mitigate the risk through conditions.

When assessing unacceptable risk, the following considerations described by Thomas J in [Williamson v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2001\] 1 Qd R 99](#), should be borne in mind:

“No grant of bail is risk-free. The grant of bail, however, is an important process in civilised societies which reject any general right of the executive to imprison a citizen upon mere allegation or without trial. It is a necessary part of such a system that some risks have to be taken in order to protect citizens in those respects.”

[Section 48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) sets out both mandatory and discretionary considerations to be taken into account by a court or police officer when deciding:

- (a) whether there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [s.48AAA\(2\)](#);

²²³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.48AAA\(3\)](#)

²²⁴ Other than an offence that endangers the safety of the community or the safety or welfare of a person: [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(3\)\(b\)](#)

²²⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA \(3\)\(c\)](#)

- (b) whether there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [s.48AAA\(3\)](#);
- (c) whether to release the child despite being satisfied there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [s.48AAA\(3\)](#);
- (d) whether to release the child without bail or grant bail to the child;
- (e) whether the child has shown cause under [s.48AF\(2\)](#) why the child's detention in custody is not justified.²²⁶

In [Iordache v DJM \[2023\] QSC 134](#), Davis J stated “s 48AAA is a clear statutory command that bail should be refused where, having regard to the available conditions of release on bail, there is an unacceptable risk the child will commit an offence that endangers the safety of the community or the safety or welfare of a person.” [46]

Mandatory considerations

[Section 48AA\(2\) and \(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provide that the court or police officer *must* have regard to:

- any promotion by the child of terrorism;²²⁷
- any association with a terrorist organisation or a person promoting terrorism for the purpose of supporting them in promoting or carrying out terrorism;²²⁸ and
- the sentence order likely to be made if the child is found guilty.²²⁹

Discretionary considerations

Discretionary factors are set out in [s.48AA\(4\)\(a\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) and provide that when making a decision as set out in s.48AA(1), the court *may* have regard to any of the following matters of which the court or police officer is aware:

- the nature and seriousness of the alleged offence;²³⁰

²²⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.48AA\(1\).](#)

²²⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.48AA\(2\)\(a\).](#) For the meaning of ‘promotes terrorism’ see [s.48AB\(1\).](#)

²²⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.48AA\(2\)\(b\).](#)

²²⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.48AA\(3\).](#)

²³⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(i\).](#)

- the child's criminal history, other relevant history, associations, home environment, employment, and background of the child;²³¹
- the history of previous grants of bail to the child;²³²
- the strength of the evidence against the child;²³³
- the child's age, maturity level, cognitive ability, and development needs;²³⁴
- whether a parent of the child, or another person, has indicated a willingness to the court or police officer that the parent or other person will do any of the following things:²³⁵
 - support the child to comply with the conditions imposed on a grant of bail;
 - notify the chief executive or a police officer of a change in the child's personal circumstances that may affect the child's ability to comply with the conditions imposed on a grant of bail;
 - notify the chief executive or a police officer of a breach of the conditions imposed on a grant of bail.
- if the child is an Aboriginal person or Torres Strait Islander – a submission made by representative of the community justice group in the child's community, including, for example, a submission about - ;²³⁶
 - the child's connection with the child's community, family, or kin; or
 - cultural considerations; or
 - considerations relating to programs and services established for offenders in which the community justice group participates.

Where a representative of a community justice group in a child's community makes a submission to a court or police office pursuant to s.48AA(4)(a)(vii) of the *Youth Justice Act 1992* if requested the representative must advise the court or police officer whether the member is related to the child or victim of the offence and whether there are circumstances giving rise to a conflict of interest between a member of the community justice group and the child or the victim.²³⁷

²³¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(ii\).](#)

²³² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(iii\).](#)

²³³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(iv\).](#)

²³⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(v\).](#)

²³⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(vi\).](#)

²³⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(vii\).](#)

²³⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AC.](#)

- any other relevant matter.²³⁸

Other considerations

Relevance of lack of adequate accommodation and/or family support to determination of unacceptable risk (Section 48AA(6), Youth Justice Act 1992)

The court or a police officer must not decide it is satisfied there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [s.48AAA\(2\) or \(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), or to refuse to release a child from custody, solely because the child has no apparent family support and/or the child will not have accommodation, or adequate accommodation, on release from custody.²³⁹

Relevance of imposing conditions to determination of unacceptable risk (Section 48AA(5), Youth Justice Act 1992)

In deciding whether there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in [s.48AAA\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the court or police officer may consider whether a condition could, under [s.52A](#), be imposed on a grant of bail to the child and the effect on the risk of imposing a condition on a grant of bail to the child.²⁴⁰

5.4.2.1.2 Discretion to release despite an unacceptable risk (Section 48AA(1)(c), Youth Justice Act 1992)

[Section 48AA\(1\)\(c\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that even where the court or police officer is satisfied that there is an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in s.48AAA(3) that is, of the child:

- failing to appear; or
- committing an offence;²⁴¹ or
- interfering with a witness or otherwise obstructing the course of justice, whether for the child or another person)

²³⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(viii\).](#)

²³⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(6\).](#)

²⁴⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(5\).](#)

²⁴¹ Other than an offence that engenders the safety of the community or the safety or welfare of a person: [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AAA\(3\)\(b\).](#)

the court or police officer may nevertheless release the child having regard to any of the following matters as set out in s.48AA(4)(b):

- the desirability of strengthening and preserving the relationship between the child and the child's parents and family;²⁴²
- the desirability of not interrupting or disturbing the child's living arrangements, education, training, or employment;²⁴³
- the desirability of minimising adverse effects on the child's reputation that may arise from being kept in custody;²⁴⁴
- the child's exposure to, experience of and reaction to trauma;²⁴⁵
- the child's health, including the child's need for medical assessment or medical treatment;²⁴⁶
- for a child with a disability – the disability and the child's need for services and supports in relation to the disability;²⁴⁷
- if the child is an Aboriginal person or Torres Strait Islander – the desirability of maintaining the child's connection with the child's community, family, and kin;²⁴⁸
- if the child is under 14 years – the particular desirability of releasing children under 14 years from custody due to their vulnerability and community expectations that children under 14 years are entitled to special care and protection;²⁴⁹
- the likely effect that refusal to relate the child would have on – a person with whom the child is in a family relationship and for whom the child is the primary caregiver; or a person

²⁴² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(ii\).](#)

²⁴³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(iii\).](#)

²⁴⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(iv\).](#)

²⁴⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(v\).](#)

²⁴⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(vi\).](#)

²⁴⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(vii\).](#)

²⁴⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(viii\).](#)

²⁴⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(ix\).](#)

with whom the child is in an informal care relationship; or if the child is pregnant – the child of the pregnancy.²⁵⁰

In determining whether there is an unacceptable risk of a matter contained in [s.48AAA\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the court or police officer may consider whether a condition could, under [s.52A](#), be imposed on a grant of bail and have regard to the effect on that risk of imposing the condition.²⁵¹

5.4.2.2 Power of the court to refuse to grant or enlarge bail

A child can be kept in custody as a consequence of the following:

1. in accordance with [s.48 AAA\(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), unacceptable risk that the child will commit an offence that endangers the safety of the community or the safety or welfare of a person; and it is not practicable to adequately mitigate that risk by imposing particular conditions of release on bail.
2. in accordance with [s.48AAA\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) (unacceptable risk) in circumstances where the court does not exercise the discretion in [s.48AA\(1\)\(c\)](#) (see above);
3. in accordance with the duty in [s.48A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) (terrorism/Commonwealth control order) (see above);
4. in accordance with the duty in [s.48AE of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) (endangered child must not be released) (see above);
5. in accordance with [ss.48AAA\(4\) and 48AAA\(5\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) (insufficient information) (see below).

5.4.2.2.1 Insufficient information (Section 48AAA(5), Youth Justice Act 1992)

Pursuant to [s.48AAA\(5\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), if the court has information that indicates there may be an unacceptable risk of a matter mentioned in s.48AAA(2) or (3), but does not have sufficient information to determine the matter, the court may remand the child in custody while further information about the matter is obtained.

²⁵⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(b\)\(x\).](#)

²⁵¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(5\).](#)

5.4.2.2 Reasons must be stated in the order

[Section 48B\(1\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that in the event that a court makes an order keeping or remanding a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence, the order must state the reasons for the decision.²⁵²

Similarly, [s.48B\(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that where a police officer decides to keep a child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence, the police officer must make a record of the reasons for the decision.

5.4.2.3 Show cause/reverse presumption of bail (Section 48AF, Youth Justice Act 1992)

On 30 April 2021, amendments were passed to the *Youth Justice Act 1992* to create a presumption against bail for young people charged with a prescribed indictable offence, if the offence is alleged to have been committed while the young person was on bail or at large for another indictable offence.²⁵³ Show cause applications for young people are different to adult show cause applications under [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) as they are limited to circumstances where the subsequent offending includes a prescribed indictable offence, as defined in the [Schedule 4 \(Dictionary\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) to include:

- A life offence
- An offence, if committed by an adult, that would make the adult liable to imprisonment for 14 years or more
 - Exception: offence against [s.9\(1\) of the Drugs Misuse Act 1986](#) (possession) for which the maximum penalty is 15 years imprisonment
- An offence against the following Criminal Code provisions:
 - [Section 315A](#) – Choking, suffocation or strangulation in domestic setting.
 - [Section 323](#) – Wounding
 - [Section 328A](#) – Dangerous operation of a motor vehicle
 - [Section 339](#) – Assaults occasioning bodily harm.
 - [Section 408A\(1\)](#) – Unlawful use of motor vehicle - *if the offence involves a motor vehicle*
 - [Section 408A\(1\)](#) – to which s.408A(2) applies

²⁵² Subject, as per [s.48B\(4\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), to [s.12 of the Bail Act 1980](#) regarding non-publication orders.

²⁵³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AF \(1\)](#).

- [Section 412](#) – attempted robbery
- [Section 421\(1\)](#) – entering or being in any premises with intent to commit an indictable offence in the premises.

A full list of prescribed indictable offences can be found at *Annexure C - Prescribed Indictable Offences triggering show cause under s.48AF Youth Justice Act 1992*.

Transitional provisions enable retrospective application of show cause provisions.²⁵⁴ Show cause provisions apply whether the prescribed indictable offence²⁵⁵ or the other indictable offence²⁵⁶ was allegedly committed, or the child was charged or any step in the proceeding for the offence was taken, before or after the commencement of the amendments.

5.4.2.3.1 Show cause case law

Case law developed to support the interpretation of [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) may assist with the interpretation of [s.48AF of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#). Case law provides, that if the Crown case is strong, the application must be ‘*somewhat special*’, ‘*abnormal*’ or of an ‘*extraordinary nature*’ to discharge the onus.²⁵⁷

In [Lacey v DPP \[2007\] QCA 413](#) at [13], the Court of Appeal stated the essence of judicial discretion [in assessing show cause]: ‘*is to balance competing considerations and to weigh the relative importance which the different factors bear in the context of the decision which needs to be made. That exercise of discretion is not an empirical exercise; there are no bright lines drawn to determine conclusively when on important factor outweighs another*’.²⁵⁸

[Section 48AA\(3\)](#) requires that where the decision is made by a court, the court must have regard to the sentence order or other order likely to be made for the child if found guilty.²⁵⁹

²⁵⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.408](#).

²⁵⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.408\(1\)](#).

²⁵⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.408\(2\)](#).

²⁵⁷ *R v Iskandar* (2001) 120 A Crim R 302 at 305 [14] per Sperling J, approved by P Lyons J in [Turbill](#) and cited by Chesterman JA in [Sica v DPP \[2010\] QCA 18](#) at [54] referring to the abnormal or extraordinary nature of the grant of bail in cases to which [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) applies.

²⁵⁸ [Lacey v DPP \[2007\] QCA 413](#) at [13].

²⁵⁹ See [Lacey v DPP \(Qld\)](#) where the court noted that where the time in custody on remand is likely to exceed the custodial sentence post-conviction, the relative importance of time may outweigh the other relevant factors. However, the Court in *Lacey* indicated that ‘*s.16(3) of the Bail Act cannot be read as if its operation was conditioned by guarantee of a trial within a given timeframe*’. That approach was endorsed by Chesterman JA in the Court of Appeal in [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) stating with respect to the length of time that might pass before the appellant is tried: ‘*This is always an important factor as is recognised by this Court in Lacey but it is not a factor which outweighs all others as that authority explains.*’

In [ABB v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2023\] QChC 33](#), Dearden DCJ considered an application for bail by a 14 year old child in a show cause position under [s.48AF of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#). His Honour accepted that there was a real risk of re-offending, however found it unlikely that the child would receive a detention order given her age, timing of the offences and time already spent in custody. His Honour therefore concluded that there was a real risk that the defendant would serve more time on remand than any eventual sentence. A structured conditional bail program could adequately mitigate the risk posed by the defendant.

In [R v BRA \[2025\] QChC 20](#), Long SC DCJ considered an application for bail by a 15-year-old child in a show cause position. The judgment is significant for its analysis of the [Queensland Community Safety Act 2024](#) and [Making Queensland Safer Act 2025](#), including removal of the principle that detention is a last resort,²⁶⁰ the introduction of the primary sentencing consideration of the impact on the victim,²⁶¹ and the continuing relevance of principles relating to reintegration, education, family support, developmental immaturity and rehabilitation. His Honour considered the likely sentence under [s.48AA\(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) and concluded that detention was possible but not inevitable. His Honour found that the defendant had shown cause, and the risks could be mitigated by strict conditions including residential requirements, curfew, reporting, Youth Justice engagement and behaviour conditions.

In making a decision regarding whether the child has shown cause why detention in custody is not justified, the court or police officer may have regard to any of the following matters of which the court or police officer is aware:²⁶²

- the nature and seriousness of the alleged offence;
- the child's criminal history and other relevant history, associations, home environment, employment and background;
- the history of a previous grant of bail to the child;
- the strength of the evidence against the child relating to the alleged offence;²⁶³
- the child's age, maturity level, cognitive ability and developmental needs;

²⁶⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.150](#)

²⁶¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.150\(2\)](#)

²⁶² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)](#).

²⁶³ In [Sica v DPP](#), Chesterman JA indicated at [51] that [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(d\)](#) "requires only that, to the extent the strength of the case is apparent, it must be taken into account."

- whether a parent of the child, or another person, has indicated a willingness to the court or police officer that the parent or other person will do any of the following things –
 - support the child to comply with the conditions imposed on a grant of bail;
 - notify the chief executive or a police officer of a change in the child’s personal circumstances that may affect the child’s ability to comply with the conditions imposed on a grant of bail;
 - notify the chief executive or a police officer of a breach of the conditions imposed on a grant of bail;
- if the child is an Aboriginal person or a Torres Strait Islander – a submission made by a representative of the community justice group in the child’s community, including, for example, a submission about –
 - the child’s connection with the child’s community, family, or kin; or
 - cultural conditions; or
 - considerations relating to programs and services established for offenders in which the community justice group participates;
- any other relevant matters.

If a court releases the child, the order releasing the child must state the reasons for the decision.²⁶⁴

Additional provisions remain in the *Youth Justice Act 1992* requiring a court to be satisfied of exceptional circumstances in order to release the child from custody, if the child has been previously found guilty of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order.²⁶⁵ “Terrorism offence” and “Commonwealth control order” are defined in [Schedule 4 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#). See also [s.48AA\(2\)](#) which requires a court or police officer to have regard to specific matters regarding terrorism in the decision as to whether there is an unacceptable risk in relation to the matters in [ss.48AAA\(2\) and \(3\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), whether to release the child despite there being an unacceptable risk or whether to release the child without bail or to grant bail.²⁶⁶

²⁶⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AF\(3\)](#).

²⁶⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48A](#).

²⁶⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AB](#).

5.5 Conditions of bail (Sections 52 & 52A, Youth Justice Act 1992)

A child in custody in connection with a charge of an offence who is granted bail must, under [s.52\(2\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), be released on their own undertaking, without sureties and without deposit of money or other security, unless the court is satisfied it would be inappropriate in all the circumstances.²⁶⁷

If the court decides not to release the child under s.52(2) it must consider the conditions for release in the following sequence:

- (a) on the child's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value.
- (b) on the child's own undertaking with a surety or sureties of stated value;
- (c) on the child's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value and a surety or sureties of stated value.²⁶⁸

5.5.1 Restrictions on further conditions (Section 52A, Youth Justice Act 1992)

[Section 52A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that where a child mentioned in s.52 is being released from custody, the court may impose a condition on the grant of bail to the child, other than a condition under s. 52(3) or condition about appearing before a court or surrendering into custody, only if the court or police officer is satisfied-

- (a) there is a risk of the child doing a thing mentioned in [s.48AAA\(2\)\(a\) or \(3\)](#); and
- (b) the condition is necessary to mitigate the risk; and
- (c) the condition does not, having regard to the following matters, involve undue management or supervision of the child –
 - (i) the child's age, maturity level, cognitive ability, health and developmental needs;
 - (ii) the child's health, including the child's need for medical assessment or medical treatment;

²⁶⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52\(2\)](#).

²⁶⁸ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52\(3\)](#).

- (iii) for a child with a disability-the disability and the child’s need for services and supports in relation to the disability;
 - (iv) the child’s home environment;
 - (v) the child’s ability to comply with the condition; and
- (d) the condition does not unduly restrict the child’s ability to carry out the child’s responsibilities for—
- (i) a person with whom the child is in a family relationship and for whom the child is the primary caregiver; or
 - (ii) a person with whom the child is in an informal care relationship; or
 - (iii) if the child is pregnant—the child of the pregnancy.

Examples of responsibilities—

- transporting a child of the child to an appointment, childcare or school
- attending a medical appointment in relation to a pregnancy
- cultural obligations to a family member

Furthermore, any condition imposed pursuant to [s.52A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) must state the period the condition has effect, and the condition will stop having effect at the end of the stated period.²⁶⁹ The stated period must be no longer than necessary to mitigate the identified risk.²⁷⁰

[Section 52A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) does not apply to conditions necessary to facilitate the preparation of a pre-sentence report under s.151.²⁷¹

The court or police officer is not permitted to impose a condition the child wear a tracking device.²⁷²

If the child is not an Australian citizen consideration must be given to a condition requiring surrender of the child’s passport.²⁷³

²⁶⁹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(3\).](#)

²⁷⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(4\).](#)

²⁷¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(7\).](#)

²⁷² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(5\).](#)

²⁷³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52A\(6\).](#)

A court that imposes a condition under s.52A must give reasons stating how the condition is intended to mitigate the identified risk.²⁷⁴

5.5.2 Conditional bail program

The Youth Justice Service offers a Conditional Bail Program in various localities throughout the State. The Conditional Bail Program can provide a high level of support to a young person on remand.

Where this option is considered necessary under [s.52A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), the Court will make a condition that the child participate in the Conditional Bail Program and carry out the lawful instructions of the officers of the Department. It may also be necessary to order that the child be released from the watch house only into the care of a person approved by the Youth Justice Service.

5.5.3 Breach of a condition of an undertaking

On 22 March 2023, [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) was amended to make it an offence for a child to breach a condition of bail.²⁷⁵

Certain actions are available for contraventions of bail conditions by a child. [Section 59A Youth Justice Act 1992](#) specifies the powers available to a police officer if the officer reasonably suspects the child has contravened, is contravening or is likely to contravene a condition of bail, and the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than a prescribed indictable offence; or an offence against [ss.177\(2\) or 178\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Act 2012](#). A police officer does have powers of arrest as specified in [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#).²⁷⁶

However before arresting a child, the police officer must consider other alternatives as specified in [s.59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#):

(1) This section applies if—

²⁷⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52B\(1\)](#).

²⁷⁵ The [Strengthening Community Safety Act 2023](#), assented to on 22 March 2023, amended [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#). This applies to bail undertakings entered into after commencement: [Bail Act 1980, s.50](#).

²⁷⁶ See Notes: 1. For the matters a police officer must consider before arresting a child in particular circumstances under this subparagraph, see [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59A](#). 2. For the matters a police officer may consider before arresting a child in particular circumstances under this subparagraph, see [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59AA](#).

- (a) a police officer reasonably suspects a child has contravened or is contravening a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child; and*
- (b) the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against the Bail Act 1980, section 29; and*
- (c) the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than –
 - (i) a prescribed indictable offence; or*
 - (ii) an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Act 2012, section 177(2) or 178(2).**

(2) This section also applies if a police officer reasonably suspects a child is likely to contravene a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than an offence mentioned in subsection (1)(c)(i) or (ii).

(3) Before arresting the child under the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3)(a)(i) in relation to the contravention or likely contravention, a police officer must first consider whether, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to do 1 of the following—

- (a) to take no action;*
- (b) to warn the child of the action a police officer may take under paragraph (c) or the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3) in relation to a contravention of a condition imposed on the grant of bail;*
- (c) if the contravention or likely contravention is in relation to a condition other than a condition for the child's appearance before a court—to make an application under the Bail Act 1980 to vary or revoke the bail.*

(4) For subsection (3), the circumstances the police officer must consider include the following—

- (a) the seriousness of the contravention or likely contravention;*
- (b) whether the child has a reasonable excuse for the contravention or likely contravention;*
- (c) the child's particular circumstances of which the police officer is aware;*
- (d) other relevant circumstances of which the police officer is aware.*

(5) If a police officer considers that, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to act as mentioned in subsection (3)(a), (b) or (c), then a police officer must do so.

[Section 59AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that a police officer may consider alternatives to arrest where the child has contravened a condition of bail in relation to prescribed indictable offences and an offence against [ss.177\(2\) or 178\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#). Before arresting a child, the police officer may consider other alternatives as specified in s.59AA:

(1) This section applies if—

(a) a police officer reasonably suspects a child has contravened or is contravening a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child; and

(b) the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against the Bail Act 1980, section 29; and

(c) the grant of bail relates to—

(i) a charge of a prescribed indictable offence; or

(ii) a charge of an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, section 177(2) or 178(2).

(2) This section also applies if a police officer reasonably suspects a child is likely to contravene a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence mentioned in subsection (1)(c)(i) or (ii).

(3) Before arresting the child under the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3)(a)(i) in relation to the contravention or likely contravention, a police officer may first consider whether, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to do 1 of the actions mentioned in section 59A(3)(a) to (c).

(4) For subsection (3), the circumstances the police officer may consider include the matters mentioned in section 59A(4)(a) to (d).

An application under [s.30 of the Bail Act 1980](#) can be made by a complainant or prosecutor to vary or revoke bail by the court that granted bail, the court before which an indictment was presented or the Supreme Court. If bail on an undertaking was granted by a police officer, the Childrens Court may vary or revoke bail on such an application.²⁷⁷

²⁷⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(1A\)](#).

An application to vary or revoke bail may be made ex parte.²⁷⁸

If the police officer decides to arrest the child, the child must be brought before the Childrens Court²⁷⁹ where the Magistrate may, if satisfied the child has broken or is likely to break a condition of bail, revoke or vary the bail.²⁸⁰

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with an undertaking.²⁸¹ There are restrictions on the sentence that can be imposed if the failure to appear occurred when the defendant was a child (any jail sentence will not be mandatorily cumulative).²⁸² A court may issue a warrant for the arrest of a child who fails to surrender into custody as required.²⁸³

[Section 57 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that the provisions of the *Bail Act 1980* relating to the issue of warrants for the arrest of defendants who fail to surrender into the custody of court as required when permitted to go at large without bail, apply to a child who fails to appear after being released into the custody of a parent, or permitted to go at large, without bail.

If a child is arrested pursuant to a warrant, the child must be brought promptly before a court to be dealt with according to law.²⁸⁴

In considering an application to vary or revoke bail, or dealing with a further bail application where the child is arrested on a warrant, the court is bound by the provisions of [ss.48, 48AAA and 48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) and in making a decision, the court may have regard to the history of any previous grants of bail to the child.²⁸⁵

Under [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#), a police officer may arrest a child, without warrant, on reasonable suspicion the child has or is likely to contravene a condition of the undertaking.²⁸⁶ A police officer may also take no further action or issue a warning²⁸⁷. If arrested, the child must be brought forthwith before the court where the Magistrate may, if satisfied the child has broken or is likely to break a condition, revoke or vary the bail.²⁸⁸ This does

²⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\).](#)

²⁷⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A\(1\)\(c\).](#)

²⁸⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A.](#)

²⁸¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\).](#)

²⁸² [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\).](#)

²⁸³ [Bail Act 1980, ss.28A\(1\)\(b\) and \(ea\).](#)

²⁸⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.58.](#)

²⁸⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(iii\).](#)

²⁸⁶ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000 s. 367](#)

²⁸⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992 s.59 A](#)

²⁸⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A\(1\)\(c\)](#)

not apply if the only condition breached is for participation in a rehabilitation or treatment program or a DAAR course.²⁸⁹

Before arresting a child under s.367, a police officer must consider whether it would be more appropriate to make application under the *Bail Act 1980* for variation or revocation of the child's bail.²⁹⁰ An application for variation or revocation may be heard by a Magistrate if the bail was originally granted by a Childrens Court or a police officer.²⁹¹ The application will be made after the child and any surety has been given notice unless the child has absconded or broken a condition or the Court is satisfied either is likely. The Court may order the issue of a notice or warrant and if the child surrenders the Court may revoke or vary bail or release the child on an undertaking. If the only condition breached is for participation in a rehabilitation or treatment program or a DAAR course, the court may vary but may not revoke the bail.

5.6 GPS monitoring (Sections 52A(2) & 52AA, Youth Justice Act 1992)

In April 2021, amendments were introduced to the *Youth Justice Act 1992* which allowed a court to impose the wearing of a GPS monitoring device as a condition of bail pursuant to s.52A(2). Further amendments in April 2026, made by the [Youth Justice \(Electronic Monitoring Device\) Amendment Act 2026](#), expanded the eligibility requirements for such conditions. The previous geographical location, age-based and offence-based limitations no longer apply. These amendments apply regardless of the date of the offence, the date the child was charged or the date that any step in the proceeding was taken.²⁹²

[Section 52AA\(1\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that a **court** may impose, as a condition of bail, that a child wear an electronic monitoring device if the court is satisfied that the condition is appropriate having regard to:

- a) the suitability assessment report given to the court under s 52AA(4); and
- b) any other matter the court considers relevant.

Before a court can impose a monitoring device condition on bail, the court **must** order the chief executive to complete a Suitability Assessment Report (SAR).²⁹³ The SAR will contain an assessment of the child's suitability for a monitoring device condition, having regard to the

²⁸⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A\(3\)](#)

²⁹⁰ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(4\)](#)

²⁹¹ [Bail Act 1980, ss.30\(1\) and 30\(1A\)](#)

²⁹² [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.443](#)

²⁹³ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA\(3\)](#).

matters in s.52AA(1)(f) of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*. The chief executive must complete the SAR within the period stated by the court or if no period was stated, as soon as practicable after the order was made.²⁹⁴

A monitoring device condition may only be imposed if the chief executive advises the court that **all** of the following services are available in the area where the child lives:

- a) services necessary to support the effective operation of the device;
- b) services suitable to support the child's compliance with the condition; and
- c) services suitable to support the monitoring of the child.²⁹⁵

Monitoring devices are fitted in Queensland Police Service (QPS) watchhouses and monitored by Queensland Corrective Services (QCS) once fitted. Under [s.52AA\(2\)\(a\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#), if the court makes an order imposing a monitoring device condition, the court **must** consider making an order that the child be detained in custody until the monitoring device is fitted to the child. Where the court makes an order detaining the child in custody until the monitoring device has been fitted, the child may be detained in custody only for the purpose of fitting the monitoring device and for the least time that is justified in the circumstances.²⁹⁶

The court may also make any other conditions the court considers necessary to facilitate the operation of the monitoring device.²⁹⁷

If QCS identify an alert or notification from the monitoring device, they may contact the child directly on the child's mobile phone. QCS may also notify QPS or the chief executive about notifications and alerts from the monitoring device. If a child intentionally damages or removes their monitoring device, they may be subject to further charges such as wilful damage.

QPS are also responsible for the removal of monitoring devices and will do so when ordered by the court or bail otherwise lapses upon finalisation of the matter.

²⁹⁴ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA\(4\).](#)

²⁹⁵ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA\(1A\)](#)

²⁹⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA\(2A\).](#)

²⁹⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.52AA\(2\)\(b\).](#)

Note: The use of the word “tracking” device is not culturally appropriate for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, due to its associations with colonial era practices. The term “monitoring” device is the appropriate term and should be used when referring to these devices.

5.7 Failure to appear

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with an undertaking.²⁹⁸ A child charged with failure to appear will be dealt with under [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#) in the same way as an adult with the following exception. Where a person is charged with breach of an undertaking by failure to appear, the provision requiring a sentence of imprisonment to be cumulative upon any other sentence imposed at the same time or being served at that time does not apply to a defendant who was a child when the offence was committed.²⁹⁹

²⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\).](#)

²⁹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\).](#)

CHAPTER 6 – CHALLENGES TO A REFUSAL OR GRANT OF BAIL

A party aggrieved by a decision to refuse bail may challenge such decision by:

1. In circumstances where the defendant can demonstrate a material change in circumstances, making a further application for bail or variation;³⁰⁰
2. An application to the Supreme Court pursuant to [s.10\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#);
3. An application to the Court of Appeal in its original jurisdiction;³⁰¹
4. In the case of a decision by a police officer or justice, seeking a review (on a de novo basis) of the decision by a Magistrates Court constituted by a magistrate;³⁰²
5. In the case of a decision by a magistrate, seeking a review (on a de novo basis) of the decision by the Supreme Court constituted by a single justice;³⁰³
6. In the case of a decision of a magistrate on review, seeking a review (on a de novo basis) of the decision by the Supreme Court constituted by a single justice;³⁰⁴
7. Appealing by way of s.222 of the *Justices Act 1886* from a decision of a magistrate to a judge of the District Court (on a rehearing basis – the principles in [House v The King \(1936\) 55 CLR 499](#) apply);
8. Appealing by way of [Chapter 18 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999](#) ('UCPR') from a decision of a single judge of the Supreme Court or District Court to the Court of Appeal (on a rehearing basis - the principles in *House v The King* apply).

³⁰⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.19](#); *Ex parte Edwards* [1989] 1 Qd R 139 at 142-143.

³⁰¹ [Scrivener v Director of Public Prosecutions](#) [2001] QCA 454 at [12] McPherson JA.

³⁰² [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(3\)\(a\)](#).

³⁰³ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(3\)\(b\)](#) and see also [The Queen v Brown](#) [2013] QCA 337.

³⁰⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C](#).

6.1 Further application for bail (Section 19)

Defendants charged with criminal offences and detained in custody have a right to file successive applications for bail before courts empowered by [s.8 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to grant bail.³⁰⁵ This includes:

- (i) a court, before which the person is awaiting a criminal proceeding;³⁰⁶
- (ii) the Magistrates Court when the person is awaiting a s.222 appeal to the District Court;³⁰⁷
- (iii) a court that has adjourned the criminal proceeding;³⁰⁸ or
- (iv) the court has committed or remanded the person in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by that court or another court in relation to that offence.³⁰⁹

However, the defendant must be able to demonstrate on the balance of probabilities, '**a material change in circumstances**' since the making of the previous bail application in order for a court to consider the merits of a subsequent application for bail.³¹⁰

In [Ex parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#), McPherson J explained:

'... the question is whether... it can be said that there has been a material change in circumstances (R v Slough Justices, ex parte Duncan); or to use the formula in O.45 r.1, facts have been discovered which, if discovered in time, "would have entitled the applicant to an order in his favour"... A persuasive and satisfying case is therefore required, and not one in which the differences disclosed by the additional material go only to matters of

³⁰⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.19\(1\)](#). The common law right to successive bail applications was previously codified in Queensland in the form of s.555 of the *Criminal Code*. However, that codification was repealed by the *Bail Act 1980*. The common law right has been held to have survived the repeal of s.555 of the *Criminal Code* (see [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) and [Ex Parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#), particularly on the basis that [s.10\(2\) and \(3\)](#) state that a decision of a trial judge concerning bail is final, which suggests that outside the confines of a trial, the decision on bail is not final.

³⁰⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\)](#). In the case of an appeal pending before the Court of Appeal, [s.8\(5\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that: 'The powers of the Court of Appeal with respect to bail may be exercised by a judge of the Supreme Court in the same manner as they may be exercised by the Court of Appeal, but, if the judge refuses an application with respect to bail, the person making the application may apply to the Court of Appeal and that court shall hear and determine the application.'

³⁰⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#).

³⁰⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iii\)](#).

³⁰⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iv\)](#).

³¹⁰ [Ex parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#) at 142-143; [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#) at 93-94; [The Director of Public Prosecutions v Filippa \[2004\] QSC 470](#) at [4]; [Sica v DPP \(Qld\) \[2010\] QCA 18](#) at [17].

mere detail, or to considerations which, although not previously raised, would not have been likely to alter the balance to one favouring the granting of bail’.

In [Sica v DPP \(Qld\) \[2010\] QCA 18](#), a case involving murder charges, the Court of Appeal applied the principle that where “successive applications for bail are made following the refusal of an earlier application the subsequent application will only succeed where the applicant demonstrates that a material change in circumstances has occurred between the two applications”.³¹¹

Examples of circumstances amounting to a change in circumstances include:

- the duration and circumstances of any delay;³¹²
- an applicant’s difficulties in accessing the brief in custody;³¹³
- knowledge of the limits of the Crown case as may have been exposed by a committal hearing (the mere fact that a committal hearing has taken place would not of itself amount to a change in circumstances).³¹⁴

Examples of circumstances *not* amounting to a change of circumstances include:

- evidence contained in an affidavit of an applicant explaining matters predominantly within the defendant’s knowledge at the time of the previous bail application;³¹⁵
- the defendant’s current willingness to reside interstate.³¹⁶

On an application under [s.19\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court may grant bail, vary bail, or refuse the application.³¹⁷

Upon a further application for bail (as distinct from an application to vary bail previously granted) pursuant to s.19, the Court must consider:

³¹¹ [Sica v DPP \(Qld\) \[2010\] QCA 18](#) at [17]; applying [Ex parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#).

³¹² [Re Tesic \[2015\] QSC 205](#).

³¹³ [Re Tesic \[2015\] QSC 205](#).

³¹⁴ [Ex parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#) at 143 per McPherson J.

³¹⁵ [Re Tesic \[2015\] QSC 205](#).

³¹⁶ [Re Tesic \[2015\] QSC 205](#). The court indicated at [35]: ‘The applicant’s expressed willingness to reside in New South Wales constitutes a change only to the intentions or desires of the applicant. There has been no material modification or adjustment to the surrounding factual matrix which has given rise to a “change of circumstances”.

³¹⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.19\(2\)](#).

1. Whether there has been a *material change in circumstances* justifying the reconsideration of the application for bail; and if so,
2. Whether the applicant presents an *unacceptable risk* pursuant to [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#); or
3. Whether the applicant, in a *show cause position*, has shown cause.

(Caution: In circumstances where a defendant brings an application to vary bail previously granted pursuant to [s.19 of the Bail Act 1980](#), the Court must apply a different test.³¹⁸ The Court must consider:

1. whether the conditions of bail imposed on the defendant are still *necessary to secure the defendant's compliance* with [ss.11\(2\)\(a\) and \(b\) of the Bail Act 1980](#); and
2. whether those conditions are presently *more onerous than necessary*.³¹⁹)

See Chapter Four – Variation and Revocation of Bail for further information

6.2 Application to the Supreme Court (Section 10(1))

[Section 10\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides:

'The Supreme Court or a judge thereof may, subject to this Act, grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge of an offence, or in connection with a criminal proceeding, or enlarge, vary or revoke bail granted to a person in or in connection with a criminal proceeding whether or not the person has appeared before the Supreme Court in or in connection therewith'.

An application pursuant to s.10(1) is the most commonly used pathway to challenge or vary a decision of a magistrate refusing bail or a decision imposing bail conditions. This is not by way of appeal but is an application in the Supreme Court's original jurisdiction under the *Bail Act 1980*.

³¹⁸ [Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#).

³¹⁹ [Clumpoint v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2005\] QCA 43](#) at [18].

6.3 Application in the original jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal

In [Scrivener v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2001\] QCA 454](#) at [12], McPherson JA confirmed that on the basis of [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#), an application for bail may be renewed before the Court of Appeal, not by way of appeal, but by way of an originating application in the court's original jurisdiction, but indicated that, '*for the reasons given in [ex parte Edwards \[1989\] 1 Qd R 139](#) (141-143), however, an application to renew is ordinarily not likely to fare better in this Court than it did before a single judge, unless the applicant is in a position to show a material change in circumstances*'.

6.4 Application for review by a Magistrate of a decision of a police officer or justice who is not a Magistrate (Section 19B(3)(a))

[Section 19B\(3\)\(a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides a party with a right to seek review of a decision of a police officer or justice who is not a magistrate, about release under [Part 2 of the Bail Act 1980](#), to a Magistrates Court constituted by a magistrate.

- An application for review can be made by a defendant, complainant, prosecutor, or a person appearing on behalf of the Crown;
- A party seeking a review must take reasonable steps to inform the defendant of the time and place for the hearing of the application.³²⁰
- A hearing on a review may proceed in the defendant's absence if the reviewing court is satisfied that steps were taken to inform the defendant of the time and place;³²¹
- A hearing of an application for review under s.19B is a rehearing de novo;³²²
- Additional or substitute evidence or information may be given;³²³

³²⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(4\)](#).

³²¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(5\)](#).

³²² [The Director of Public Prosecutions v Filippa \[2004\] QSC 470](#) at [15].

³²³ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(6\)](#).

- The reviewing court may make any order it considers appropriate³²⁴ subject to [ss.13, 16 and 17\(1A\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) and [ss.48, 48AAA, 48AA\(c\), 48AE](#) and [48A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#).³²⁵
- The person or court that made the decision under review must give the reviewing court any documents in their possession that may be relevant to the review;³²⁶ and
- The reviewing court must decide an application as soon as is reasonably practicable.³²⁷

6.4.1 Stay of decision to grant bail pending application for review (Section 19CA)

[Section 19CA of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where:

- (a) a decision has been made about release under [ss.19B](#) and [19C of the Bail Act 1980](#) or [Part Five of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) for a defendant charged with a relevant domestic violence offence³²⁸; and
- (b) the prosecutor or other person appearing on behalf of the Crown applies to a reviewing court for a review of the decision,

the decision about the defendant's release is **stayed** until the earlier of the following:

- an order is made by the reviewing court under [s.19B\(6\)](#) or [s.19C\(5\) of the Bail Act 1980](#);
- the application is discontinued, or;
- 4pm on the day that is three business days after the day on which the decision concerning release was made.³²⁹

The reviewing court that makes an order under s.19B or s.19C or seeks to give effect to an order made under s.19CA of the *Bail Act 1980*, may, in order to give effect to the stay, issue a warrant

³²⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(6\)](#).

³²⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(7\)](#).

³²⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(8\)](#).

³²⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(9\)](#).

³²⁸ Defined in the [Criminal Code, s.1](#).

³²⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19CA](#).

for the apprehension of the defendant directing that the defendant be brought before a stated court.³³⁰

6.5 Application for review by the Supreme Court of a decision of a Magistrate or District Court Judge (Section 19B(3)(b))

[Section 19B\(3\)\(b\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides a party with a right to seek review of a decision of a magistrate or District Court judge about release under [Part 2 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) or [Part 5 of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*](#) to the Supreme Court constituted by a single justice.

An application for review can be made by a defendant, complainant, prosecutor, or a person appearing on behalf of the Crown:

- A party seeking a review must take reasonable steps to inform the defendant of the time and place for the hearing of the application.³³¹
- A hearing on a review may proceed in the defendant's absence if the reviewing court is satisfied that steps were taken to inform the defendant of the time and place;³³²
- A hearing of an application for review under [s.19B of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) is a rehearing de novo;³³³
- Additional or substitute evidence or information may be given;³³⁴
- The reviewing court may make any order it considers appropriate³³⁵ subject to [ss.13, 16 and 17\(1A\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) and [ss.48, 48AAA, 48AA\(1\)\(c\), 48AE](#) and [48A of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*](#).³³⁶
- The person or court that made the decision under review must give the reviewing court any documents in their possession that may be relevant to the review;³³⁷ and

³³⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.19D.](#)

³³¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(4\).](#)

³³² [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(5\).](#)

³³³ [The Director of Public Prosecutions v Filippa \[2004\] QSC 470](#) at [15].

³³⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(6\).](#)

³³⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(6\).](#)

³³⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(7\).](#)

³³⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(8\).](#)

- The reviewing court must decide an application as soon as is reasonably practicable.³³⁸

6.6 Application for review by the Supreme Court of a decision of a Magistrate on a review (Section 19C)

[Section 19C of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides for the review by a justice of the Supreme Court, of a decision of a magistrate on review (as provided for in s.19B(3)(a)).

- Leave of the court is required to review the decision;³³⁹
- A defendant, complainant, prosecutor, or a person appearing on behalf of the Crown who makes an application for review pursuant to s.19C must take reasonable steps to inform the defendant of the time and place of the hearing of the application;³⁴⁰
- Additional or substitute evidence or information may be given.³⁴¹
- A hearing of an application for review under s.19C is a rehearing de novo;³⁴²
- On the review, additional or substitute evidence or information may be given;³⁴³
- The court may make any order it considers appropriate subject to [ss.16](#), and [17\(1a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) and, if the defendant is a child, [ss.48](#), [48AAA](#), [48AA\(1\)\(d\)](#), [48AE](#) and [48A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#).³⁴⁴

6.7 Appeal from a decision of a Magistrate to a Judge of the District Court³⁴⁵

Pursuant to [s.222 of the Justices Act 1886](#), an appeal from a decision of a magistrate to a judge of the District Court is by way of rehearing on the original evidence (and is not a rehearing de novo).³⁴⁶

³³⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(9\)](#).

³³⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C\(4\)](#).

³⁴⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C\(2\)](#).

³⁴¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C\(5\)](#).

³⁴² [The Director of Public Prosecutions v Filippa \[2004\] QSC 470](#) considered the effect of s.19B(6) which is mirrored in s.19C(5), to conclude that the review should be conducted as a hearing de novo.

³⁴³ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C\(5\)](#).

³⁴⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C\(5\) and \(6\)](#).

³⁴⁵ An appeal is able to be brought from a decision under the Bail Act 1980— see [Ex Parte Maher \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#) and [Director of Public Prosecutions v Filippa \[2004\] QSC 470](#).

³⁴⁶ [Justices Act 1886, s.222](#); In [McDonald v Queensland Police Service \[2017\] QCA 255](#), Bowskill J (as Her Honour then was) stated: 'It is well established that, on an appeal under [Justices Act] s.222 by way of re-hearing, the District Court is required to conduct a

As the appeal is “*against the exercise of judicial discretion conferred and circumscribed by the terms of s.16*”³⁴⁷ the principles established in [House v The King \(1936\) 55 CLR 499](#) have application.³⁴⁸

Decisions regarding bail involve the exercise of a broad discretion coupled with the court’s assessment concerning the existence or otherwise of an ‘unacceptable risk’ (necessitating ‘an assessment of a risk according to an imprecise standard’³⁴⁹), and the need to form provisional assessments upon limited material regarding the strength of the Crown case and the applicant’s character. Accordingly, such decisions are considered particularly ‘*unsusceptible to the appellate process. The scope for demonstrating error of the kind required by House is necessarily limited. The discretion has to be exercised within very broad parameters*’.³⁵⁰

6.8 Appeal from a decision of a single Judge of the Supreme Court or District Court to the Court of Appeal

An appeal lies to the Court of Appeal from a decision of a single judge of the Supreme Court or District Court refusing bail by virtue of [Chapter 18 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules](#) (UCPR).³⁵¹

An appeal pursuant to Chapter 18 of the UCPR is by way of rehearing on the original evidence (and is not a rehearing de novo).³⁵²

As the appeal is “*against the exercise of judicial discretion conferred and circumscribed by the terms of s.16*”³⁵³ the principles established in [House v The King \(1936\) 55 CLR 499](#) apply.³⁵⁴

real review of the trial, and the magistrate’s reasons and make its own determination of relevant facts and issues from the evidence, giving due deference and attaching a good deal of weight to the magistrate’s view. (Fox v Percy [2003] 214 CLR 118 at [25]; Row v Kemper [2009] 1 Qd R 247 at [3]; White v Commissioner of Police [2014] QCA 121 at [6]). Nevertheless, in order to succeed on such an appeal, the appellant must establish some legal, factual or discretionary error (Fox v Percy [2003] 214 CLR 118 at [27]; Teelow v Commissioner of Police [2009] 2 Qd R 489 at [4]; Commissioner of Police v Al Shakarji [2013] QCA 319 at [7], [65]; White v Commissioner of Police [2014] QCA 121 at [8]).

³⁴⁷ [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) at [22].

³⁴⁸ In both [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) and [Sica v DPP \[2011\] 2 Qd R 254; \[2010\] QCA 18](#), Chesterman JA outlined the nature of an appeal from a decision refusing bail.

³⁴⁹ [M v M \(1988\) 166 CLR 69](#) at 78 at [15].

³⁵⁰ [Sica v DPP \[2011\] 2 Qd R 254; \[2010\] QCA 18](#) per Chesterman JA at [16]. See also [SCT v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2017\] QCA 131](#); [Fisher v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2011\] QCA 54](#); [Le v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2013\] QCA 280](#).

³⁵¹ See [Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999, r.745](#)

³⁵² [Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999, r.765\(1\)](#) states that an appeal to the Court of Appeal is an appeal ‘by way of rehearing’ and as a consequence of [Uniform Civil Procedure Rules r. 765\(2\)](#) includes an appeal from a single judge of the Supreme Court to the Court of Appeal. See [Scrivener v DPP \[2001\] QCA 454](#) at [10].

³⁵³ [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) at [22].

³⁵⁴ In both [Keys v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2009\] QCA 220](#) and [Sica v DPP \[2011\] 2 Qd R 254; \[2010\] QCA 18](#), [Chesterman](#) JA outlined the nature of an appeal from a decision refusing bail.

[Section 8\(5\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that the powers of the Court of Appeal with respect to bail may be exercised by a judge of the Supreme Court in the same manner as they may be exercised by the Court of Appeal, but if the judge refuses an application with respect to bail, the person making the application may apply to the Court of Appeal and that court shall hear and determine the application.

CHAPTER 7 – MAGISTRATES COURT BAIL PENDING [s.222](#) JUSTICES ACT 1886 APPEAL TO THE DISTRICT COURT

Power to grant appeal bail

The Magistrates Court has power under [s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to grant bail to a person awaiting an appeal to the District Court under [s.222 of the Justices Act 1886](#).

Test to be applied

When a defendant seeks bail pending a [s.222 of the Justices Act 1886](#) appeal to the District Court following conviction, bail should be granted only in exceptional circumstances, and ordinarily only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. that there are strong grounds for concluding that the appeal will be allowed; and
2. that the sentence, or in all events the custodial part of it, is likely to have been substantially served before the appeal is determined.³⁵⁵

Exceptional circumstances may be demonstrated even though both those conditions are not satisfied but the prospects of success on appeal will always be an important consideration. The discretion should be exercised in light of those principles, but having regard to all relevant circumstances, in particular those referred to in [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#).³⁵⁶

7.1 Power to grant appeal bail

The Magistrates Court has clear power to grant, enlarge, vary, or revoke bail in the period during which a s.222 appeal is pending in the District Court.³⁵⁷ The District Court also has power to determine bail applications during this period.³⁵⁸ It may be preferable that such applications are brought in the appeal court, especially where potential delay is an issue under consideration. For example, an appeal court may elect to list the matter for expedited hearing.³⁵⁹ Nevertheless,

³⁵⁵ [Hanson v DPP \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 409](#); [Fuller v DPP \(Qld\) \[2008\] QCA 303](#). See also [Re Chetcuti \[2017\] QSC 196](#), [De Waal v Commissioner of Police \[2016\] QDC 26](#) and [R v Martens \[2009\] QCA 139](#).

³⁵⁶ [R v Ogawa \[2009\] QCA 201](#) at [8].

³⁵⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\) and 8\(1\)\(b\)](#).

³⁵⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\)](#) (See also Chapter 16 for further information)

³⁵⁹ See [R v Kelley \[2018\] QCA 18](#) at [4].

where a party elects to bring an application before the Magistrates Court, the court would not ordinarily refuse to exercise its jurisdiction to determine the matter.

7.2 Test to be applied

The *Bail Act 1980* clearly distinguishes between bail involving persons ‘*clothed with the presumption of innocence and the grant of bail to a person after he has been convicted*’.³⁶⁰ [Section 9 of the Bail Act 1980](#) applies to the former and subject to the provisions of the Act, creates a duty to grant bail to unconvicted persons. In contrast, the Act *does not* contain ‘*a positive expression of the duty to grant bail*’³⁶¹ in the case of a convicted person. Rather, [s.8 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides only a *discretionary power* to grant bail to the convicted defendant pending a s.222 appeal.³⁶²

Notwithstanding the discretionary nature of the power to grant bail pending an appeal to the District Court, it was established by the High Court in [United Mexican States v Cabal](#) that:

‘To stay an order of imprisonment before deciding the appeal is a serious interference with the due administration of criminal justice. As Thomas J pointed out in Ex parte Maher, to allow bail pending the hearing of an appeal after a person has been convicted and imprisoned:

- *makes the conviction appear contingent until confirmed;*
- *places the Court in the invidious position of having to return to prison a person whose circumstances may have changed dramatically during the period of liberty on bail;*
- *encourages unmeritorious appeals;*
- *undermines respect for the judicial system in having a “recently sentenced person walking free”;*
- *undermines the public interest in having convicted persons serve their sentences as soon as practicable.*

*Consequently, the doctrine of this Court is that in a criminal case an order granting bail will only be made if there are exceptional circumstances’.*³⁶³

³⁶⁰ [Ex parte Maher \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#) at 307 per Thomas J.

³⁶¹ [Ex parte Maher \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#) at 308 per Thomas J.

³⁶² [Bail Act 1980, s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\).](#)

³⁶³ Joint judgment of Gleeson CJ, McHugh and Gummow JJ in [United Mexican States v Cabal \(2001\) 209 CLR 165; \[2001\] HCA 60](#) at [39]; (2001) 183 ALR 646 at 656, quoting Justice Thomas in [Ex parte Maher \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#). See also [Hanson v DPP \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 409; R v Fuller \[2008\] QCA 303; Ettridge v DPP \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 410.](#)

As stated by Thomas J in *Maier*, 'the discretion is one that is not lightly to be exercised, and is one that requires factors of sufficient force to outweigh the public factors I have mentioned'.³⁶⁴ The public factors referred to by Thomas J are those mentioned by the High Court in the passage above from [United Mexican States v Cabal \(2001\) 209 CLR 165; \[2001\] HCA 60](#).

The High Court in [Cabal](#) went on to say:

'The history of decisions of this Court shows that ordinarily it will grant bail in criminal cases only if two conditions are satisfied. First, the applicant must demonstrate that there are strong grounds for concluding the appeal will be allowed..... Second, the applicant must show the sentence, or at all events the custodial part of it, is likely to have been substantially served before the appeal is determined.'

In [Hanson v DPP \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 409](#), the Court of Appeal applied *Cabal* and commented that 'the prospect of success on appeal is an obviously important matter when determining whether or not ...exceptional circumstances exist'.

While generally it will be necessary for the applicant to show strong grounds and that a substantial portion of the sentence may be served, it was explained in [R v Ogawa \[2009\] QCA 201](#) that exceptional circumstances may still be found to exist although both those requirements are not satisfied.³⁶⁵ The Court summarised the principles as follows:

*'Ordinarily, in order to establish "exceptional circumstances" it will be necessary to show that "there are strong grounds for concluding that the appeal will be allowed" and that the appellant may be required to serve an unacceptable portion of his or her sentence before the appeal can be heard.³⁶⁶ That statement of principle acknowledges that "exceptional circumstances" may be held to exist even though both of the above requirements are not satisfied.³⁶⁷ Prospects of success on appeal, however, will always be an important consideration.³⁶⁸ Of course, it is always necessary that the discretion to grant or withhold bail be exercised in the light of the principles stated earlier, with regard to all relevant circumstances, and in particular, those referred to in s 16 of the Bail Act 1980 (Qld).'*³⁶⁹

³⁶⁴ [Ex parte Maier \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#) at 310.

³⁶⁵ See also [Re Chetcuti \[2017\] QSC 196](#) and [De Waal v Commissioner of Police \[2016\] QDC 26](#).

³⁶⁶ [Hanson v DPP \(Qld\) \(2003\) 142 A Crim R 241](#); [R v Fuller \[2008\] QCA 303](#); and [Ettridge v DPP \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 410](#).

³⁶⁷ See e.g., [Ex Parte: Maier \[1986\] 1 Qd R 303](#) at 312; [Marotta v The Queen \(1999\) 73 ALJR 265](#) and [Chew v The Queen](#) [No 2] (1991) 66 ALJR 221.

³⁶⁸ [In re Cooper's Application for Bail \[1961\] ALR 584](#) and [R v Martens \[2009\] QCA 139](#).

³⁶⁹ [R v Ogawa \[2009\] QCA 201](#) at [8].

CHAPTER 8 – FORFEITURE OF UNDERTAKING, DEPOSIT OR OTHER SECURITY (INCLUDING SURETY) UPON NON-ATTENDANCE

[Section 11 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the court with the power to grant bail upon a defendant's undertaking with or without security.

***Undertaking** means a promise in writing with respect to bail signed by a defendant or by a defendant and the defendant's surety or sureties that the defendant will appear at a hearing or an adjourned hearing or upon the defendant's trial or an appeal and surrender into custody and comply with such other conditions as are imposed for the defendant's release on bail.*³⁷⁰

Security for the defendant's appearance may be provided by way of a deposit of money or other security, including an undertaking by a surety as to payment of a sum of money.³⁷¹

A surety to an undertaking must be a person other than the defendant.³⁷² Upon forfeiture of an undertaking the surety becomes bound to pay the sum of money specified in the undertaking.³⁷³

Consequently, a failure to appear in accordance with an undertaking may result in the forfeiture of the undertaking³⁷⁴ and:

- i. forfeiture of a deposit of money;
- ii. forfeiture of any other security;
- iii. forfeiture of a sum of money promised as security by a surety.³⁷⁵

Upon failure of a defendant to appear where a security has been provided, the Act provides for a two-stage approach:

³⁷⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.6.](#)

³⁷¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.11.](#)

³⁷² [Bail Act 1980, s.21.](#)

³⁷³ [Bail Act 1980, s.21\(2\).](#)

³⁷⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.31.](#)

³⁷⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.32.](#)

Stage 1 - Automatic Declaration of Forfeiture of Undertaking under Section 31

If the court is satisfied that a defendant has not appeared in accordance with an undertaking the court must declare the undertaking forfeited.³⁷⁶

- i. Forfeiture of the undertaking is automatic.
- ii. Notwithstanding the use of the word 'may' in [s.31\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court has no discretion.³⁷⁷
- iii. Proof of fault on part of the defendant is not required.

Stage 2 – Discretion to Order Forfeiture under Section 32

A court that declares an undertaking forfeited (upon satisfaction of the non-attendance of the defendant and pursuant to s.31 of the *Bail Act 1980*) may order forfeiture of the deposit or other security.

- i. The Court has a discretion to order the forfeiture of all or some of the security following a declaration.
- ii. The Court has a discretion regarding the amount, time, and manner of payment.
- iii. If the Court orders forfeiture of all or some of the security, the Court must comply with [s.32A\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#).

An order made under s.32 of the *Bail Act 1980* can be varied or revoked if it is against the interests of justice to require the amount to be paid.³⁷⁸

³⁷⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.31](#) but see [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#) per Flanagan J [9-12] and [33].

³⁷⁷ Although [s.31\(1\)](#) provides that the court 'may forthwith declare the undertaking to be forfeited, that phrase has been judicially considered in [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#) per Flanagan J at [9]-[12] and [33] to require the **automatic forfeiture** of both the undertaking and any security in circumstances where the court is satisfied the defendant has failed to appear in accordance with an undertaking subject to a surety/ies (citing Jones J in [Baytieh v State of Queensland \[2001\] 1 Qd R at \[29\]; \[1999\] QCA 466](#) at [29]).

³⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.32B\(2\)\(a\)](#). See considerations set out by Jones J in [Baytieh v State of Queensland \[2001\] 1 Qd R](#).

8.1 Generally

In order to manage the risk of releasing an offender on bail into the community, the *Bail Act 1980* provides for a defendant to be released subject to conditions relating to the provision of security for attendance.

[Section 11 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides:

(1) A court or police officer authorised by this Act to grant bail shall consider the conditions for the release of a person on bail in the following sequence—

(a) the release of the person on the person's own undertaking without sureties and without deposit of money or other security;

(b) the release of the person on the person's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value;

(c) the release of the person on the person's own undertaking with a surety or sureties of stated value;

(d) the release of the person on the person's own undertaking with a deposit of money or other security of stated value and a surety or sureties of stated value ...

To ensure the effectiveness of sureties to address any risk that accompanies the grant of bail, in circumstances where the defendant fails to attend in accordance with an undertaking where a security has been provided, the court has the power to order forfeiture of the security whether provided by the defendant or a surety. The potential of the court to impose a serious and detrimental consequence on a third party by the ordering of forfeiture of any security given by a surety, is provided for by [s.21\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) as follows, and is aimed at the court exerting 'moral pressure' on a defendant to comply with the conditions of the bail undertaking:

'a person who enters into an undertaking as a surety becomes bound, upon its forfeiture, to pay to Her Majesty the sum of money set forth in the undertaking with respect to that surety'.

The importance of the ability of the court to apply moral pressure on a defendant to comply with a bail undertaking as a consequence of the court's power to forfeit the undertakings of sureties, was expressed in [R v Crown Court at Maidenstone; Ex parte Lever \[1995\] 2 All ER 35 at 40](#) (as cited in [Baytieth](#) at [32]):

"In this case the sureties undertook a particularly heavy and onerous burden in assuming the responsibility of bringing this defendant to court for trial. Substantially to reduce their burden would be to alert those who might otherwise be constrained not to break that trust with their family, that a surety who has done his best will be relieved of his financial obligation and a defendant need not be concerned that the family will suffer if he absconds. That impression would destroy a crucial element in the use of sureties. The reducing of the financial obligation assumed by a surety must be the exception not the rule and be granted only in really deserving cases." Hoffman LJ stated in that case – "It follows that in one sense the system has unfairness built into it. It may result in persons entirely innocent having to suffer on account of the wrongdoing of another. The courts rely upon the moral pressure which this prospect should apply to the mind of the accused. But the pressure would evaporate if judges were not willing, as a general rule, to harden their hearts against a plea of lack of culpability when it turns out that the surety's trust in the accused was misplaced."

8.2 Automatic declaration of forfeiture of undertaking (Section 31)

[Section 31 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the magistrate with the power to declare an undertaking forfeited:

'(1) Where a defendant who has been released on bail fails to appear before the court in accordance with the defendant's undertaking and surrender into custody the court may forthwith declare the undertaking to be forfeited'.

Notwithstanding the use of the word 'may' in s.31(1), proof of fault is not required. As Flanagan J stated in [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#), citing Jones J in [Baytieh v State of Queensland \[1999\] QCA 466](#), noted:

'... If the court is satisfied that the defendant has failed to appear in accordance with his or her undertaking then the forfeiture of both the undertaking and any deposit or other security is automatic'. [12] & [33]

His Honour continued:

'... the better view is that s.31(1) simply requires the Director to establish to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant has failed to appear. Except in the clearest of cases where there is uncontroverted evidence as to the circumstances of such non-appearance, for

example uncontroverted evidence that the defendant is dead, then explanations and excuses for non-appearance are best dealt with in the context of an application pursuant to s.32B of the Act.’ [33]

This was reasoned to be so notwithstanding the use of the word ‘may’ in [s.32\(1\)](#) because of the operation of [s.32B of the Bail Act 1980](#) which allows for consideration by the magistrate of the interests of justice and provides the magistrate with a discretion to vary or revoke an order forfeiting a bail undertaking.

8.3 Discretion to order forfeiture and payment of deposit or other security (including where there is a surety) (Section 32)

[Section 32 of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the magistrate with a discretion (in circumstances where an undertaking has been declared forfeited pursuant to s.31 and the undertaking contains a condition of bail requiring the making of a deposit of money or other security) to order that the deposit or other security be forfeited. Usually forfeiture under s.32 will, as with forfeiture of the undertaking, be automatic.³⁷⁹

If a court orders payment of a deposit of money or other security under s.32 of the *Bail Act 1980* for which there is a surety, in accordance with s.32A(1), the court must also do one of the following:

- (a) order the surety pay the amount to the proper officer of the court immediately or within the time or by the instalments stated in the order; or
- (b) order that the proper officer of the court give the particulars to SPER for registration under [s.34 of the State Penalties Enforcement Act 1999](#).³⁸⁰

The court has a discretion regarding the length of time ordered to pay the amount under [s.32A\(1\)\(a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#).

The court has a discretion to impose a default period of imprisonment upon a surety of not more than two years for defaulting in paying the amount due.³⁸¹

³⁷⁹ [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#) at [12].

³⁸⁰ Discussed at [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner & Anor \[2016\] QSC 107](#) at [39].

³⁸¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.32A\(2\)](#).

8.4 Variation or revocation of an order to pay an amount forfeited (Section 32B)

[Section 32B of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the magistrate with the power to revoke or vary an order made pursuant to s.32 or s.32A to pay an amount forfeited.

A defendant or surety may apply in the approved Form to the court that made the order or in the case of a Magistrates Court, any magistrate, for an order revoking or varying the order.³⁸²

An application can only be made on the ground that it would be against the interests of justice to require the person to pay the amount ordered to be paid.³⁸³

The applicant bears a heavy onus of proving on the balance of probabilities that it would be against the interests of justice to require the person to pay the amount ordered to be paid.³⁸⁴

'It may be accepted that the circumstances will be rare in which it would be against the interests of justice to require the person indebted to pay any part of the amount ordered to be paid, given the importance of ensuring the integrity of the surety system'.³⁸⁵

Jones J in [Baytieh](#) listed the matters he considered relevant to a determination of an application under [s.32B of the Bail Act 1980](#):

1. *The onus of proof that relief from forfeiture is "in the interests of justice" is on the applicant (Cucu).*
2. *The surety's obligation is to pay, unless he or she proves circumstances which make it fair and just to be granted relief ([Doueihi](#)).*
3. *The steps which the surety took to ensure that the defendant would attend the Court (Southampton Justices, Ex parte Green).³⁸⁶*
4. *Any circumstances which ought to have alerted the surety that the defendant was*

³⁸² [Bail Act 1980, s.32B\(1\).](#)

³⁸³ [Bail Act 1980, s.32B\(2\)\(a\).](#)

³⁸⁴ See [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner \[2016\] QSC 107](#) at [50] and [Baytieh](#) [33] quoting Kirby P in *Cucu v The District Court (NSW)* (1994) 73 A Crim R 240 at 242.

³⁸⁵ Per McMurdo P, Davies JA in [Baytieh v State of Queensland \[2001\] 1 Qd R 1](#) at [12], followed in [Henry v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2003\] QCA 2.](#)

³⁸⁶ *'It is well established that in considering an application for relief under s.32B of the Act an important consideration is whether the surety has taken all reasonable steps to ensure the attendance of the defendant at his trial'.*

likely to abscond ([Doueihi](#)).

5. *The circumstances which caused the surety to enter into the undertaking to secure the defendant's release (Thomakakis v Sheriff (NSW) (1993) 33 NSWLR 36, 71 A Crim R 265).*
6. *The nature of the relationship between the surety and the defendant and the level of control the surety had over the defendant's behaviour (Cucu; see also R v Jordan [1966] Tas SR 178).*
7. *Whether the relationship is likely to persuade the defendant to return in the event that he or she absconds (Southampton Justices, ex parte Corker (1976) 120 SJ 214).*
8. *The assistance given by the surety in the attempts to re-apprehend the defendant (Cucu).*
9. *The extent of the financial impact of the forfeiture on the surety and his or her family (Cucu).*³⁸⁷

As was noted in [DPP \(Cth\) v Turner \[2016\] QSC 107](#) at [79]:

“Whilst McMurdo P and Davies JA in [Baytieh v State of Qld](#) considered it unwise to attempt a definitive statement of the considerations which may be relevant to an application pursuant to s.32B, their Honours accepted that considerations of financial hardship of the applicant arising since giving the undertaking would be relevant’.

An application for revocation or variation:

- must be made within 28 days after the relevant undertaking is forfeited or the longer time the court allows for payment of the amount (or within 28 days of the forfeiture order coming to the notice of the applicant);
- must briefly state the circumstances relied upon; and

³⁸⁷See also [Ex parte Doueihi \[1986\] 2 Qd R 352](#) – the decision identifies some relevant considerations to which regard should be had regarding forfeiture (as stated at [31] of [Baytieh](#)).

- must be filed and served on the relevant party at least 14 days before the dates set for the hearing of the application.³⁸⁸

Upon hearing of an application, the court must decide the application and may:

- a) vary the order; or
- b) revoke the order; or
- c) refuse the application.³⁸⁹

³⁸⁸ See [Bail Act 1980, ss.32B\(2\) and \(3\)](#).

³⁸⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.32B\(7\)](#).

CHAPTER 9 - WARRANTS

Power to issue a warrant

A magistrate may issue a warrant:

- 1) when the defendant leaves the precinct of the Court without entering into an undertaking or fulfilling necessary conditions;³⁹⁰
- 2) pursuant to [s.28A\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) when the defendant fails to appear as required having been released:
 - a) on bail by the Supreme Court or District Court to appear before the Magistrates Court; or
 - b) on bail by a Magistrates Court or the Childrens Court, or by any justice or justices conducting a committal proceeding, upon the defendant entering into an undertaking; or
 - c) on bail under [s.7\(3\)\(a\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) (bail granted by a police officer); or
 - d) on bail upon the defendant making a deposit of money under [s.14A of the Bail Act 1980](#); or
 - e) on bail that has been continued under ss.[34A\(2\)](#), [34B\(2\)](#), [34BA\(2\)](#) or [34BB\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#); or
 - ea) on bail or without bail under [Part 5 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#); or
 - f) permitted to go at large without bail;
- 3) when a person released under [s.11A of the Bail Act 1980](#) with an impairment of mind fails to appear as required (s.28C); and
- 4) in order to give effect to a decision made by the Magistrates Court sitting in the capacity of a reviewing court.³⁹¹

[Section 28A\(4\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) limits the circumstances in which a warrant may be issued for a defendant released to appear at a time to be determined or absent and unrepresented when the matter was adjourned.

³⁹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, ss.27A & 27B](#)

³⁹¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19D](#)

Power to postpone the issue and enforcement of a warrant where defendant fails to appear

[Section 33D of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the magistrate with the power to postpone the issue and enforcement of a warrant.

Similarly, the [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) authorises a court to postpone enforcement (but not the issue) of a warrant upon failure to comply with a Notice to Appear.

Power upon surrender

When a defendant surrenders under a warrant having previously failed to appear, the magistrate may:

- a) withdraw or cancel the warrant;
- b) with the exception of a warrant issued pursuant to [s.28C of the Bail Act 1980](#), convict the defendant of an offence of failing to appear.³⁹²

9.1 Power to issue a warrant

9.1.1 Warrant for apprehension when defendant leaves the precinct of the court without entering into an undertaking or fulfilling necessary conditions (Sections 27A & 27B)

[Section 27A of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the court with the power to issue a warrant for the defendant's arrest in circumstances where the defendant is granted bail and the defendant leaves the precincts of the court without entering into a required undertaking or without fulfilling required conditions prior to leaving the precincts of the court.

Pursuant to s.27A(3), a warrant issued pursuant to s.27A must:

- (a) name the defendant; and
- (b) state the reason under s.27A(1) for the issue (that is, leaving without entering an undertaking or leaving without fulfilling a required condition); and

³⁹² [Bail Act 1980, s.33](#)

- (c) order all police officers to apprehend the defendant and bring the defendant before the court to be dealt with according to law.

[Section 27B of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) is in similar terms to s.27A but relates to circumstances where a magistrate grants bail using video link facilities or audio link facilities under [Part 6A of the *Justices Act 1886*](#), and where the defendant leaves the precincts of the court without entering into the required undertaking or without fulfilling required conditions of an undertaking.

9.1.1.1 Where defendant surrenders

Whilst there does not appear to be any specific legislative provision in the *Bail Act 1980* regarding the power of the court to withdraw or cancel a warrant issued pursuant to s.27A or s.27B, [s.24AA of the *Acts Interpretation Act 1954*](#) states that the power to make any instrument or decision includes a power to amend or repeal. ‘Repeal’ of an instrument is defined to include ‘to revoke or rescind’. ‘Instrument’ is defined to mean ‘any document’. Accordingly, it is considered that a court has the power to withdraw or cancel such a warrant given the court has the power in s.27A and s.27B to issue such a warrant.

Additionally, pursuant to [s.33 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#), a court may convict the defendant of failing to surrender.³⁹³

9.1.2 Other warrants for the apprehension of the defendant (Section 28A(1))

[Section 28A\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that where a defendant does not appear before a court that the defendant is required to appear before, that court may issue a warrant if the defendant was released:

- a) on bail by the Supreme Court or District Court to appear before the Magistrates Court;
- b) on bail by a Magistrates Court or the Childrens Court, or by any justice or justices conducting a committal proceeding, upon the defendant entering into an undertaking; or
- c) on bail under [s.7\(3\)\(a\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) (bail granted by a police officer); or

³⁹³ See also Chapter 9.3 for further information

- d) on bail upon the defendant making a deposit of money under [s.14A of the Bail Act 1980](#); or
- e) on bail that has been continued under [ss.34A\(2\), 34B\(2\), 34BA\(2\)](#) or [34BB\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#); or
- ea) on bail or without bail under [Part 5 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#); or ³⁹⁴
- f) permitted to go at large without bail.

[Section 391 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#) mirrors s.28A(1) of the *Bail Act 1980*. It provides that where a person fails to appear as required by a Notice to Appear issued by a police officer, the court before which the person was required to appear may a) hear and decide the complaint in the absence of the person,³⁹⁵ or b) order that a warrant issue for the arrest of the person to be brought before the court to be dealt with according to law.

[Section 28A\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that a warrant issued pursuant to s.28A shall:

- a) name or otherwise describe the defendant;
- b) set out the court into the custody of which the defendant failed to surrender and the time and place of that failure; and
- c) order the police officers to whom it is directed to apprehend the defendant and cause the defendant to be brought before a Magistrates Court or, as the case may be, the Childrens Court to be dealt with according to law.

Note –

A defendant may be granted bail before being brought before the court under paragraph (c) if the defendant shows cause under s. 16(3) of the *Bail Act 1980* why the defendant's detention in custody is not justified.

³⁹⁴ [Part Five of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) includes [s.57](#) entitled: 'Warrants for arrest of child who fails to appear after release without bail' and provides that '... the provisions of the *Bail Act 1980* relating to the issue of warrants for the arrest of defendants who fail to surrender into the custody of the court before which they were required to appear after being permitted to go at large without bail, apply to a child who fails to appear after being released into the custody of a parent, or permitted to go at large, without bail.'

³⁹⁵ Summary offences and indictable offences capable of being dealt with summarily may be heard and decided in the absence of a defendant who fails to appear in response to a Notice to Appear: see [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.388](#); [Justices Act 1886, ss.142](#) and [142A](#); [Justices Act 1886, s.4](#), definition 'simple offence'; [Acts Interpretation Act 1954, s.44](#).

Section 28A(4) of the *Bail Act 1980* provides that a court **shall not** issue a warrant under s.28A(1):

- (a) where the defendant was released on bail or permitted to go at large without bail to appear at a time and place to be determined; or
- (b) where the hearing was adjourned in the defendant's absence and the defendant was not represented by a lawyer;

Unless:

- the court is satisfied the defendant cannot be found, has absconded or is likely to abscond; or
- reasonable notice of the time and place of the hearing has been given to the defendant.

Section [28B of the Bail Act 1980](#) states that a warrant issued under [ss.28](#) or [28A\(1\)\(a\), \(b\), \(c\) or \(e\)](#) to apprehend a defendant because of the defendant's failure to surrender, shall be sufficient authority for a police officer to apprehend the defendant upon any other charge in respect of which the defendant failed to surrender at the same court, time and place or sittings as the defendant was required to surrender into custody on the charge in respect of which the warrant was issued.

9.1.2.1 Where defendant surrenders

In accordance with [s.28A\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), where a defendant for whose apprehension a warrant was issued under s.28A(1):

- a) surrenders into the custody of the court that issued the warrant as soon as is practicable after the time for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so; and
- b) satisfies the court that the failure to surrender into custody was due to reasonable cause,

the court may withdraw or cancel the warrant.

Section 28A(2) of the *Bail Act 1980* deals with the familiar circumstance where a defendant fails to appear at the appointed time, a warrant is then issued but the defendant presents soon after.

It is arguable the power to withdraw or cancel under s.28A(2) may only be exercised by the Magistrates Court at the place where the warrant was issued. However, once the warrant has been executed, the person may be dealt with under [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#) for failing to appear by a Court at any place appointed for the holding of a Magistrates Court.³⁹⁶ Under s.33(2), the defendant may advance a defence of reasonable cause for having failed to appear and surrender.

397

9.1.2.2 Management of substantive matter by the court other than the court that issued the warrant

In circumstances where the warrant has been executed and a Magistrate in a court that did not issue the warrant determines to deal with the person under s.33 of the *Bail Act 1980* for failing to appear, the Magistrate, may in some circumstances hear and determine the substantive and other outstanding offences.

[Section 33A of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that the Magistrates court before which the defendant is brought on the warrant, may hear, and determine the substantive offence/s and any offence with which the defendant has been charged and not dealt with provided:

- a) the defendant consents to the substantive or any other outstanding matters being dealt with
- b) the offence is one which may be heard and determined by the court;
- c) the court is satisfied that the defendant has not been dealt with for the substantive or other offence/s; and
- d) the defendant pleads guilty.

[Section 139\(3\) of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that *'When a defendant fails to appear to answer a complaint for a simple offence or breach of duty and a warrant is issued for the purpose of apprehending the defendant and bringing the defendant before the court, the matter may, with the defendant's consent, be dealt with before a Magistrates Court at a place within the district in which the defendant is apprehended under the warrant.'*

³⁹⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.33C\(1\).](#)

³⁹⁷ For how a court may deal with a person under s.33 of the Bail Act, see further below at Chapter 9.3.

If the preconditions in either s.33A of the *Bail Act 1980* or s.139(3) of the *Justices Act 1886* are not met, whilst the Magistrate has the power in s.33 of the *Bail Act 1980* to deal with the defendant for the failing to surrender, the Magistrate will not have the power to deal with the substantive offence/s and according to s.[33B\(1\)](#), ‘without further inquiry or examination, shall commit the defendant to be dealt with by the court that issued the warrant’.

In those circumstances, in accordance with s.33B(2), a court ‘*may grant bail to the defendant or by its warrant commit the defendant to prison with a direction to the chief executive (corrective services) to cause the defendant to surrender into the custody of the court that issued the warrant referred to in s.33(1)(b) in accordance with the defendant’s undertaking at the time and place for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so*’.

9.1.3 Warrant for the apprehension of a person released under [s.11A](#) with an impairment of mind (Section 28C)

[Section 28C of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where a person with an impairment of mind is released under [s.11A](#) (who may be an adult or a child) fails to surrender as required, the court may issue a warrant for their apprehension directing that they be brought before the court. The person will not be liable to any other penalty for their failure to appear.³⁹⁸

The warrant must state the name or describe the person, the name of the court, the time and place where the defendant was required to surrender and failed to do so, and must state that the defendant failed to surrender into the court’s custody at the required time and place, and order the police officers to apprehend the person and cause the person to be brought before the court to be dealt with according to law.³⁹⁹

9.1.3.1 Where defendant surrenders

In accordance with [s.28C\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court may withdraw and cancel a warrant issued pursuant to s.28C if:

- a) the person surrenders as soon as is practicable after the stated time; and
- b) the court is given a satisfactory explanation as to why the person failed to surrender into custody as required.⁴⁰⁰

³⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.28C\(1\)\(b\)](#).

³⁹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.28C\(2\)](#).

⁴⁰⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.28C\(3\)](#).

A court has no power to convict the defendant of the offence of failing to surrender.⁴⁰¹

(For more detailed consideration, see Chapter Eight – Forfeiture of Deposit or other Security upon non-attendance, and see Chapter 10 - Alternatives to Bail).

9.1.4 Warrant for defendant where surety swore a false affidavit (Section 21(7))

Where it appears to the court that a surety has sworn a false affidavit of justification, the court may revoke the defendant's bail and issue a warrant for apprehension of the defendant⁴⁰² Once the defendant is brought before the court it may revoke the bail, release the defendant on the defendant's original undertaking or vary the bail.⁴⁰³

1.1.9 Warrant in aid of orders by reviewing courts (Section 19D)

Sections [19B](#) and [19C of the Bail Act 1980](#) provide for a reviewing court in particular circumstances, to review a decision relating to bail. (See further Chapter 6 – Challenges to a Refusal of Bail). [Section 19D of the Bail Act 1980](#) empowers a reviewing court that makes an order pursuant to s.19B or s.19C to issue a warrant for the apprehension of the defendant directing that the defendant be brought before a stated court, in order to give effect to the order.

1.1.9.1 Where defendant surrenders

Whilst there does not appear to be any specific legislative provision in the *Bail Act 1980* regarding the power of the court to withdraw or cancel a warrant issued pursuant to s.19D of the *Bail Act 1980*, [s.24AA of the Acts Interpretation Act 1954](#) states that the power to make any instrument or decision includes a power to amend or repeal. 'Repeal' of an instrument is defined to include 'to revoke or rescind'. 'Instrument' is defined to mean 'any document'. Accordingly, it is considered that a court has the power to withdraw or cancel such a warrant given the court has the power in s.19D to issue such a warrant.

Additionally, pursuant to [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#), a court may convict the defendant of the offence of failing to surrender.⁴⁰⁴

⁴⁰¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.28C\(1\)\(b\)](#)

⁴⁰² [Bail Act 1980, s.21\(7\)](#).

⁴⁰³ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A\(2\)](#).

⁴⁰⁴ See further below at Chapter 9.3

1.1.10 Warrant for apprehension of defendant by Supreme or District Court (Section 28)

[Section 28\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that where a defendant who has entered into an undertaking requiring the defendant to appear before the Supreme Court or District Court, breaks a condition of the undertaking or if the court is satisfied that the defendant is likely to break any such condition, the court before which the defendant is required to appear (on application by the Director of Public Prosecutions or, the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions or a person duly so authorised), may after giving notice to the defendants (or without such notice if the defendant cannot be located or is likely to abscond), issue a warrant for the apprehension of the defendant.

1.1.10.1 Committal to Supreme or District Court (Section 33B)

Where a person is before a Magistrates Court or Childrens Court for failing to appear and that court cannot deal with the defendant on the offence in respect of which he or she failed to appear, the court, “without further inquiry or examination”, must commit the defendant to be dealt with by the court that issued the warrant.⁴⁰⁵

1.1.10.2 Where defendant surrenders

In accordance with [s.28\(2\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#), where a defendant failed to surrender and a warrant issued pursuant to s.28(1), and:

- a) the defendant surrenders to the court that issued the warrant as soon as is practicable after the time for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so; and
- b) satisfies the court that the failure to surrender was due to reasonable cause,

the court may withdraw and cancel the warrant.

Similarly, in accordance with s.28(2A) and (2B) of the *Bail Act 1980*, where a warrant issued because the defendant had broken a condition of the undertaking or on the ground that the defendant is likely to break a condition of the undertaking, and the defendant satisfies the court prior to the execution of the warrant that the breaking of the condition was due to reasonable cause, or that the defendant is not likely to break a condition of the undertaking, the court may withdraw and cancel the warrant.

⁴⁰⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.33B](#); This power is in addition to the jurisdiction conferred by the [Justices Act 1886, s.101](#); [Bail Act 1980, s.33C\(2\)](#).

Additionally, pursuant to [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#), a court may convict the defendant of failing to surrender.⁴⁰⁶

(See Chapter 16 – Supreme and District Court Bail)

9.2 Postponing issue or enforcement of a warrant in circumstances of a defendant failing to appear (Section 33D)

[Section 33D of the Bail Act 1980](#) states in respect of a person released on bail:-

(1) This section applies if an application is made to the court for a warrant for the apprehension of a person who has failed to appear before the court.

(2) The court may postpone the issue or enforcement of the warrant to allow the person a further opportunity to appear before the court.

Similarly, the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* authorises a court to postpone enforcement (but not the issue) of a warrant made under [s.389\(1\)\(b\)](#) of that Act due to failure to appear as required by a Notice to Appear.

Section 389(5) provides:

Subsection 1(b) does not prevent a court postponing the enforcement of a warrant for the arrest of a person to allow the person a further opportunity to appear before the court.

In the event of a failure to appear by a person on bail the magistrate may elect one of four possible options:

- Decline to issue a warrant,
- Postpone issuing a warrant (except where there was failure to comply with a Notice to Appear under the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*,
- Issue a warrant, or
- Issue a warrant but make an order postponing enforcement.

⁴⁰⁶ See further below at Chapter 9.3

As the issue of a warrant has the significant consequence of potentially depriving a defendant of his or her liberty and exposing the person to commission of an offence under [s.33\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), a Magistrate will carefully weigh all relevant considerations in the exercise of the discretion to issue.

If the magistrate declines to issue a warrant the matter will be adjourned, and the defendant served with notice of the adjourned date. This is a course often taken by magistrates in respect of warrants issued under the *Bail Act 1980*. If there is a failure to appear on the adjourned date the prosecution may renew the application for a warrant.

A magistrate may order a warrant issue but postpone enforcement or alternatively, postpone issue to a later date. As there is no power under the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* to formally postpone issue of a warrant, as opposed to postponing enforcement, (in contrast to the position under the *Bail Act 1980*) care must be taken to be aware whether the person was required to present under a Notice to Appear. In a busy court, in order to avoid error, it may be easier to routinely forgo using the option available under the *Bail Act 1980* of postponing issue in favour of using one of the other alternatives.

Upon issuing a warrant a magistrate who makes an order postponing enforcement to allow the person a further opportunity to appear will adjourn the matter to a future date for mention. If the person appears on the mention date the magistrate will cancel the warrant. If the person fails to appear on the mention date a magistrate may order that the warrant be enforced.

9.3 Power of the court upon surrender to convict of offence of failing to appear (Section 33)

With the exception of a warrant issued pursuant to [s.28C of the Bail Act 1980](#) (with respect to a person with an impairment of mind), where the defendant either fails to surrender as soon as is practicable or fails to satisfy the court that the failure was due to reasonable cause, the defendant commits an offence under [s.33\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) of failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking.

In such circumstances, upon production of the warrant, the magistrate is required under [s.33\(4\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to 'then and there' call on the defendant to prove why the defendant should not be convicted of an offence of failing to appear. This statutory requirement must be given effect by calling on the defendant without delay. Under s.33(2), the defendant may advance a defence of reasonable cause for having failed to appear and surrender.

A Magistrates Court or Childrens Court located in any Magistrates Court district has jurisdiction to exercise the powers conferred by [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#) regardless of where the offence was committed.⁴⁰⁷

For more detailed consideration see Chapter 12 – Breach of Bail - Fail to Attend, and Chapter 8 – Forfeiture of Deposit or other Security upon non-attendance

9.3.1 Required declaration of forfeiture of undertaking and any security (Section 31)

When a court is satisfied that the defendant has not appeared in accordance with an undertaking that is the subject of a surety/ies, by virtue of [s.31\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the court *must* (except in the clearest of cases) declare any undertaking and any security and/or surety, forfeited.

For more detailed consideration, see Chapter 8 – Forfeiture of Deposit or other Security upon non-attendance

9.3.2 Discretion to order forfeiture of all or some of the security (Section 32)

A declaration of forfeiture of the defendant's bail security pursuant to [s.31\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) (above) enlivens the discretionary power of the court to order the forfeiture to the Crown of all or some of the security.⁴⁰⁸

For more detailed consideration, see Chapter Eight – Forfeiture of Deposit or other Security upon non-attendance.

9.4 Power of the Magistrates court to deal with certain outstanding offences (Section 33A)

[Section 33A of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where a defendant has been dealt with by a Magistrates Court or, the Children's Court for failing to appear pursuant to s.33 of the *Bail Act 1980*, the court may deal with any outstanding offences provided the following preconditions are met:

- a) the defendant consents to the court dealing with the offence in respect of which the defendant failed to surrender into custody, or any other offence with which the defendant has been charged and not dealt with; and

⁴⁰⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.33C.](#)

⁴⁰⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.32](#)

- b) the offence is one which may be determined by the Magistrates Court; and
- c) the court is satisfied that the defendant has not been dealt with for the offence, and
- d) the defendant pleads guilty.

A Magistrates Court or Childrens Court located in any Magistrates Court district has jurisdiction to exercise the powers conferred by s.33A of the *Bail Act 1980* regardless of where the offence was committed.⁴⁰⁹

⁴⁰⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33C.](#)

CHAPTER 10 – ALTERNATIVES TO BAIL ON UNDERTAKING

Alternatives to bail undertakings include:

- 1) Cash bail granted by the police ⁴¹⁰
- 2) Release without bail by police ⁴¹¹
- 3) Release on issue of a Notice to Appear ⁴¹²
- 4) Cash bail granted by a Magistrate ⁴¹³
- 5) Magistrate may permit to go at large ⁴¹⁴
- 6) Release of person with an impairment of mind ⁴¹⁵

10.1 Cash bail granted by police (Sections 14(1) & 14(1A))

In accordance with [s.14\(1\) and \(1A\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), where:

- a) A person has been arrested in connection with a charge of an offence, other than an indictable offence or an offence mentioned in the schedule (being [s.79 of the Transport Operations \(Road Use Management\) Act 1995](#) regarding 'vehicle offences involving liquor or drugs' and [ss.221, 223, and 225 of the Racing Integrity Act 2016](#); and
- b) A defendant is in the custody of a police officer having not first appeared before a justice; and
- c) The police officer is satisfied the defendant cannot be taken promptly before a court,

⁴¹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(1A\)](#)

⁴¹¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(1B\)](#)

⁴¹² [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(3\)\(b\)](#)

⁴¹³ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(1\)\(a\)](#)

⁴¹⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(1\)\(b\)](#)

⁴¹⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.11A & 11B](#)

if the police officer considers it appropriate, the police officer may grant bail and release the defendant from custody upon the person making a deposit of money as security for their appearance before a court on a specified date, place, and time.

10.1.1 Action by court where person appears in accordance with bail

Where a person granted cash bail by a police officer attends court in accordance with the bail, the court shall order the amount of the deposit to be refunded unless the court orders that the deposit or part of it be applied towards:

- a) payment of any penalty or costs; or
- b) if the hearing is adjourned and the defendant is permitted to go at large, a security for the person's future appearance at an adjourned hearing and in the event the defendant fails to appear at the adjourned date, the court shall order the forfeiture of the deposit.⁴¹⁶

10.1.2 Where failure to appear

Where a person granted cash bail fails to appear in accordance with the bail, the court or justice shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money unless the defendant's lawyer applies for an adjournment that is granted, in which case the court may order the deposit or part of the deposit be applied as security for the defendant's future appearance or permit the defendant to go at large without bail.⁴¹⁷ If the defendant fails to appear at the adjourned hearing, the court shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money made.⁴¹⁸

[Section 150A of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that where a defendant has been granted bail under s.14 of the *Bail Act 1980* and:

- i) the defendant fails to appear, and the justices order forfeiture pursuant to s.14(5), or
- ii) the defendant does not appear, but the defendant's lawyer applies for an adjournment; or
- iii) the defendant appears in accordance with the bail,

⁴¹⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(5\) &\(6\)](#)

⁴¹⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(7\)](#)

⁴¹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(7A\)](#)

the justices may, instead of dealing with the complaint under division 2 or 3 of the *Justices Act 1886* (that includes dismissing, adjourning, or hearing the matter in the absence of the defendant), order that the complaint is at an end and take no further action in relation to the complaint.

10.2 Grant of release without bail by police (Section 14(1B))

Under s.14(1B) of the *Bail Act 1980*, a police officer may release a person *without bail* where:

- (a) a person charged with being drunk in a public place is released into the care of a person at a place of safety under s.378 of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*;
- (b) a person charged with a minor drugs offence within the meaning of s.378B of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*, is given a drug diversion warning, or signs a drug diversion agreement under the Act.

10.3 Release on issue of Notice to Appear (Section 7(3)(b))

The alternative to bail most frequently utilised by police officers is the release of a defendant by issue of a Notice to Appear. If an officer is satisfied a person may be granted bail under the Act the officer has the option of issuing the person a Notice to Appear rather than placing them on bail.⁴¹⁹

The power to issue a Notice to Appear is given in s.382 of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*. The notice requires the person to appear before a court of summary jurisdiction at a stated time and place.

Section 7 of the *Bail Act 1980* does not apply to a child.⁴²⁰ However, a prescribed officer may release a child without bail by issuing a notice to appear or a release notice.⁴²¹

10.4 Cash bail granted by Magistrate (Section 14A(1)(a))

Section 14A(1)(a) of the *Bail Act 1980* provides that where a magistrate adjourns the hearing of a charge of an offence other than an indictable offence or an offence in the Schedule (being s.79

⁴¹⁹ *Bail Act 1980*, s.7(3).

⁴²⁰ *Bail Act 1980*, s.7(1)(d).

⁴²¹ *Youth Justice Act 1992*, s.51.

of the *Transport Operations (Road Use Management) Act 1995* regarding 'vehicle offences involving liquor or drugs and ss.221, 223, and 225 of the *Racing Integrity Act 2016*, the magistrate may:

(a) grant bail and in lieu of the defendant entering into an undertaking, order that the defendant be released from custody on the making of a deposit of money with the clerk of the court as security for the defendant's surrender into custody.

This power is not available for indictable offences and some other scheduled offences.

Where a person accepts a deposit of money from a defendant pursuant to s.14A(1)(a), that person must give notice to the defendant in the approved form that includes the particulars required under a regulation.⁴²²

10.4.1 Action by court where person appears in accordance with bail

Where a person granted cash bail by a magistrate, attends court in accordance with the bail, the court shall order the refund of the deposit of money paid unless:

- a) it orders that the amount or a part thereof be applied in or towards payment of any penalty or costs imposed or awarded; or
- b) where the hearing is adjourned and the defendant is permitted to go at large without bail, and the court orders the amount or part of the amount be applied as security for the defendant's surrender into custody.⁴²³ In the event the person fails to appear at the adjourned date, the court shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money.⁴²⁴

10.4.2 Where failure to appear

Where a defendant who has been given cash bail by a magistrate fails to appear, the Court

- Shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money made by the person in connection with the bail⁴²⁵ (unless the defendant's lawyer applies for an adjournment that is granted, in which case the court may order the deposit or part of the deposit be

⁴²² [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(2\)\(a\)](#)

⁴²³ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(4\)](#)

⁴²⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(3\) & \(4A\)](#)

⁴²⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(3\)](#).

applied as security for the defendant's appearance, or permit the defendant to go at large without bail on the condition the defendant will surrender.⁴²⁶ If the defendant fails to appear on the adjourned date in circumstances where the deposit or part of the deposit has been applied as security, the magistrate, if satisfied that the defendant was given reasonable notice of the time and place of the adjourned hearing, shall order forfeiture of the deposit held);⁴²⁷ and

- May issue a warrant pursuant to [s.28A\(1\)\(d\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) for the apprehension of the defendant.

10.5 Magistrate may permit defendant to go at large (Section 14A(1)(b))

[Section 14A\(1\)\(b\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where a magistrate adjourns the hearing of a charge of an offence other than an indictable offence or an offence in the Schedule (being s.79 of the *Transport Operations (Road Use Management) Act 1995* regarding 'vehicle offences involving liquor or drugs and ss.221, 223, and 225 of the *Racing Integrity Act 2016*) a magistrate may permit the defendant to go at large without bail on the condition the defendant will surrender into custody.⁴²⁸

Note: This power is not available for indictable offences and some other scheduled offences referred to in the preceding paragraph.

Where a magistrate permits a defendant to go at large pursuant to s.14(1)(b) of the *Bail Act 1980*, the clerk of the court *must* give the defendant a notice in the approved form that includes the particulars required under a regulation.⁴²⁹ The approved form is Form 5.

Section 14A(1A) of the *Bail Act 1980* (inserted by the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#)) states that the Magistrates Court must not permit the defendant to go at large without bail under subsection (1)(b) if bail must be refused under [s.16A of the Bail Act 1980](#) (relating to defendants convicted of terrorism offences or subject to Commonwealth control orders).

⁴²⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(5\).](#)

⁴²⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(7\).](#)

⁴²⁸ His Honour Judge Marshall Irwin in "Potential pitfalls for Magistrates – Speech delivered at the Queensland Magistrates' Conference, 2 August 2013" [2013] Qld Judicial Scholarship 26 at [24] noted that in the event a magistrate erroneously permits a defendant to go at large pursuant to s.14A when charged with either an indictable offence or an offence specified in the Schedule, 'an issue arises as to what power the court has in the event the offender does not appear and surrender into custody when required to do so'.

⁴²⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(2\)\(b\)](#)

Where a defendant is permitted to go at large it would be advisable for the magistrate to tell the defendant to wait in the precincts of the Court whilst the notice is being prepared by the clerk.

10.5.1 Where failure to appear

If the defendant fails to surrender, the Court may issue a warrant pursuant to [s.28A\(1\)\(f\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) for the apprehension of the defendant.

10.6 Release of person with impairment of mind (Sections 11A & 11B)

‘Person with an impairment of mind’ is defined in [s.11A\(7\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to mean *a person who has a disability that –*

- a) is attributable to an intellectual, psychiatric, cognitive, or neurological impairment or a combination of these; and*
- b) results in-*
 - i. a substantial reduction of the person’s capacity for communication, social interaction or learning; and*
 - ii. the person needing support.*

[Section 11A\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that if a magistrate or police officer authorised to grant bail considers that a person:

- (a) held in custody on a charge of or in connection with an offence is, or appears to be, a person with an impairment of the mind; and
- (b) the person does not, or appears not to, understand the nature and effect of entering into an undertaking under [s.20 of the Bail Act 1980](#); and
- (c) if the person understood the nature and effect of entering into the undertaking, the person would be released on bail,

then a police officer or court may release the person without bail by either;

- (a) releasing the person into the care of another person who ordinarily has the care of the person or with whom the person resides; or

(b) permitting the person to go at large. ⁴³⁰

A person's release is on condition the person will surrender, at the time and place stated in the required notice under s.11B of the *Bail Act 1980*. ⁴³¹

[Section 11B of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that if a person is released under s.11A, the police officer or court releasing them must give the person a notice in the approved form stating:

- (a) the person's name and place of residence;
- (b) the charge on which or the offence in connection with which the person was in custody; and
- (c) if the person is released into the care of another person, the other person's name, and place of residence; and
- (d) the court into whose custody the person is required to surrender as a condition of the release; and
- (e) the time and place the person is required to surrender into the court's custody.

⁴³⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.11A\(2\)](#)

⁴³¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.11A\(3\)](#)

CHAPTER 11 - BREACH OF BAIL - GENERAL

Offence of breach of bail (Section 29)

It is an offence to breach any condition of an undertaking on which the defendant was granted bail requiring the defendant's appearance before a court.⁴³²

The prosecution must prove that:

- a) The defendant entered into an undertaking on which he or she was granted bail requiring their appearance before a Court;⁴³³ and
- b) The defendant broke a condition of that undertaking.⁴³⁴

Multiple breaches

In circumstances where multiple breaches are proven, the magistrate must take particular care to ensure the requirements of both the [Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#) and [s.16 of the Criminal Code](#) are followed.

Breach of bail by a child

On 22 March 2023, [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) was amended to make it an offence for a child to breach a condition of bail.⁴³⁵ This applies to bail undertakings entered into after commencement.

Certain actions are also available for contraventions of bail conditions by a child under [sections 59A](#) and [59AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#). A child may be arrested and brought before the court on reasonable suspicion the child has or is likely to contravene a condition of an undertaking.⁴³⁶ An alternative is for the child to be issued with a notice requiring their appearance.⁴³⁷

⁴³² [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\)](#).

⁴³³ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\)](#).

⁴³⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\)](#). See [DAY v Commissioner of Police \[2018\] QDC 3](#); [QPS v JSB \[2018\] QDC 120](#).

⁴³⁵ The [Strengthening Community Safety Act 2023](#), assented to on 22 March 2023, amended [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#). This applies to bail undertakings entered into after commencement: [s50 Bail Act 1980](#)

⁴³⁶ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(3\)](#); see also [ss.59A](#) and [59AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) for matters an officer must or may consider before arresting a child under s.367.

⁴³⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\)](#).

Prosecution of offences (Section 35)

Prosecutions under the *Bail Act 1980* are brought by way of summary proceedings as provided in the *Justices Act 1886*.⁴³⁸

11.1 Offence of breach of bail (Section 29)

It is an offence to breach any condition of an undertaking on which the defendant was granted bail requiring the defendant's appearance before a court.⁴³⁹

This offence does *not* apply to:

- a) a condition that the defendant surrender into custody;⁴⁴⁰
- b) a condition to participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course;⁴⁴¹
- c) a condition requiring completion of a DAAR course;⁴⁴² and
- d) a defendant who is a child.⁴⁴³

The prosecution must prove that:

1. The defendant entered into an undertaking on which s/he was granted bail requiring his/her appearance before a Court;⁴⁴⁴ and

"Undertaking" means a promise in writing with respect to bail signed by a defendant or by a defendant and the defendant's surety or sureties that the defendant will appear at a hearing or an adjourned hearing or upon the defendant's trial or an appeal and surrender into custody and comply with such other conditions as are imposed for the defendant's release on bail.⁴⁴⁵

⁴³⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.35\(1\).](#)

⁴³⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\).](#)

⁴⁴⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(b\)](#), see also [Bail Act 1980, s.33](#) and Chapter 10).

⁴⁴¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(c\)](#) and s.11(9).

⁴⁴² [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(c\)](#) and [s.11AB](#).

⁴⁴³ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(2\)\(a\).](#)

⁴⁴⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\).](#)

⁴⁴⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.6.](#)

2. The defendant broke a condition of that undertaking.⁴⁴⁶

Usual conditions imposed in bail undertakings relate to residency, curfew, reporting to a specific police station within certain times and no contact with the complainant,⁴⁴⁷ named witnesses and on occasion, co-accused.

Suggested finding upon proof of breach pursuant to s.29:

"I am satisfied that you entered into an undertaking on [INSERT DATE]

requiring you to [INSERT CONDITION BREACHED].

The issue is whether you breached the condition requiring [INSERT]

The prosecution contends that [INSERT CONSIDERATION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE].

The defendant states [INSERT CONSIDERATION OF RELEVANT EVIDENCE].

Having heard the Parties, I am satisfied that you breached the relevant condition by [INSERT CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE BREACH].

In those circumstances, the breach is proven. I find you guilty of the charge and will now proceed to hear submissions on sentence."

11.2 Sentencing for multiple breaches of bail

The Court will often have to sentence offenders charged with multiple breaches of bail. This calls for particular care to ensure appropriate sentences are imposed.

If an offender is to be sentenced to imprisonment, separate terms of imprisonment must be imposed for each offence.⁴⁴⁸ In contrast, a single fine⁴⁴⁹ or a single probation order⁴⁵⁰ may be imposed for several offences.

Where there are multiple breaches of bail it is possible two or more of the offences will involve the same punishable acts or omissions.⁴⁵¹ Under [s.16 of the Criminal Code](#), a person cannot be twice punished for the same act or omission. Where it arises, the Court of Appeal determined in

⁴⁴⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.29\(1\)](#). See [DAY v Commissioner of Police \[2018\] QDC 3](#); [QPS v JSB \[2018\] QDC 120](#).

⁴⁴⁷ See [Osgood v Queensland Police Service \[2005\] QDC 111](#) (per Bradley DCJ).

⁴⁴⁸ [R v Crofts \[1999\] 1 Qd R 386](#) at 387.

⁴⁴⁹ [Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁴⁵⁰ [Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.97](#).

⁴⁵¹ [DAY v Commissioner of Police \[2018\] QDC 3](#) at [30]; [Brown v Latter \[2016\] QDC 38](#) at [21]-[28].

[*R v Robinson and Stokes; ex parte Attorney-General*](#)⁴⁵² that, consistent with the High Court decision in [*Pearce v The Queen*](#)⁴⁵³, the ‘proper approach’ to sentence is to impose punishment on one charge taking all the circumstances of that charge into account, to record the findings of guilt on other charges involving the same acts or omissions but to impose no separate punishment, not even a concurrent punishment, on each of those charges.⁴⁵⁴

Disciplinary penalties (even those imposed under authority of an Act) do not constitute ‘punishment’ within the meaning of [s.16 of the Criminal Code](#).⁴⁵⁵ Also, the better view is that the mere entry and recording of a conviction against a defendant, without more, does not amount to punishment within the meaning of s.16.⁴⁵⁶ However, in the exceptional circumstance that under a statutory provision a form of penalty automatically follows upon the entry or recording of a criminal conviction (e.g. mandatory disqualification from driving), this may constitute ‘punishment’ under s.16, and a stay of proceedings should be ordered or the conviction not recorded (whichever is appropriate) on the secondary charge or charges.

11.3 Breach by a child

On 22 March 2023, [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) was amended to make it an offence for a child to breach a condition of bail.⁴⁵⁷ This applies to bail undertakings entered after commencement.

Certain actions are also available for contraventions of bail conditions by a child. [Section 59A of Youth Justice Act 1992](#) specifies the powers available to a police officer if the officer reasonably suspects the child has contravened, is contravening or is likely to contravene a condition of bail, and the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against the *Bail Act 1980*, see.29, and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than a prescribed indictable offence; or an offence against [the Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Act 2012, s. 177\(2\)](#) or [178\(2\)](#). A police officer does have powers of arrest as specified [in s.367 of the Police Powers and](#)

⁴⁵² [\[2000\] 2 Qd R 413](#) at [16] and [49]; [Pearce v The Queen \(1998\) 194 CLR 610, \[1998\] HCA 57](#) at [49].

⁴⁵³ [Pearce v The Queen \(1998\) 194 CLR 610, \[1998\] HCA 57](#).

⁴⁵⁴ [R v Robinson and Stokes; ex parte Attorney-General \[2000\] 2 Qd R 413](#), per McMurdo P at [16] and White J at [49]; [R v Elhusseini \[1988\] QCA 184](#) at [40]; [R v Kolodziej \[2008\] QCA 184](#); The option of granting a stay may not constitute appealable error ([Brown v Latta \[2016\] QDC 38](#) at [21]-[28]), however Supreme Court authority appears to support as preferable the sentencing approach taken in [Robinson](#); See also [Pearce v The Queen \(1998\) 194 CLR 610](#) at [43]-[49]; [JWD v Commissioner of Police \[2019\] QDC 29](#) at [19], [24] and [25].

⁴⁵⁵ [R v NG \[2007\] 1 Qd R 37](#) at [48] and [71].

⁴⁵⁶ [Gioli \(Commissioner of State Revenue\) v Thompson & Anor \[2017\] QDC 4](#); but see contra [R v Grannigan \[2004\] QDC 268](#).

⁴⁵⁷ The [Strengthening Community Safety Act 2023](#), assented to on 22 March 2023, amended [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#). This applies to bail undertakings entered into after commencement: [s50 Bail Act 1980](#)

[Responsibilities Act 2000](#).⁴⁵⁸ However before arresting a child, the police officer must consider other alternatives as specified in s.59A of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*:

(1) This section applies if—

- (a) a police officer reasonably suspects a child has contravened or is contravening a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child; and*
- (b) the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against the Bail Act 1980, section 29; and*
- (c) the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than –*
 - (i) a prescribed indictable offence; or*
 - (ii) an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Act 2012, section 177(2) or 178(2).*

(2) This section also applies if a police officer reasonably suspects a child is likely to contravene a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence other than an offence mentioned in subsection (1)(c)(i) or (ii).

(3) Before arresting the child under the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3)(a)(i) in relation to the contravention or likely contravention, a police officer must first consider whether, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to do 1 of the following—

- (a) to take no action;*
- (b) to warn the child of the action a police officer may take under paragraph (c) or the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3) in relation to a contravention of a condition imposed on the grant of bail;*
- (c) if the contravention or likely contravention is in relation to a condition other than a condition for the child's appearance before a court—to make an application under the Bail Act 1980 to vary or revoke the bail.*

(4) For subsection (3), the circumstances the police officer must consider include the following—

⁴⁵⁸ See *Notes*: 1. For the matters a police officer must consider before arresting a child in particular circumstances under this subparagraph, see the [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59A](#). 2. For the matters a police officer may consider before arresting a child in particular circumstances under this subparagraph, see [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59AA](#).

- (a) the seriousness of the contravention or likely contravention;*
- (b) whether the child has a reasonable excuse for the contravention or likely contravention;*
- (c) the child's particular circumstances of which the police officer is aware;*
- (d) other relevant circumstances of which the police officer is aware.*

(5) If a police officer considers that, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to act as mentioned in subsection (3)(a), (b) or (c), then a police officer must do so.

[Section 59AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) allows police to consider alternatives to arrest where the child has contravened a condition of bail in relation to prescribed indictable offences and an offence against [s.177\(2\)](#) or [178\(2\) of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#), Before arresting a child, the police officer may consider other alternatives as specified in s.59AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992:

(1) This section applies if—

- (a) a police officer reasonably suspects a child has contravened or is contravening a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child; and*
- (b) the contravention is not an offence, other than an offence against the Bail Act 1980, section 29; and*
- (c) the grant of bail relates to—*
 - (i) a charge of a prescribed indictable offence; or*
 - (ii) a charge of an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, section 177(2) or 178(2).*

(2) This section also applies if a police officer reasonably suspects a child is likely to contravene a condition imposed on a grant of bail to the child and the grant of bail relates to a charge of an offence mentioned in subsection (1)(c)(i) or (ii).

(3) Before arresting the child under the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, section 367(3)(a)(i) in relation to the contravention or likely contravention, a police officer may first consider whether, in all the circumstances, it would be more appropriate to do 1 of the actions mentioned in section 59A(3)(a) to (c).

(4) For subsection (3), the circumstances the police officer may consider include the matters mentioned in section 59A(4)(a) to (d).

An application under [s.30 of the Bail Act 1980](#) can be made by a complainant or prosecutor to vary or revoke bail by the court that granted bail, the court before which an indictment was presented or the Supreme Court. If bail on an undertaking was granted by a police officer, the Childrens Court may vary or revoke bail on such an application.⁴⁵⁹

An application to vary or revoke bail may be made ex parte.⁴⁶⁰

If the police officer decides to arrest the child, the child must be brought before the Childrens Court⁴⁶¹ where the Magistrate may, if satisfied the child has broken or is likely to break a condition of bail, revoke or vary the bail.⁴⁶²

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with an undertaking.⁴⁶³ There are restrictions on the sentence that can be imposed if the failure to appear occurred when the defendant was a child (any jail sentence will not be mandatorily cumulative).⁴⁶⁴ A court may issue a warrant for the arrest of a child who fails to surrender into custody as required.⁴⁶⁵

[Section 57 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that the provisions of the *Bail Act 1980* relating to the issue of warrants for the arrest of defendants who fail to surrender into the custody of court as required when permitted to go at large without bail, apply to a child who fails to appear after being released into the custody of a parent, or permitted to go at large, without bail.

If a child is arrested pursuant to a warrant, the child must be brought promptly before a court to be dealt with according to law.⁴⁶⁶

In considering an application to vary or revoke bail, or dealing with a further bail application where the child is arrested on a warrant, the court is bound by the provisions of [ss.48, 48AAA](#) and [48AA of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) (above) and in making a decision, the court may have regard to the history of any previous grants of bail to the child.⁴⁶⁷

⁴⁵⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(1A\).](#)

⁴⁶⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\).](#)

⁴⁶¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.29A\(1\)\(c\).](#)

⁴⁶² [Bail Act 1980, s.29A.](#)

⁴⁶³ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\).](#)

⁴⁶⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\).](#)

⁴⁶⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.28A\(1\)\(b\) and \(ea\).](#)

⁴⁶⁶ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.58.](#)

⁴⁶⁷ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(iii\).](#)

See Chapter Five – Youth Bail for provisions dealing with failure to appear (at Chapter 5.5) and breach of a condition (at Chapter 5.6) by a child.

11.4 Prosecution of offences (Section 35)

Prosecutions under the *Bail Act 1980* are brought by way of summary proceedings in accordance with the [Justices Act 1886](#).⁴⁶⁸ Proceedings may be taken even if more than a year has elapsed since the commission of the offence.⁴⁶⁹

⁴⁶⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.35\(1\).](#)

⁴⁶⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.35\(1\).](#)

CHAPTER 12 - BREACH OF BAIL – FAIL TO ATTEND

It is an offence to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with an undertaking.⁴⁷⁰

Failure to appear may also result in forfeiture of the undertaking and any deposit or surety (see Chapter Eight).⁴⁷¹

Procedure

Proceedings for an offence of failing to appear shall be instituted and taken *without the laying of a complaint*.⁴⁷²

Upon production of the warrant, the court shall '*then and there call on the defendant to prove why the defendant should not be convicted of an offence against this section*'.⁴⁷³

The prosecution must prove that:

1. The defendant entered into an undertaking on which s/he was granted bail requiring his/her appearance before a Court;⁴⁷⁴ and

"Undertaking" means a promise in writing with respect to bail signed by a defendant or by a defendant and the defendant's surety or sureties that the defendant will appear at a hearing or an adjourned hearing or upon the defendant's trial or an appeal and surrender into custody and comply with such other conditions as are imposed for the defendant's release on bail.⁴⁷⁵

2. The defendant *failed to surrender into custody* in accordance with the undertaking.

"Surrender into custody" means surrender into the custody of the court at the time and place for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so.⁴⁷⁶

Production to the court of the warrant under which the defendant had been arrested shall be evidence, and, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, *conclusive evidence of*

- *the undertaking;*
- *the failure to surrender;* and
- that the issue of the warrant was duly authorised by the decision or order of the court that issued the warrant.

⁴⁷⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\).](#)

⁴⁷¹ [Bail Act 1980, ss.31,32](#) and [32A.](#)

⁴⁷² [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(3\)\(a\)](#); see also [s.35\(1\).](#)

⁴⁷³ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(3A\)](#). See [ARS v Queensland Police Service \[2018\] QDC 103](#) per Fantin DCJ.

⁴⁷⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\)\(a\).](#)

⁴⁷⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.6.](#)

⁴⁷⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.6.](#)

3. *Without reasonable cause*; and

4. The defendant was *apprehended under a warrant* issued pursuant to [s.28](#) or [ss.28A\(1\)\(a\), \(b\), \(c\) or \(d\) of the Bail Act 1980](#).

- Judicial notice shall be taken of the signature of the person who issued the warrant and that the person was duly authorised to issue the warrant.

Defence of Reasonable Cause – Two Prong Test

It is a defence for the defendant to prove on the balance of probabilities:

- a) that the defendant had reasonable cause for failing to surrender into custody in accordance with the undertaking,⁴⁷⁷ ***and***
- b) that the defendant appeared as soon after the time for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so as is reasonably practicable.⁴⁷⁸

Sentence

Any sentence of imprisonment for a breach of an undertaking by failing to appear, must be cumulative upon any other sentence of imprisonment (including for multiple breaches of the *Bail Act 1980*) imposed at the same time as the breach sentence is imposed or which the defendant is serving at the time of the breach sentence is imposed. Any sentence of imprisonment imposed during the time the defendant is already serving for a breach (fail to appear) must take effect *after* the expiration of the deprivation of liberty of the breach sentence.⁴⁷⁹

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with their bail.⁴⁸⁰ However, the mandatory cumulative sentence required where an adult is sentenced to imprisonment for breaching bail by failure to appear does not apply to a defendant who was a child when the offence was committed.⁴⁸¹

⁴⁷⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(3\)\(b\)\(i\).](#)

⁴⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(3\)\(b\)\(ii\).](#)

⁴⁷⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(4\)\(a\) and \(b\)](#), discussed in [R v Shaw \[2012\] QCA 304](#); and [Mallory v Commissioner of Police \[2017\] QDC 54](#) and [Purcell v Bateup & Ors \[2009\] QDC 430](#).

⁴⁸⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\).](#)

⁴⁸¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\).](#)

12.1 Failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking

It is an offence under [s.33\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to fail to appear in accordance with an undertaking.⁴⁸² In addition to the defendant being prosecuted for an offence, failure to appear will usually also require forfeiture of the undertaking and of any deposit or surety (see *Chapter 8*).⁴⁸³

A Magistrates Court or Childrens Court located in any Magistrates Court district has jurisdiction to exercise the powers conferred by [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#) regardless of where the offence was committed.⁴⁸⁴

On the hearing of a charge under s.33, in practical terms as a consequence of s.33(3)(b)(i), once the warrant has been produced, and the defendant admits to being the person named in the warrant and to having been apprehended pursuant to the warrant, the magistrate in the absence of evidence to the contrary can be satisfied of both the existence of the undertaking and the defendant's failure to surrender.

Upon production of the warrant the magistrate is required under s.33(3A) to **'then and there'** call on the defendant to prove why the defendant should not be convicted of an offence of failing to appear. This statutory requirement must be given effect by calling on the defendant without delay.

Many defendants will, when called upon, respond that they do not wish to show cause and may be convicted and sentenced immediately. However, where there are other outstanding charges, immediate sentencing will often be impracticable. In such cases, or where the defendant indicates that he or she wishes to show cause and defend the charge, but requires time to prepare, it will be necessary to adjourn the hearing of the charge or the sentence to a future date. If, after calling upon the defendant in accordance with s.33(3A), the magistrate immediately elects to defer the hearing or sentence to a later date, the matter may be adjourned to be heard by any magistrate in that locality jurisdiction of the Court. If the magistrate has entered upon hearing a defended charge or commenced to hear sentence proceedings before adjourning the matter, the magistrate will ordinarily retain carriage of the matter and adjourn it part heard before him or herself.

⁴⁸² Prosecution of Bail Act offences is by way of summary proceeding applying the provisions of the [Justices Act 1886](#), subject to modifications made in [ss.33](#) and [33A: Bail Act 1980, s.35\(1\)](#).

⁴⁸³ [Bail Act 1980, s.31\(1\)](#).

⁴⁸⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.33C\(1\)](#).

Following the defendant being called upon, the magistrate must then consider whether the defendant should be convicted of the offence. This will require consideration of any defence raised on the evidence. The defendant bears the onus of satisfying the court on the balance of probabilities either that he or she did surrender into custody in accordance with the undertaking or that under s.33(2) he or she had a reasonable cause for failing to surrender, and surrendered as soon as was reasonably practicable. The magistrate is required to examine the reasonableness of the explanation provided by the defendant.

[Section 33\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) was considered by Kent KC DCJ in [Etienne v Commissioner of Police \[2018\] QDC 6](#) as follows:

‘In my view, s.33(2) calls for an examination of the reasonableness of the defendant’s cause for non-attendance. “Reasonable” is often regarded as synonymous with concepts such as: appropriate, fair, or moderate; logical; based on sound judgement; based on reason and not exceeding the limit prescribed by reason.’

The following text is an example of wording that may be used by a magistrate at the conclusion of a breach (fail to appear) hearing pursuant to s.33 of the *Bail Act 1980*:

“In this matter, the warrant that was issued for the Defendant’s arrest has been produced.

The defendant accepts that s/he is the person named in the Warrant and that s/he has been apprehended pursuant to that warrant.

Pursuant to the provisions of s.33(3)(b)(i) unless evidence to the contrary is produced, I am able to be satisfied:

- *of the Undertaking;*
- *of the Failure to Surrender into Custody; and*
- *that the Issue of the Warrant was duly authorised.*

Having called on the defendant to prove why he or she should not be convicted of an offence against s.33(1) of the Bail Act 1980, there is no evidence before me contrary to that established in accordance with s.33(3) by production of the warrant.

And having enquired if the defendant has a defence to the offence, as outlined in s.33(2) of Bail Act 1980, the defendant indicated s/he:

Either:

- *had no such defence; or*
- *had a defence and was heard regarding whether he attended or any reasons for failing to attend as required and regarding surrender at the first reasonably practicable time after the time appointed to do so.*

The defendant states: [INSERT]

The prosecution state:[INSERT]

It is accepted by both parties and/or I find it proved, that the defendant did not appear on the day as required, namely [INSERT DATE].

The remaining issues are whether the defendant had reasonable cause for failing to appear and appeared as soon as was reasonably practicable after the appointed date.

[INSERT CONSIDERATION OF THE RELEVANT EVIDENCE, FOR EXAMPLE, ANY MEDICAL CERTIFICATE PRODUCED]

Having heard the Parties:

Either:

I am satisfied that the defendant has discharged the onus cast upon him or her pursuant to s.33(2) of the Bail Act 1980. Cause is shown. The charge is dismissed.

OR

I am not satisfied that the defendant has discharged the onus cast upon him or her pursuant to s.33(2) of the Bail Act 1980 and I find the defendant guilty of the charge."

12.2 Postponing issue or enforcement of a warrant in circumstances of a defendant failing to appear

[Section 33D of the Bail Act 1980](#) states in respect of a person released on bail:-

(1) This section applies if an application is made to the court for a warrant for the apprehension of a person who has failed to appear before the court.

(2) The court may postpone the issue or enforcement of the warrant to allow the person a further opportunity to appear before the court.

Similarly, the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* authorises a court to postpone enforcement (but not the issue) of a warrant made under [s.389\(1\)\(b\)](#) of that Act due to failure to appear as required by a Notice to Appear.

Section 389(5) provides:

Subsection 1(b) does not prevent a court postponing the enforcement of a warrant for the arrest of a person to allow the person a further opportunity to appear before the court.

In the event of a failure to appear by a person on bail the magistrate may elect one of four possible options:

- Decline to issue a warrant,
- Postpone issue of a warrant,
- Issue a warrant, or
- Issue a warrant but make an order postponing enforcement.

If the magistrate declines to issue a warrant the matter will be adjourned, and the defendant served with notice of the adjourned date. This course is often taken by magistrates in respect of warrants issued under the *Bail Act 1980*. If there is a failure to appear on the adjourned date the prosecution may renew the application for a warrant.

Upon issuing a warrant a magistrate who makes an order postponing enforcement to allow the person a further opportunity to appear will adjourn the matter to a future date for mention. If the person appears on the mention date the magistrate will cancel the warrant. If the person fails to appear on the mention date a magistrate may order that the warrant be enforced.

12.3 Sentencing for failing to appear

A sentence of imprisonment for breach of an undertaking by failure to appear is, by operation of the Act, made cumulative on any other sentence imposed at the same time or being served at that time.⁴⁸⁵ It is necessary for a magistrate to ensure that if imprisonment is imposed for an offence of failing to appear in breach of an undertaking it is made cumulative upon other terms of imprisonment, and also, to ensure the total sentence imposed reflects the overall criminality of the offending in accordance with the totality principle.⁴⁸⁶ Failure to give attention to the impact of a mandatory cumulative term of imprisonment may result in an overall sentence that is not “just and appropriate”.⁴⁸⁷

Note: Where a court makes an order sentencing a defendant for failing to appear pursuant to s.33 and imposes a term of imprisonment either in the first instance or in default of payment of a fine, the term of imprisonment or the default imprisonment on a fine cannot be made concurrent and must be cumulative upon (i) any term of imprisonment imposed at the same time that the imprisonment or default term of imprisonment is imposed or (ii) any term of imprisonment which the defendant is serving at the time the imprisonment or default term of imprisonment is imposed.⁴⁸⁸

12.3.1 Sentencing a child for failure to appear (Section 33(5))

It is an offence for a child to fail to surrender into custody in accordance with an undertaking.⁴⁸⁹ A child charged with failure to appear will be dealt with under [s.33 of the Bail Act 1980](#) in the same way as an adult with the following exception. Where a person is charged with breach of an undertaking by failure to appear, the provision requiring a sentence of imprisonment to be cumulative upon any other sentence imposed at the same time or being served at that time does not apply to a defendant who was a child when the offence was committed.⁴⁹⁰

Under [s.367 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#), a police officer may arrest a child, without warrant, on reasonable suspicion the child has or is likely to fail to appear or contravene a condition of the undertaking.⁴⁹¹ For matters a police officer must consider before arresting a

⁴⁸⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.33\(4\)\(a\) and \(b\)](#). These provisions do not apply if the defendant was a child when the offence was committed: s.33(5).

⁴⁸⁶ [R v Shaw \[2012\] QCA 304](#) at [52]; [Mill v The Queen \(1988\) 166 CLR 59](#).

⁴⁸⁷ Thomas D, “Principles of Sentencing”, (2nd ed, 1979), 56.

⁴⁸⁸ [Bail Act 1980, ss.33\(4\)\(a\) and \(b\)](#). These provisions do not apply if the defendant was a child when the offence was committed: s.33(5).

⁴⁸⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(1\)](#).

⁴⁹⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.33\(5\)](#).

⁴⁹¹ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.367\(3\)](#).

child under s.367(3), see [s.59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#). An alternative is for the child to be issued with a notice requiring their appearance.⁴⁹²

See Chapter Five – Youth Bail for provisions dealing with failure to appear (at Chapter 5.5) and breach of a condition (at Chapter 5.6) by a child.

⁴⁹² [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(2\)](#).

CHAPTER 13 – TRANSPARENCY AND RESTRICTION ON PUBLICATION

[Section 14A\(1\) of the *Magistrates Court Act 1921*](#) provides that the business of a Magistrates Court is to be conducted in open court. However:

- Section 14A(2) of the *Magistrates Court Act 1921* provides that a Magistrates Court may, if the interests of justice require, by order limit the extent to which the business of the court is open to the public.
- [Section 70 of the *Justices Act 1886*](#) provides that in any case in which in the opinion of the justices, the interests of public morality require that all or any persons should be excluded from the court, the justices may exclude such persons.
- Proceedings in a Childrens Court constituted by a Magistrate are closed to members of the public.⁴⁹³

A court may make an order restricting publication of matters relating to bail applications:

[Section 12 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that *where a bail application is opposed*, a magistrate may, at any time during the hearing of an application, make an order prohibiting publication of representations made or reasons for the grant or refusal of bail or release under [s.11A](#) (release of intellectually impaired person) in whole or in part.

- Section 12 only applies in circumstances where bail is being opposed.
- An order should only be made where reasonably necessary to secure the proper administration of justice.⁴⁹⁴
- The discretion to restrict publication is not dependent on the nature of the offence and applies to indictable and non-indictable offences.
- Section 12 is to be construed strictly.⁴⁹⁵

⁴⁹³ [Childrens Court Act 1992 \(Qld\), s.20](#)

⁴⁹⁴ [John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal of New South Wales \(1986\) 5 NSWLR 465](#) at 476-477 per McHugh JA.

⁴⁹⁵ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Stjernqvist \[2006\] QSC 200](#) at [23].

- An order made pursuant to s.12 should clearly indicate the temporal limits of the order.⁴⁹⁶
- The capacity to make an order pursuant to s.12 includes a discretion to vary, enlarge or terminate the order, enlivened by a change in circumstances, information or material which bears on the making of the order that was not available at the time the order was made.⁴⁹⁷
- Failure to comply with a non-publication order is an offence punishable by a maximum of 10 penalty units or imprisonment of six months.⁴⁹⁸
- This section also applies to bail applications under the *Youth Justice Act 1992*.
- The Supreme Court has inherent jurisdiction to restrict publication of details of bail applications. That jurisdiction is extended by [s.8 of the Supreme Court of Queensland Act 1991](#).

13.1 The business of the Magistrates Court is to be conducted in open court (Section 14A, Magistrates Court Act 1921)

In accordance with the long-standing principle of open justice, [s.14A\(1\)\(b\) of the Magistrates Court Act 1921](#) provides that the business of a Magistrates Court is to be conducted in open court.

Proceedings in a Childrens Court constituted by a Magistrate differ in that the [Childrens Court Act 1992](#) requires they be closed to members of the public.⁴⁹⁹

13.1.1 Discretion to limit the extent to which the business of the court is open to the public (Section 14A(2), Magistrates Court Act 1921)

[Section 14A\(2\) of the Magistrates Court Act 1921](#) provides that subject to any Act, a Magistrates Court may, if the public interest or the interests of justice require, by order, limit the extent to which the business of the court is open to the public.

⁴⁹⁶ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Stjernqvist \[2006\] QSC 200](#) at [25].

⁴⁹⁷ [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Lacey \[2007\] QSC 392](#).

⁴⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.12\(2\)](#)

⁴⁹⁹ See also Chapter 5.7 for further information

13.1.2 Discretion of Magistrate to close court if interests of public morality require (Section 70, Justices Act 1886)

[Section 70 of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that in any case in which in the opinion of the justices, the interests of public morality require that all or any persons should be excluded from the court, the justices may exclude such persons.

13.2 Reporting of court proceedings

A long-recognised principle of the administration of justice is that it ought to be carried out in public. The High Court in [Re Application by the Chief Commissioner of Police \(Victoria\) \(2005\) 214 ALR 422](#) observed that:

'... the principle of open justice is deeply entrenched in our law. It is not an absolute principle. Subject to the Constitution, it may be modified by legislation... But the ... limitations imposed by judicial orders on reportage of proceedings conducted in open court remain wholly exceptional in this country'.

Similarly, McHugh JA stated in [John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal of New South Wales \(1986\) 5 NSWLR 465](#):

'The fundamental rule of the common law is that the administration of justice must take place in open court. A court can only depart from this rule where its observance would frustrate the administration of justice or some other public interest for whose protection Parliament has modified the open justice rule. The principle of open justice also requires that nothing should be done to discourage the making of fair and accurate reports of what occurs in the courtroom.'

In *Kimber v Police Association* [1893] 1 QB 65 (quoted by Ormiston J in *Moularis v Nankervis* [1985] VR 369) Lord Esher explained the rationale behind the principle:

'Under certain circumstances... publication may be very hard upon the person to whom it is made to apply, but public policy requires that some hardship should be suffered by individuals rather than that judicial proceedings should be held in secret. The common law, on the ground of public policy, recognised that there may be greater danger to the public in allowing proceedings to be held in secret than in suffering persons for a time to rest under an unfounded charge or suggestion'.

Kirby J in [*John Fairfax Group Pty Ltd and Anor v Local Court of New South Wales and Others* \(1992\) 26 NSWLR 131](#) similarly stated:

'A significant reason for adhering to a stringent principle, despite sympathy for those who suffer embarrassment, invasions of privacy or even damage by publicity of their proceedings is that such interests must be sacrificed to the greater public interest in adhering to an open system of justice'.

In [*J v L & A Services Pty Ltd \(No.2\)* \[1995\] 2 Qd R 10](#), the President and Lee J stated at p.33:

'Although there is a public interest in avoiding or minimising disadvantages to private citizens from public activities, paramount public interest [in the due administration of justice, freedom of speech, a free media and an open society require that court proceedings be open to the public and able to be reported and discussed publicly.]'

13.2.1 Courts discretion to order restriction on publication of opposed bail applications (Section 12)

Notwithstanding the well-established principle of open justice, [s.12\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) (specifically provides that in circumstances where a bail application is opposed, the court may, at any time during the hearing of the application, make an order directing that the evidence taken, the information furnished, the representations made by or on behalf of either party, or the reasons given by the court for the grant or refusal of bail or release under s.11A (release of person with an impairment of mind) or any part thereof or any of them, shall not be published by any means –

(a) if an examination of witnesses in relation to an indictable offence is heard, before the defendant is discharged; or

(b) if the defendant is tried or committed for trial – before the trial is ended.

The discretion to restrict publication is not dependent on the nature of the offence and applies to indictable and non-indictable offences.

This section also applies to bail applications under the *Youth Justice Act 1992*.

13.2.1.1 Strict construction of Section 12 of the *Bail Act 1980*

In [*Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Stjernqvist* \[2006\] QSC 200](#), Douglas J at [23] stated:

‘Section 12(1), in allowing an order preventing the publication of such evidence, information, representations and reasons, derogates from the fundamental principles that the administration of justice should be carried out in public, and that, as a corollary, nothing should be done to discourage the publication to a wider public of fair and accurate reports of proceedings that have taken place in court. Accordingly, the section should be construed strictly.’

In that case, Douglas J held that an acting magistrate’s order that *‘the details of this proceeding including evidence information, submissions, decision, and reasons shall not be published by any means’* was *‘significantly’* beyond the scope of the power afforded to a magistrate in pursuant to [*s.12\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980*](#), thereby amounting to jurisdictional error upon judicial review.

13.2.1.2 An order pursuant to section 12 of the *Bail Act 1980* should only be made where reasonably necessary to secure the proper administration of justice

McHugh JA in [*John Fairfax & Sons Ltd v Police Tribunal of New South Wales* \(1986\) 5 NSWLR 465](#) stated:

‘Accordingly, an order of a court prohibiting publication of evidence is only valid if it is really necessary to secure the proper administration of justice in proceedings before it. Moreover, an order prohibiting publication of evidence must be clear in its terms and do no more than is necessary to achieve the due administration of justice. The making of the order must also be reasonably necessary to make an order prohibiting publication. Mere belief that the order is necessary is insufficient’.

In *Friedrich v Herald & Weekly Times* [1990] VR 995, the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Victoria stated:

‘.. the issue remains whether there is a real or substantial risk that such publication will cause an interference with the administration of justice of a kind which might cause serious injustice’.

13.2.1.3 Any order made pursuant to section 12 of the *Bail Act 1980* should clearly indicate the temporal limits of the order

Justice Douglas in [Stjernqvist](#) held that the failure of the acting magistrate to limit in the order the temporal effect of the order, was also erroneous, stating:

‘It seems to me that an order of this nature should preferably be expressed in a self-contained form. It is intended to apply to publishers who were not parties to the proceedings before the court and they should be in a position to know, from the terms of the order, the precise limits that are imposed on the powers to publish that they would otherwise possess’.

For example although an order pursuant to s.8 of the *Supreme Court of Queensland Act 1991* and not s.12 of the *Bail Act 1980*, in [Attorney-General for the State of Queensland v Fardon \[2019\] QSC 2](#), Bowskill J made an order for non-publication for a period of seven days from the date of the order.

13.2.1.4 Capacity to vary, terminate and enlarge order restricting publication

In [Queensland Newspapers Pty Ltd v Lacey \[2007\] QSC 392](#), Martin J stated with respect to the power in s.12 of the *Bail Act 1980*:

‘The capacity to make an order and the discretion to make such an order carries with it, in my opinion, the capacity to vary, enlarge or terminate that order as the circumstances require...The discretion to vary, terminate or enlarge is enlivened at least by a change in circumstances or by the provision of information or other material which bears upon the making of such an order which was not available at the time the order was made. That discretion, in my opinion, applies to the entirety of the section 12 process’.

13.2.1.5 Penalty for non-compliance with order restricting publication

A person who fails to comply with an order made pursuant to [s.12 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#), restricting publication, without lawful excuse (the proof of which lies upon the person) commits an offence and is liable to a maximum penalty of 10 penalty units or imprisonment for six months.⁵⁰⁰

⁵⁰⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.12\(2\)](#)

13.3 Inherent jurisdiction of Supreme Court to limit publication

[Section 8 of the Supreme Court of Queensland Act 1991](#) provides:

(1) The business of the court-

- (a) is taken to be conducted in court wherever it is conducted; and*
- (b) is to be conducted in open court.*

(2) However, subject to any Act, the court may, if the public interest or the interests of justice require, by order limit the extent to which the business of the court is open to the public.

In [Attorney-General \(Qld\) v Fardon \[2019\] QSC 2](#), Bowskill J referred to the power in s.8 of the *Supreme Court of Queensland Act 1991*, as an extension of the common law power of the Supreme Court to prohibit publication of proceedings where the court considers this is necessary for the purpose of administering justice.

Justice Bowskill in [Fardon](#) quoted McPherson J in [Ex Parte The Queensland Law Society Incorporated \[1984\] 1 Qd R 166](#), referring to the inherent power of the Supreme Court to limit publication as follows:

‘... the power of the court under general law to prohibit publication of proceedings conducted in open court has been recognised and does exist as an aspect of the inherent power. That does not mean that it is an unlimited power. The only inherent power that a court possesses is power to regulate its own proceedings for the purpose of administering justice’.

13.4 Restriction on publication of information identifying party to domestic violence proceedings (*Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*)

[Section 159 of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#) provides that a person must not publish information that identifies or is likely to lead to the identification of, a person as:

- i) a party to a proceeding under that Act; or
- ii) a witness in a proceeding under that Act; or
- iii) a child concerned in a proceeding under that Act.

However, the information may be published if the court expressly authorises publication or other conditions in s.159(2) apply.⁵⁰¹

⁵⁰¹ See also Chapter 18 (Domestic Violence Offences) for further information.

CHAPTER 14 – COSTS

A court may not make any order concerning costs in a bail proceeding

[Section 10B of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides:

- (1) *A court may not make any order concerning costs in a bail proceeding.*
- (2) *It does not matter whether the bail proceeding started, or the relevant offence was committed, before or after the commencement of this section.*
- (3) *In this section -*
 - bail proceeding*** *includes –*
 - (a) *an application under this Act; and*
 - (b) *an application to grant, enlarge, vary, or revoke bail under another Act; and*
 - (c) *an appeal to the Court of Appeal from an order made on an application mentioned in paragraph (a) or (b).*

CHAPTER 15 – POLICE BAIL

Prescribed officer may grant bail

If a person is arrested and in custody in connection with an offence or under a warrant there is a statutory requirement to take the person before a court as soon as is reasonably possible.⁵⁰² But if it is not reasonably practicable to take the person promptly before a court, a prescribed police officer has power to grant bail.⁵⁰³ The officer is obligated to consider whether the person in custody should be granted bail or otherwise released.⁵⁰⁴

Once a defendant is brought before a court, all further bail decisions will be made by that or another court unless the defendant later comes into police custody after arrest for failure to appear, breach of bail or another offence.

Officer may release without bail

In addition to granting bail on an undertaking a prescribed police officer may, where appropriate, release an adult defendant in one of the following ways:⁵⁰⁵

- issue a notice to appear;⁵⁰⁶
- grant cash bail;⁵⁰⁷
- release without bail for drunkenness or minor drugs offences; or⁵⁰⁸
- release without bail a person with an impairment of the mind.⁵⁰⁹

A prescribed officer may release a child without bail by issuing a notice to appear or a release notice.⁵¹⁰ A child with an impairment of the mind may be released without bail under [s.11A of the Bail Act 1980](#).

⁵⁰² [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.393](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁵⁰³ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(3\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁵⁰⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(2\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁵⁰⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.7, 11A, 11B](#) and [14](#).

⁵⁰⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(3\)\(b\)](#).

⁵⁰⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(1A\)](#).

⁵⁰⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.14\(1B\)](#).

⁵⁰⁹ [Bail Act 1980, ss.11A & 11B](#).

⁵¹⁰ [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.51](#).

Appearance before court

The way in which the Magistrates Court deals with a defendant with police bail upon their appearance before the court or upon their failure to appear will vary depending upon the basis upon which the person was released from police custody.

15.1 Obligation to consider bail

An arresting officer is required to take an arrested person before a court as soon as is reasonably practicable or deliver the person into the custody of a watch-house manager or officer-in-charge.⁵¹¹ The watch-house manager or officer-in-charge (prescribed officer) may not grant bail unless satisfied it is not practicable to take the person before a court promptly.⁵¹² If the prescribed officer is so satisfied, the officer may grant bail or otherwise release the person.⁵¹³

There is an obligation to release the person unless the Act requires they be kept in custody⁵¹⁴, for example because there is an unacceptable risk of offending or absconding or because it is a matter where the officer lacks jurisdiction.⁵¹⁵

If the officer decides to keep the person in custody, written reasons for the decision must be given.⁵¹⁶

15.2 Release on issue of a Notice to Appear (Section 7(3)(b))

The alternative to bail most frequently utilised by police officers is the release of a defendant by issue of a Notice to Appear. If an officer is satisfied a person may be granted bail under the Act the officer has the option of issuing the person a Notice to Appear rather than placing them on bail.⁵¹⁷

⁵¹¹ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.393](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁵¹² [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(1\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, ss.49-50\(1\)](#).

⁵¹³ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(3\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.49](#).

⁵¹⁴ [Bail Act 1980, ss.7\(2\)-\(3\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(2\)](#).

⁵¹⁵ [Bail Act 1980, ss.13](#) and [16](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48\(4\)](#).

⁵¹⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(5\)](#); [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.48B](#).

⁵¹⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.7\(3\)](#).

The power to issue a Notice to Appear is given in [s 382 of the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000](#). The notice requires the person to appear before a court of summary jurisdiction at a stated time and place.⁵¹⁸

15.2.1 Where failure to appear

Where a person fails to appear as required in the Notice to Appear, the court may:

- (a) hear and decide the complaint in the absence of the person;⁵¹⁹ or
- (b) order that a warrant issue for the arrest of the person to be brought before the court to be dealt with according to law.⁵²⁰

15.3 Cash bail granted by police (Section 14(1) & (1A))

In accordance with [ss.14\(1\)](#) and [\(1A\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), where:

- (a) A person has been arrested in connection with a charge of an offence, other than an indictable offence or an offence mentioned in the schedule (being s.79 of the *Transport Operations (Road Use Management) Act 1995* regarding 'vehicle offences involving liquor or drugs' and ss.221, 223, and 225 of the *Racing Integrity Act 2016*); and
- (b) a defendant is in the custody of a police officer having not first appeared before a justice; and
- (c) the police officer is satisfied the defendant cannot be taken promptly before a court,

if the police officer considers it appropriate, the police officer may grant bail and release the defendant from custody upon the person making a deposit of money as security for their appearance before a court on a specified date, place, and time.

⁵¹⁸ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.384.](#)

⁵¹⁹ Summary offences and indictable offences capable of being dealt with summarily may be heard and decided in the absence of the defendant: see [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.388](#); [Justices Act 1886, s.142A](#); [Justices Act 1886, s.4](#), definition 'simple offence'; [Acts Interpretation Act 1954 \(Qld\) s.44.](#)

⁵²⁰ [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.389.](#)

15.3.1 Action by court where person appears in accordance with bail

Where a person granted cash bail by a police officer, **attends court** in accordance with the bail, the court shall order the amount of the deposit to be refunded unless the court orders that the deposit or part of it be applied towards:

- (a) payment of any penalty or costs; or
- (b) if the hearing is adjourned and the defendant is permitted to go at large, a security for the person's future appearance at an adjourned hearing.

15.3.2 Where failure to appear

Where a person granted cash bail under [s.14](#) fails to appear in accordance with the bail, the court or justice shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money (ss.14(5) and (6)) (unless the defendant's lawyer applies for an adjournment that is granted, in which case the court may order the deposit or part of the deposit be applied as security for the defendant's future appearance, or permit the defendant to go at large without bail (s.14(7)).

If the defendant fails to appear at the adjourned hearing, the court shall order the forfeiture of the deposit of money made (s.14(7A)).

15.4 Grant of release without bail by police (*Bail Act 1980*, [s.14\(1B\)](#))

A police officer may release a person *without bail* where:

- (a) a person charged with a minor drugs offence within the meaning of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*, Schedule 6 signs an agreement to attend a drug diversion assessment program under [s.379](#) of that Act.

15.4.1 Where failure to appear

If a defendant who has cash bail or bail on an undertaking by the Magistrates Court or a police officer, bail under the *Youth Justice Act 1992* or was permitted to go at large without bail *fails to surrender*, the Court may issue a warrant pursuant to [ss.28A\(1\)\(ea\)](#) or (f) for the apprehension of the defendant.

15.5 Release of child without bail

A police officer may, instead of granting bail to a child, choose to release the child into the custody of the child's parents or to go at large.⁵²¹ Before releasing the child the officer must issue either a Notice to Appear under [s.382](#) of the *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* or a release notice under [s.52](#) of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*.⁵²²

15.5.1 Where failure to appear

The provisions of the *Bail Act 1980* relating to the issue of warrants for the arrest of defendants released without bail who fail to appear also apply to a child who fails to appear after been released without bail.⁵²³

15.6 Release of person with impairment of mind (Sections 11A & 11B)

'Person with an impairment of mind' is defined in [s.11A\(7\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to mean a person who has a disability that –

(a) is attributable to an intellectual, psychiatric, cognitive, or neurological impairment or a combination of these; and

(b) results in-

(i) a substantial reduction of the person's capacity for communication, social interaction or learning; and

(ii) the person needing support.

These sections apply to both adult and child defendants.

Section 11A(1) provides that if a magistrate or police officer authorised to grant bail considers that a person:

(a) held in custody on a charge of or in connection with an offence is, or appears to be, a person with an impairment of the mind; and

⁵²¹ [Youth Justice Act 1992 \(Qld\), s.51\(2\).](#)

⁵²² *Youth Justice Act 1992 (Qld)*, s.51(3)-(4).

⁵²³ [Youth Justice Act 1992 \(Qld\), s.57.](#)

(b) the person does not, or appears not to, understand the nature and effect of entering into an undertaking under [s.20 of the Bail Act 1980](#); and

(c) if the person understood the nature and effect of entering into the undertaking, the person would be released on bail then a police officer or court may release the person without bail by either;

then according to s.11A(2), the police officer or court may release the person without bail by –

(a) releasing the person into the care of another person who ordinarily has the care of the person or with whom the person resides; or

(b) permitting the person to go at large.⁵²⁴

A person's release is on condition the person will surrender, at the time and place stated in the required notice under [s.11B of the Bail Act 1980](#).⁵²⁵

Section 11B provides that if a person is released under s.11A, the police officer or court releasing them must give the person a notice in the approved form stating:

- (a) the person's name and place of residence;
- (b) the charge on which or the offence in connection with which the person was in custody; and
- (c) if the person is released into the care of another person, the other person's name, and place of residence; and
- (d) the court into whose custody the person is required to surrender as a condition of the release; and
- (e) the time and place the person is required to surrender into the court's custody.

⁵²⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.11A\(2\)](#)

⁵²⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.11A\(3\)](#)

CHAPTER 16 – SUPREME AND DISTRICT COURT BAIL

A judge may deal with a bail application in the following circumstances:

Upon Application:

- i) to the Court of Appeal in its original jurisdiction;
- ii) to the Supreme Court pursuant to [s.10\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#);
- iii) to the District Court or Supreme Court or Court of Appeal where the person is awaiting a criminal proceeding to be held by that court in relation to the offence;⁵²⁶
- iv) to the District Court or Supreme Court or Court of Appeal where the court has adjourned the criminal proceedings;⁵²⁷
- v) to the District Court or Supreme Court or Court of Appeal where the court has committed or remanded the person in the course of or in connection with criminal proceedings to be held by that court or another court in relation to that offence;⁵²⁸
- vi) to the trial or sentencing judge in the District or Supreme Court.⁵²⁹

Upon Review:

- (i) of a decision by a magistrate by a single justice of the Supreme Court;⁵³⁰
- (ii) of a decision of a magistrate on review by a single justice of the Supreme Court.⁵³¹

Upon Appeal:

- (i) to the District Court from a decision of the Magistrates Court pursuant to [s.222](#) of the *Justices Act 1886*;

⁵²⁶ [Bail Act 1980, ss.8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\) & 19\(1\)](#)

⁵²⁷ [Bail Act 1980, ss.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\) & 19\(1\)](#)

⁵²⁸ [Bail Act 1980, ss.8\(1\)\(a\)\(iii\) & 19\(1\)](#)

⁵²⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.10\(2\) & \(3\)](#)

⁵³⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.19B\(3\)\(b\)](#)

⁵³¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.19C](#)

- (ii) to the Court of Appeal by way of [Chapter 18 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules \('UCPR'\)](#) from a decision of a single judge of the Supreme Court or District Court.

A judge has the power to issue a warrant for the apprehension of a defendant who breaks a condition of a bail undertaking or who is considered likely to break such a condition.⁵³²

16.1 Generally

When a person is first charged, they will ordinarily appear before the Magistrates Court and bail will be dealt with by a magistrate. In most instances, it is only when an indictment has been presented that a bail hearing will be in the hands of a judge in either the District Court or the Supreme Court.

However, a judge may deal with bail upon application, upon review and upon appeal.

16.2 Upon application

16.2.1 On application to the Court of Appeal in its original jurisdiction

As discussed in Chapter Six, in [Scrivener v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2001\] QCA 454](#) at [12] McPherson JA confirmed that on the basis of [R v Hughes \[1983\] 1 Qd R 92](#), an application for bail may be renewed before the Court of Appeal, not by way of appeal, but by way of an originating application in the court's original jurisdiction.

16.2.2 On application to the Supreme Court pursuant to Section 10(1) of the *Bail Act 1980*

[Section 10\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides:

'The Supreme Court or a judge thereof may subject to this Act, grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge of an offence, or in connection with a criminal proceeding, or enlarge, vary or revoke bail granted to a person in or in connection with a criminal proceeding whether or not the person has appeared before the Supreme Court in or in connection therewith'.

⁵³² [Bail Act 1980, s28\(1\)](#)

An application pursuant to s.10(1) is the most commonly used pathway to challenge or vary a decision of a Magistrate refusing bail or a decision imposing bail conditions. This is not by way of appeal but is an application in the Supreme Court's original jurisdiction under the *Bail Act 1980*.

16.2.3 On application to the District, Supreme Court, or Court of Appeal when defendant awaiting a criminal proceeding (Sections 8(1)(a)(i) & 19)

[Section 19\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a defendant held in custody in relation to an offence who has been refused bail or having been granted bail feels aggrieved by the amount fixed or any condition imposed for the defendant's release from custody may make application to a court empowered by section 8 to grant bail to the defendant for an order granting or varying bail.

[Section 8\(1\)\(a\)\(i\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a court may grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge of or in connection with an offence if the person is awaiting a criminal proceeding to be held by that court in relation to that offence. Section 8(1)(b) provides that subject to the Act, a court may enlarge, vary, or revoke bail so granted.

Criminal proceeding is defined in [s.6 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) to include a hearing, trial, or appeal in relation to an offence.

Accordingly, a defendant remanded in custody may apply to the relevant court for bail in circumstances including where:

- a) the defendant has been committed to the District or Supreme Court for trial or sentence;
- b) an indictment has been presented in either the District or Supreme Court; or
- c) the defendant has lodged an appeal and is awaiting the hearing.⁵³³

⁵³³ See Chapter Seven for magistrate's power to grant bail pursuant to [s.8\(1\)\(a\)\(ia\)](#) where the defendant is awaiting an appeal to the District Court under [s.222 of the *Justices Act 1986*](#). Where a s.222 appeal is pending in the District Court, the District Court should only grant bail in exceptional circumstances and ordinarily, only if the following two conditions are satisfied: 1) that there are strong grounds for concluding that the appeal will be allowed; and 2) that the sentence, or in all events the custodial part of it, is likely to have been substantially served before the appeal is determined. For more detail, see discussion in Chapter Seven.

16.2.4 On application to the District, Supreme Court, or Court of Appeal when the court has adjourned the criminal proceeding (Sections 8(1)(a)(ii) & 19)

[Section 19\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a defendant held in custody in relation to an offence who has been refused bail or having been granted bail feels aggrieved by the amount fixed or any condition imposed for the defendant's release from custody may make application to a court empowered by s.8 to grant bail to the defendant for an order granting or varying bail.

[Section 8\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a court may grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge or in connection with an offence if the court has adjourned the criminal proceeding (as defined in s.6 and set out above). Section 8(1)(b) provides that subject to the Act, a court may enlarge, vary, or revoke bail so granted.

16.2.5 On application to the District or Supreme Court, or Court of Appeal when the court has committed or remanded the person in connection with criminal proceedings to be held by that court or another (Sections 8(1)(a)(iii) & 19)

[Section 19\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a defendant held in custody in relation to an offence who has been refused bail or having been granted bail feels aggrieved by the amount fixed or any condition imposed for the defendant's release from custody may make application to a court empowered by s.8 to grant bail to the defendant for an order granting or varying bail.

[Section 8\(1\)\(a\)\(iii\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that a court may grant bail to a person held in custody on a charge or in connection with an offence if the court has committed or remanded the person in the course of a or in connection with a criminal proceeding to be held by that court or another court in relation to that offence. Section 8(1)(b) provides that subject to the Act, a court may enlarge, vary, or revoke bail so granted.

16.2.6 On application to the trial or sentencing judge in the District or Supreme Court (Section 10(2) & (3))

Bail during the course of a jury trial

[Section 10\(2\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that once a defendant has been given in charge to the jury in connection with a trial commenced in either the District or the Supreme Court, the trial judge alone has a discretion to grant, enlarge, vary, or revoke bail.

[Section 10\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that a decision made by a trial judge pursuant to s.10(2) is final and the defendant has no right to make a further application for bail in relation to the custody in which the defendant is then held or to appeal the decision. Thus, a trial judge has exclusive jurisdiction with respect to bail, which is not reviewable once the proceeding has commenced and until the defendant is either discharged or sentenced.

In [R v Wren \[2000\] 1 Qd R 577](#), the Court of Appeal characterised s.10(2) as ‘a legislative recognition of the desirability of orderly trials unimpeded by interlocutory appeals once proceedings commence before a trial judge’.

In [Wotton v Director of Public Prosecutions \[2008\] 1 Qd R 95](#), the defendant sought to advance an argument that the ‘decision’ of the trial judge referred to in s.10(3), must be a lawful decision and not one infected by jurisdictional error such that an appeal avenue was available in circumstances where the trial judge did not properly address the considerations required by s.16 in refusing bail. Justice Helman referred to the decision in *Wren* and held at [99]:

‘if there is any scope for the application of the principles as to jurisdictional error... it must be reserved for only the most exceptional cases – of which this clearly is not one – in which it is demonstrable that there has been the most blatant disregard of the ordinary rules concerning the granting or refusing of bail’.

Bail during course of a sentencing hearing

Because of the definition of ‘trial’ in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#), the scope of ss.10(2) and (3) extends beyond jury trials to include sentencing hearings.

Trial is defined in s.6 of the *Bail Act 1980* to mean a proceeding wherein a person is charged with an offence on indictment and includes a proceeding wherein a person is to be sentenced.

As recognised by the Court of Appeal in [Wren](#):

‘Whilst at first glance ss.10(2) and 10(3) might seem limited to application for bail in jury trials, upon analysis those subsections are of wider application and include a case such as the present where there has been a plea of guilty and an application is made for bail in the course of the sentencing procedure’.

Consequently, once the allocutus has been administered and the defendant pleads guilty, the sentencing judge is solely entrusted with the question of bail until such time as the accused is sentenced. No appeal lies to a judge of the Supreme Court pursuant to s.19 or the Court of Appeal.

As the Court of Appeal stated in *Wren* at [8]:

‘The wide powers of courts to entertain applications for bail conferred by ss8 and s.19 of the Bail Act must be read as subject to the limitation imposed by s.10’.

16.3 Upon review

16.3.1 Application for review by the Supreme Court of a decision of a Magistrate or District Court Judge (Section 19B(3)(b))

[Section 19B\(3\)\(b\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides a defendant with a right to seek review of a decision of a magistrate or District Court judge about release under [Part 2 of the Bail Act 1980](#) or [Part 5 of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) to the Supreme Court constituted by a single justice.

An application for review can be made by a defendant, complainant, prosecutor, or a person appearing on behalf of the Crown.⁵³⁴

16.3.2 Application for review by the Supreme Court of a decision of a Magistrate on a review (Section 19C)

[Section 19C of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides for the review by a justice of the Supreme Court, of a decision of a magistrate on review (as provided for in s.19B(3)(a)).⁵³⁵

16.4 Upon appeal

16.4.1 Appeal to the District Court from a decision of the Magistrates Court pursuant to s.222 of the Justices Act 1886.

Pursuant to [s.222 of the Justices Act 1886](#), an appeal lies from a decision of a magistrate to a judge of the District Court by way of rehearing on the original evidence.⁵³⁶

⁵³⁴ For further details, see Chapter Six

⁵³⁵ For further details, see Chapter Six

⁵³⁶ For further details, see Chapter Six

16.4.2 Appeal from a decision of a Single Judge of the Supreme Court or District Court to the Court of Appeal

An appeal lies to the Court of Appeal from a decision of a single judge of the Supreme Court or District Court refusing bail by virtue of [Chapter 18 of the Uniform Civil Procedure Rules](#).⁵³⁷

16.5 Warrants

16.5.1 Power of the District and Supreme Court to issue a Warrant for Apprehension of defendant (Section 28)

[Section 28\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where a defendant who has entered into an undertaking requiring the defendant to appear before the Supreme Court or District Court, breaks a condition of the undertaking or if the court is satisfied that the defendant is likely to break any such condition, the court before which the defendant is required to appear (on application by the Director of Public Prosecutions or, the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions or a person duly so authorised), may after giving notice to the defendants (or without such notice if the defendant cannot be located or is likely to abscond), issue a warrant for the apprehension of the defendant.

16.5.2 Power of the Court upon surrender to withdraw and cancel warrant (Sections 28(2), (2A) & (2B))

In accordance with [s.28\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), where a defendant failed to surrender and a warrant issued pursuant to s.28(1), and:

- a) the defendant surrenders to the court that issued the warrant as soon as is practicable after the time for the time being appointed for the defendant to do so; and
- b) satisfies the court that the failure to surrender was due to reasonable cause,

the court may withdraw and cancel the warrant.

Similarly, in accordance with ss.28(2A) and (2B), where a warrant issued because the defendant had broken a condition of the undertaking or on the ground that the defendant is likely to break a condition of the undertaking, and the defendant satisfies the court prior to the execution of the

⁵³⁷ [See Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999, r.745](#)

warrant that the breaking of the condition was due to reasonable cause, or that the defendant is not likely to break a condition of the undertaking, the court may withdraw and cancel the warrant.

For information relation to the consequences for failing to appear in accordance with an undertaking and the issuing of a warrant, see further Chapter 12 and Chapter Nine.

CHAPTER 17 - EXTRADITION

The Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 (Cth)

The [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\)](#) (SEPA) sets out the procedure to be followed in the event of a person being brought before a magistrate in Queensland after being apprehended in Queensland upon a warrant issued in another State. The magistrate may remand the person in custody or on bail. The Queensland law of bail will apply to any grant of bail.

The Extradition Act 1988 (Cth)

The [Extradition Act 1988 \(Cth\)](#) codifies the law relating to the extradition of persons from Australia to Extradition Countries, including New Zealand. A magistrate will ordinarily remand a person in custody and must not remand a person on bail unless there are special circumstances justifying that course.

17.1 Interstate apprehension of person named in warrant (Section 82(1), SEPA)

[Section 82\(1\) of the SEPA](#) states that except where a person is in prison, a person named in a warrant issued in a State may be apprehended in another State.

17.2 Procedure following apprehension under s.82 (Section 83, SEPA)

[Section 83\(1\) of the SEPA](#) provides that as soon as practicable after being apprehended, the person is to be taken before a magistrate of the State in which the person was apprehended.

Section 83(2) provides that the warrant or a copy of the warrant must be produced to the magistrate if it is available.

If the warrant is not available, s.83(3) provides that the magistrate may:

- (a) order that the person be released; or
- (b) adjourn the proceedings for such reasonable time as the magistrate specifies and remand the person on bail or in such custody as the magistrate specifies.

If the matter is adjourned pursuant to s.83(3)(b) but when it is resumed, the warrant or a copy of the warrant is not produced, s.83(4) provides that the magistrate may:

- (a) order that the person be released; or
- (b) if reasonable cause is shown, adjourn the proceeding for such further reasonable time as the magistrate specifies and remand the person on bail or in such custody as the magistrate specifies.

Section 83(5) provides that the total time of the adjournments referred to in paragraphs 3(b) and (4)b must not exceed five days.

If following a further adjournment pursuant to s.83(4)(b) the warrant or a copy of the warrant is not produced, pursuant to s.83(7), the magistrate must order that the person be released.

If following a further adjournment pursuant to s.83(4)(b) the warrant or a copy of the warrant is produced, pursuant to s.83(8) (but subject to subsections (10) and (14) and s.84), the magistrate must order:

- (a) that the person be remanded on bail on condition that the person appear at such time and place in the place of issue of the warrant as the magistrate specifies; or
- (b) that the person be taken, in such custody or otherwise as the magistrate specifies, to a specified place in the place of issue of the warrant.

Pursuant to s.83(11), the magistrate may suspend the order or adjourn the proceedings made under s.83(8)(b) for a specified period, and upon doing so, may remand the person (a) on bail or (b) in such custody as the magistrate specifies until the end of the suspended period (s.83(12)).

In proceedings under s.83 of the Act, in accordance with s.83(14):

- (a) the magistrate may adjourn proceedings under s.83 and remand the person on bail, or in such custody as the magistrate specifies for the adjournment; and
- (b) the magistrate is not bound by the rules of evidence;
- (c) it is not necessary that a magistrate before whom the proceeding was previously conducted continue to conduct the proceeding.

17.3 Enquiry must be made to ascertain if defendant ‘under restraint’ (Section 84, SEPA)

If a person is brought before a magistrate pursuant to [s.83 of the SEPA](#), the magistrate must make reasonable enquires of the person to ascertain if they are ‘under restraint’ and if so, in which State or States.⁵³⁸

The term ‘person under restraint’ is defined as including persons on bail, parole, or probation, or subject to a community-based order or other restriction on their movements imposed by law or a court.⁵³⁹

If a person is on bail in another State, the magistrate must make reasonable enquires to ascertain any reporting requirements.⁵⁴⁰

If a person is under restraint (but not subject to bail) the magistrate *must* adjourn the proceedings for not more than seven days, remand the person either on bail or in custody and notify the person in charge of the correction service of the State in which the person is under restraint, and when the proceeding is resumed, submissions may be made by the person informed or a supervisor of the person under restraint.⁵⁴¹

If a person under restraint is on bail, the magistrate *may* adjourn the proceedings for not more than seven days, but if the magistrate does adjourn the proceedings, the magistrate must remand the person on bail on condition to appear when the proceeding resumes, or alternatively, remand the person in custody. If a condition of the person’s bail was to report, the magistrate, after adjourning must cause notice of the person’s apprehension to be given to the person in charge of the corrective service of the State in which the person is required to report and the person notified or a supervisor of the person under restraint may make submissions to the magistrate upon the resumed hearing.⁵⁴²

17.4 Procedure on remand on bail (Section 85, SEPA)

In circumstances where a magistrate grants bail in accordance with [ss.83\(8\)\(a\) or 83\(12\)\(a\) of the SEPA](#), the magistrate must have prepared an instrument setting out the bail conditions.⁵⁴³

⁵³⁸ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.84\(1\)](#)

⁵³⁹ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s 3.](#)

⁵⁴⁰ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.84\(2\)](#)

⁵⁴¹ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.84\(4\)](#)

⁵⁴² [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.84\(5\) & \(6\)](#)

⁵⁴³ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.85\(1\)](#)

That instrument must be signed by both the magistrate and the person the subject of the order⁵⁴⁴ and the person must be given a copy.⁵⁴⁵

The magistrate must revoke the order and order the person be remanded in custody if the person refuses to sign the instrument or does not comply with a condition of bail.⁵⁴⁶

A magistrate before whom an application to execute an interstate warrant is brought, may make a determination in the following way:

'This is an Application to execute an interstate warrant and to return the defendant to the State of the state in which the original warrant for the arrest of the defendant was issued on

A copy of the original warrant has been produced today to this Court by(name) and the copy of the warrant is now marked Exhibit 1. (see s.83(2))

- *The defendant admits he is the person named in the copy of the warrant and does not contest the validity of the warrant at this stage (s.82(1))*
- *It appears to me that the warrant is valid (see s.83(1))*
- *I am satisfied that the defendant is not a person under restraint (see s.84)*
- *I hear the parties on the issue of bail.*

I do order that the defendant:

a) in circumstances where bail is granted:

- (i) *Be remanded on bail on condition to appear before **Magistrates/*Local** Court at in the State of (with or without further specified conditions as follows.....); or*
- (ii) *Be allowed bail on his/her own undertaking.*

OR

b) in circumstances where bail is refused:

⁵⁴⁴ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.85\(2\)](#)

⁵⁴⁵ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.85\(3\)](#)

⁵⁴⁶ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.85\(4\)](#)

- (i) *Be returned to the State of in which the warrant was issued to appear in the Magistrates/Local Court at on the(date) and for that purpose that he/she is delivered, together with Exhibit 1 and a record of these proceedings, into the custody of the person who brought the copy of the original warrant to Queensland.*

17.5 Review of the order made under s.83 (Section 86, SEPA)

When an order has been made pursuant to [s.83 of the SEPA](#), the person to whom the warrant was directed may apply to the Supreme Court of the State in which the order was made, for review of the order.⁵⁴⁷

17.6 Law applicable to grant of bail (Section 88, SEPA)

[Section 88 of the SEPA](#) provides that, despite [s.68\(1\) of the Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\)](#) (concerning the jurisdiction of State and Territory courts in criminal cases), the law of a State with respect to the granting of bail applies in relation to the power contained in [s.83 of SEPA](#) to grant bail to a person apprehended in that State.

Additionally, the law of a State with respect to bail and matters related to bail (including enforcement of bail) applies in relation to a person who has been remanded on bail in that State as if the person had been remanded on bail to appear before a court of that State.⁵⁴⁸

Section 88(3) provides that money received in proceedings for the enforcement of bail is to be retained by the State in which the bail condition, the breach of which led to the proceedings being brought, was imposed.

17.7 Extradition proceedings from Australia to extradition countries

[The Extradition Act 1988 \(Cth\)](#) codifies the law relating to the extradition of persons from Australia.

⁵⁴⁷ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.86](#). See [Abbott v Commissioner of Police \[2016\] QSC 95](#) for an example of an application for review brought pursuant to s.86 of the *Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 (Cth)* of a decision of a magistrate made under s.83.

⁵⁴⁸ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.88\(2\)](#)

That Act provides that a person who is arrested under an extradition arrest warrant shall be brought as soon as practicable before a magistrate or eligible Federal Circuit Court Judge in the State or Territory in which the person is arrested ⁵⁴⁹ and for extradition to New Zealand.

A magistrate shall remand a person in custody (s.15(2), and in the case of extradition to New Zealand, s.32(2)) and shall not remand a person on bail unless there are special circumstances justifying such a grant of bail (s.15(6) and in the case of extradition to New Zealand s.32(3)).

In [United Mexican States v Cabal \[2001\] HCA 60](#), the High Court of Australia considered the meaning of 'special circumstances'. Gleeson CJ, McHugh, and Gummow JJ stated:

'First, the circumstances of the individual case are special in the sense that they are different from the circumstances that persons facing extradition would ordinarily endure when regard is had to the nature and extent of the extradition charges. This means that the circumstances relied on must be different in kind from the disadvantages that all extradition defendants have to endure. To constitute "special circumstances", the matters relied on "need to be extraordinary and not factors applicable to all defendants facing extradition". Secondly, there must be no real risk of flight. Absence of a real risk of flight is ordinarily a necessary but not a sufficient condition of bail. When there is a real risk of flight, ordinarily bail should be refused. Further, the risk of flight should be considered independently of the effect of the proposed bail conditions. In this area of law, the history and character of the defendant and the potential punishment facing the defendant are likely to be surer guides to the risk of flight than bail conditions — even rigorous conditions.'

⁵⁴⁹ [Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 \(Cth\), s.15\(1\)](#)

CHAPTER 18 - DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OFFENCES AND BAIL

Show cause

In the context of domestic violence, a defendant will be in a show cause position in a bail application where charged with any of the following offences:

1. indictable offences where defendant used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance;
2. an offence against the *Bail Act 1980*;
3. an offence against the [Criminal Code s.315A](#) (choking, suffocation, or strangulation in a domestic setting);
4. an offence punishable by a maximum penalty of at least seven years imprisonment if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (as defined in [s.1 Criminal Code](#));⁵⁵⁰
5. contravention of a Domestic Violence Order ([s.177\(2\) Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#)) if:
 - i) The offence involved the use, threatened use, or attempted use of the unlawful violence to person or property; or
 - ii) The defendant, within five years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence involving the use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or
 - iii) The defendant, within two years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence against the *Domestic and Family Violence Act 2012*, s.177(2).

Conditions

When considering bail, regard should be had to:

⁵⁵⁰ [Criminal Code s.1](#) defines 'domestic violence offence' to mean 'an offence against an Act, other than the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by a person where the act done, or omission made, which constitutes the offence is also: a) domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by the person, or; b) a contravention of the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, s.177(2).

- a) whether the defendant is subject to any temporary or final domestic violence order under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*; and
- b) ensuring bail conditions are not inconsistent with any conditions of an existing domestic violence order under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*.

In the context of domestic violence, the court may consider:

- A special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person/persons;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from coming within a certain distance of any workplace, residence;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from contacting another/others;
- A special condition imposing limitation on the use of social media;
- A special condition that the defendant participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course;⁵⁵¹
- A special condition that the defendant, if eligible complete a Drug and Alcohol Assessment Referral course;⁵⁵²
- A special condition that the defendant wear a tracking device;⁵⁵³
- Special conditions to facilitate the fitting and operation of the tracking device.⁵⁵⁴

Assessment of 'unacceptable' risk (Section 16)

In assessing the risk:

- the court *cannot* take into account the impact of a condition requiring the wearing of a tracking device.
- where a defendant is charged with:
 - a) a domestic violence offence (defined in s.1 *Criminal Code*); or
 - b) contravening a Domestic Violence Order pursuant to [s.177\(2\)](#) of the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*

⁵⁵¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9\)](#)

⁵⁵² [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9A\)](#)

⁵⁵³ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9B\)](#)

⁵⁵⁴ Examples of such conditions are set out in s.4 of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#).

it is *relevant* to consider the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence.
555

[Section 15\(1\)\(e\)](#) of the *Bail Act 1980* provides the power to receive and take into account evidence of the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence.

Stay of release decision where review of decision relating to bail sought

If the prosecutor seeks a review of a decision relating to bail, the decision made concerning bail is stayed until the earlier of the following:

- an order is made by the reviewing court under [ss.19B\(6\)](#) or [19C\(5\)](#);
- the application is discontinued, or;
- 4pm on the day that is three business days after the day on which the decision concerning release was made.⁵⁵⁶

18.1 Prima facie right to bail

As a consequence of the operation of [s.9](#) and [s.16](#) of the *Bail Act 1980*, (unless the charge/s before the court involves a charge that triggers the reverse onus provisions) there is a prima facie right to bail unless the court is satisfied that there is an unacceptable risk of the defendant, if granted bail, failing to attend or committing further offences, interfering with witnesses or for their own protection.

18.2 Unacceptable risk – consideration of risk of future domestic violence

In assessing whether there is an unacceptable risk, the magistrate should have regard to those matters as set out in s.16(2), but in particular with regard to domestic violence, s.16(2)(f) provides that where a defendant is charged with:

- i. a domestic violence offence (as defined in the [Criminal Code, s.1](#)); or

⁵⁵⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(2\)\(f\)](#)

⁵⁵⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.19CA](#)

- ii. an offence against the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177\(2\)](#) (Contravening a Domestic Violence Order)

it is relevant for a court to consider '*the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act, being committed by the defendant.*'⁵⁵⁷

To that end, [s.15\(1\)\(e\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the Court with the power to receive and take into account evidence relating to the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence, provided the evidence is considered '*credible and trustworthy in the circumstances*'. Evidence of previous domestic violence which resulted in the defendant having been arrested or charged with an offence (without the matter proceeding to a 'not guilty' finding) may be taken into account if the evidence is considered by the court to be relevant to the risk of further domestic violence being committed by the defendant.⁵⁵⁸

Whilst each application will turn on its own unique characteristics, provided the evidence is 'credible and trustworthy in the circumstances', the following factors are some that may be considered when assessing the risk of further domestic violence or associated domestic violence:

- evidence of a current Domestic and Family Violence Protection Order against the defendant;
- evidence of a Domestic and Family Violence Protection Order having been made in the past against the defendant;
- evidence of the defendant having previously been convicted of a violent offence, an offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, an offence of stalking or an offence of making threats to injure or kill;
- evidence of previous domestic violence by the defendant if that evidence is considered relevant to the risk of further domestic violence;

⁵⁵⁷ [Section 16\(2\)\(f\)](#) was inserted as a consequence of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) which came into force on 30 March 2017. See [Ackland v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\) \[2017\] QCA 75](#).

⁵⁵⁸ A court may have regard to an unrecorded conviction or a charge that is relevant to an issue in criminal or civil proceedings before the court: [Criminal Law \(Rehabilitation of Offenders\) Act 1986, s.5](#).

- evidence the defendant previously contravened a bail condition or committing an indictable offence whilst on bail.⁵⁵⁹

Section 16(2A) provides that in assessing whether there is an unacceptable risk, a court must not have regard to the effect on the risk of imposing a condition requiring the wearing of a tracking device (a condition provided for in s.11(9B)).

18.3 Conditions

When considering bail, regard should be had to:

- a) whether the defendant is subject to any temporary or final domestic violence order under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*; and
- b) ensuring bail conditions are not inconsistent with any conditions of an existing domestic violence order under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*.

In the particular context of domestic violence offences, the court may consider:

- A special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person/persons;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from coming within a certain distance of any workplace, residence;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from contacting another/others;
- A special condition imposing limitation on the use of social media;
- A special condition that the defendant participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course⁵⁶⁰

⁵⁵⁹ For further examples, see the Judicial College of Victoria, 'Risk Indicators of Family Violence' at www.judicialcollege.vic.edu.au

⁵⁶⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9\)](#)

- A special condition that the defendant, if eligible, complete a Drug and Alcohol Assessment Referral course;⁵⁶¹
- A special condition that the defendant wear a tracking device⁵⁶²
- Special conditions to facilitate the fitting and operation of the tracking device.⁵⁶³

18.4 Surety/security/deposit

The court has an obligation to consider appropriate conditions for release on bail as set out in [s.11\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), including the use of a security, surety and/or deposit.

Such conditions must not be more onerous for the defendant than is necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest.

18.5 Domestic violence related offences where defendant in 'show cause' position

Certain domestic violence-related offences trigger the show cause provisions of the *Bail Act 1980*, meaning that there is no presumption in favour of bail – rather the onus is on the defendant, on the balance of probabilities, to show cause why the defendant's detention in custody is not justified.⁵⁶⁴

[Section 16\(3\)\(g\)](#) (as set out below) specifically refers to domestic violence-related matters.

In such circumstances, **the strength of the Crown case** will be the prime, but not the exclusive consideration.⁵⁶⁵ *'Countervailing circumstances common to applications for bail in the generality are to be accorded less weight than in the ordinary case'*.⁵⁶⁶

⁵⁶¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9A\)](#)

⁵⁶² [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9B\)](#)

⁵⁶³ Examples of such conditions are set out in s.4 of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#).

⁵⁶⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)](#). Also note that on 30 March 2017 the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) came into force, introducing significant amendments to bail in circumstances of offences of domestic violence.

⁵⁶⁵ [Turbill, An Application for Bail \[2009\] QSC 197](#) and Sperling J in *R v Iskandar* (2001) 120 A Crim R 302 at 305 at [14].

⁵⁶⁶ Sperling J in *R v Iskandar* (2001) 120 A Crim R 302 at 305 at [14]. See also Chapter Two for further information.

18.6 Table of ‘show cause’ provisions

Legislative basis	Circumstance of offence charged
s.16(3)(a)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence that is alleged to have been committed while the defendant was at large with or without bail between the date of the defendant’s apprehension and the date of the defendant’s committal for trial or while awaiting trial for another indictable offence.
s.16(3)(b)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence to which s.13 of the Bail Act 1980 applies (murder or a repeat serious child sex offence). Only the Supreme Court may grant bail to defendants falling in this category. ⁵⁶⁷
s.16(3)(c)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence in the course of committing which the defendant is alleged to have used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance.
s.16(3)(d)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> ;
s.16(3)(e)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.161Z (Contravention of a Control Order) or the Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982, s.32 (Contravention of a Public Safety Order);
s.16(3)(f)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the Criminal Code, s.359 (threats) with a circumstance of aggravation as mentioned in s.359(2) (threat made to a law enforcement officer or person assisting a law enforcement officer, when or because the officer is investigating the activities of a criminal organisation);
s.16(3)(g)	Where the defendant is charged with a ‘relevant offence’ as defined in s.16(6) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> ⁵⁶⁸ as: (a) an offence against the Criminal Code, s.315A (Choking, suffocation, or strangulation in a domestic setting); (b) an offence punishable by a maximum penalty of at least 7 years imprisonment if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (as defined in the Criminal Code, s.1);⁵⁶⁹

⁵⁶⁷ See Chapter 20 for further information

⁵⁶⁸ [Section 16\(6\)](#) was inserted into the Bail Act 1980 as a consequence of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) and came into force on 30 March 2017.

⁵⁶⁹ [Section 1 of the Criminal Code](#) defines ‘domestic violence offence’ to mean ‘an offence against an Act, other than the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by a person where the act done, or omission made, which constitutes the offence is also: a) domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by the person, or; b) a contravention of the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177\(2\)](#). Be

	(c) an offence against the Criminal Code, s.75 (threatening violence), s.328A (dangerous operation of a motor vehicle), s.355 (deprivation of liberty), s.359E (stalking) or s.468 (injuring animals) if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (defined in the Criminal Code, s.1);⁵⁷⁰ (d) An offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177(2) (Contravention of a Domestic Violence Order) if: (i) The offence involved the use, threatened use, or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or (ii) The defendant, within five years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence involving the use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or (iii) The defendant, within two years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence against the <i>Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012</i>, s.177(2).
--	---

18.7 Prohibition on publication of material disclosing the identity of a party, witness or child concerned in domestic violence proceedings

[Section 159 of the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012](#) provides that a person must not publish information that identifies or is likely to lead to the identification of, a person as:

- i) a party to a proceeding under that Act; or
- ii) a witness in a proceeding under that Act; or
- iii) a child concerned in a proceeding under that Act.

However, the information may be published if the court expressly authorises publication or other conditions in s.159(2) apply.

Additionally, a magistrate has a *discretion* to make an order directing that the evidence taken, the information furnished, the representations made by or on behalf of either party or the reasons given by the court for the grant or refusal of bail or release under [s.11A of the Bail Act 1980](#), shall not be published.⁵⁷¹

aware that where the person is charged with contravention of [s. 177\(2\)](#) but no other offence, that offence will not be a “domestic violence offence” within the meaning of [s. 1 of the Criminal Code](#): *Queensland Police Service v JSB* [2018] QDC 120 [38]-[40].

⁵⁷⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(7\)\(c\)](#).

⁵⁷¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.12](#). See also Chapter 13 for further information.

18.8 Stay of decision to grant bail pending application for review (Sections 19B, 19C & 19CA)

[Sections 19B](#) and [19C of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provide for a defendant, complainant or prosecutor or a person appearing on behalf of the Crown to apply to a reviewing court for a review of the decision relating to bail.⁵⁷²

[Section 19CA of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that where:

- (a) a decision has been made about release under [Part 2 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) or [Part 5 of the *Youth Justice Act 1992*](#) for a defendant charged with a relevant domestic violence offence⁵⁷³; and
- (b) the prosecutor or other person appearing on behalf of the Crown applies to a reviewing court for a review of the decision,

the decision about the defendant's release is stayed until the earlier of the following:

- an order is made by the reviewing court under [s.19B\(6\)](#) or [s.19C\(5\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#);
- the application is discontinued, or;
- 4pm on the day that is three business days after the day on which the decision concerning release was made.⁵⁷⁴

The reviewing court that makes an order under s.19B or s.19C or seeks to give effect to an order made under s.19CA, may, in order to give effect to the stay, issue a warrant for the apprehension of the defendant directing that the defendant be brought before a stated court.⁵⁷⁵

⁵⁷² See Chapter Six for further information

⁵⁷³ Defined in [Criminal Code, s.1.](#)

⁵⁷⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.19CA.](#)

⁵⁷⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.19D.](#)

CHAPTER 19 – DRUG RELATED OFFENCES AND BAIL

Special bail conditions for drug charges

In the context of drug-related offending, the Court when granting bail, may consider:

- A special condition that the defendant participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course.⁵⁷⁶
- A special condition that the defendant, if eligible complete a Drug and Alcohol Assessment Referral (DAAR) course.⁵⁷⁷
- A special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person/persons;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from contacting another/others;
- A special condition imposing a curfew.
- A special condition prohibiting the use or possession of illegal drugs;
- A special condition requiring compliance with drug testing.

19.1 Conditions

A magistrate may impose conditions where, in accordance with [s.11\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), the magistrate considers that the imposition of special conditions is necessary to ensure that the defendant appears in accordance with their bail and surrenders into custody, and does not commit further offences, endanger the safety or welfare of others, or interfere with witnesses.

Section 11 applies only to adult defendants.⁵⁷⁸

Conditions imposed pursuant to s.11(2) shall not be more onerous than are necessary.⁵⁷⁹

Whilst a magistrate has a broad discretion to impose conditions that the magistrate considers necessary in accordance with s.11(2), the *Bail Act 1980* specifically refers to the following special conditions relating to drug-related offending:

⁵⁷⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(9\)](#)

⁵⁷⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.11AB](#)

⁵⁷⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(1AA\)](#).

⁵⁷⁹ See Chapter Three for further information

19.1.1 Condition to participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course (Section 11(9)).

Pursuant to [s.11\(9\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), a magistrate may impose a condition that the defendant participate in a rehabilitation, treatment or other intervention program or course, having regard to:

- (a) the nature of the offence; and
- (b) the circumstances of the defendant, including any benefit the defendant may derive by participating in the program or course; and
- (c) the public interest.

If a defendant breaks a condition imposed pursuant to s.11(9), because of the operation of s.29(2)(b), the defendant does not commit an offence against [s.29 of the Bail Act 1980](#) but the court may, upon application being made under [s.30](#), vary the defendant's bail, including by rescinding the condition imposed under s.11(9), but may not revoke the bail.⁵⁸⁰

19.1.2 Condition requiring completion of Drug Alcohol Assessment Referral (DAAR) course

Section 11(AB) (1)

(2) If a person consents to completing a DAAR course, the court may impose a condition for the person's release that the person complete a DAAR course by a stated day.

(3) In deciding whether to impose the condition, the court must have regard to the following –

- (a) the nature of the offence in relation to which bail is proposed to be granted;*
- (b) the person's circumstances, including any benefit the person may derive by completing a DAAR course;*
- (c) the public interest.*

(4) However, subsection (2) does not apply if-

- (a) the person has completed 2 DAAR courses within the previous 5 years;*
- or*

⁵⁸⁰ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(6\)](#)

- (b) the person is under 18 years; or*
- (c) section 11A applies.*

(5)

If a defendant breaks a condition imposed pursuant to [s.11\(AB\)](#), because of the operation of s.29(2)(b) the defendant does not commit an offence against s.29 but the court may, upon application being made under [s.30](#), vary the defendant's bail, including by rescinding the condition imposed under s.11AB, but may not revoke the bail ⁵⁸¹

19.1.3 Other conditions

Depending on the circumstances of the individual case, a magistrate may consider imposing any of the following conditions if the magistrate considers the condition to be necessary within the meaning of [s.16\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) and the condition is not more onerous than necessary in accordance with s.16(5):

- A special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person/persons;
- A special condition that prohibits a person from contacting another/others;
- A special condition imposing a curfew;
- A special condition prohibiting the use or possession of illegal drugs;
- A special condition requiring compliance with drug testing.

In order to avoid unnecessarily setting the defendant up to breach a condition of the bail, a consideration should be given to imposing conditions that the magistrate considers are both fit for any or all of the purposes in s.16(2) and which a drug-addicted defendant is likely to be able to comply with.

Further conditions are set out in the Standardised Bail Conditions – Annexure A.

⁵⁸¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.30\(6\)](#)

19.2 Release without bail by police officer for minor drug offence – drug diversion (Section 14(1B)(b))

[Section 14\(1B\)\(b\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that in circumstances where a person is charged with a minor drugs offence within the meaning of the [*Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000*, Schedule 6](#), and signs an agreement to attend a drug diversion assessment program under [s.379 of that Act](#), a police officer may release the person without bail.

CHAPTER 20 - LIMITS ON JURISDICTION

Only the Supreme Court or a judge of the Supreme Court may grant bail to a defendant charged with murder or a repeat child sex offence.

Only a court (other than a court constituted by a justice or justices) may grant bail to a person who:

1. has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence; or
2. is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth control order.⁵⁸²

20.1 Section 13(1) *Bail Act 1980*

[Section 13\(1\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that only the Supreme Court or a judge of the Supreme Court may grant bail to a person charged with an offence under the *Criminal Code* if, on conviction, the sentencing court will have to decide which of the following sentences to impose:

- (a) imprisonment for life, which cannot be mitigated or varied under the [Criminal Code](#) or any other law; or
- b) an indefinite sentence under the [Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, Part 10](#).

The legislation makes it clear that this provision applies to a child in circumstances where the sentencing court would have to decide between imprisonment for life and an indefinite sentence, if the child were an adult.⁵⁸³

As mandatory life imprisonment referred to in s.13(1)(a) may only be imposed on defendants convicted of murder or a repeat child sex offence, it follows that only persons charged with one of those offences will fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.

⁵⁸² [Bail Act 1980, s.13\(2\)](#)

⁵⁸³ [Bail Act 1980, s.13\(1\)\(b\)](#). Also note the [Youth Justice Act 1992, s.59](#) for when a Children's Court judge may grant bail to a child despite [s.13\(1\)\(b\) Bail Act 1980](#).

20.2 Murder and repeat serious child sex offence

Murder in [s.305 of the Criminal Code](#) and a repeat serious child sex offence in [s.141 Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#) are the only offences that fall within s.13(1)(a) of the *Bail Act 1980*.

[Section 161D of the Penalties and Sentence Act 1992](#) defines a 'serious child sex offence' as an offence against a provision mentioned in schedule 1A, or an offence that involves counselling or procuring the commission of an offence mentioned in schedule 1A, committed in relation to a child under 16 years and in circumstances in which an offender convicted of the offence would be liable to imprisonment for life. Schedule 1A offences include, amongst others, rape ([s.349](#)), sexual assaults ([s.352](#)) and repeated sexual conduct with a child ([s.229B](#)).

Pursuant to [s.161E of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#), an offender is convicted of a repeat serious child sex offence if:

1. the offender is convicted of a serious child sex offence (the repeat offence) committed as an adult; and
2. before the offender committed the repeat offence, the offender was convicted of another serious child sex offence committed as an adult.

An offender convicted of a repeat serious child sex offence is liable to imprisonment for life which cannot be mitigated or varied, or an indefinite sentence under Part 10 of the Act.

A magistrate would need to be careful to ensure that the parties advise the court if the previous criminal history of the person includes an activating offence.

20.3 Section 13(2) and 13(3) of the *Bail Act 1980*

As a consequence of [s.29\(3\) and \(4\) of the Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991](#), a justice of the peace (qualified) or a justice of the peace (Magistrates Court) when constituting a court has power to grant bail. (A justice of the peace (commissioner for declarations) does not have power to grant bail.)⁵⁸⁴

⁵⁸⁴ [Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991, s.29](#) and [s.3](#), definition of 'procedural action or order'; see detailed discussion Chapter 29.

However, due to the operation of [s.13\(2\) and \(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), a Magistrates Court constituted by a justice or justices will not have jurisdiction to grant bail to a person who has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order.

Sub sections (2) and (3) were inserted into s.13 of the *Bail Act 1980* as a consequence of the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#)⁵⁸⁵, and provides that:

(2) Only a court may grant bail to a person who –

- (a) has previously been convicted of a **terrorism offence**; or*
- (b) is or has been the subject of a **Commonwealth Control Order**.*

(3) for subsection (2) –

***convicted**, of an offence, means found guilty of the offence by a court, on a plea of guilty or otherwise, whether or not a conviction is recorded.*

***court** does not include a justice or justices.*

‘Terrorism Offence’ is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#):

- (a) A terrorism offence under the Crimes Act 1914 (Cwlth); or*
- (b) An offence against the repealed Crimes (Foreign Incursions and Recruitment) Act 1978 (Cwlth), sections 6 to 9; or*
- (c) An offence against the Terrorism (Community Protection) Act 2003 (Vic), section 4B; or*
- (d) An offence against the Crimes Act 1990 (NSW), section 310J; or*
- (e) An offence against the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), section 83CA; or*
- (f) Another offence against a provision of a law of the Commonwealth or another State if the provision –*
 - (i) Is prescribed by regulation; and*
 - (ii) Is in relation to an activity that involves a terrorist act or is preparatory to the carrying out of an activity that involves a terrorist act.*

Terrorist act see the [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.211](#)

⁵⁸⁵ The [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#) was passed on 11 April 2019.

For a detailed explanation of the types of offences subsumed by the definition, see Annexure B.

‘Commonwealth Control Order’ is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to mean:

‘a control order as defined in the Criminal Code (Cwlth), section 100.1(1)’.

Section 100.1(1) of the Criminal Code (Cwlth) states:

- *Control order means an interim control order or a confirmed control order’.*
- *Interim control order means an order made under section 104.4, 104.7 or 104.9*
- *Confirmed control order means an order made under section 104.16*

Note: Justices should be aware that if anything in the material before them, suggests that the defendant may have committed offences of the kind contained within the definition of ‘terrorism offence’, then it would be prudent to confirm with the prosecutor whether the defendant had been convicted of a terrorism offence or been subject to or presently subject to a Commonwealth Control Order for the purposes of ss.13(2) and 13(3) of the *Bail Act 1980*.

CHAPTER 21 – TERRORISM OFFENCES AND BAIL

Assessment of unacceptable risk (Section 16(2)(g) & (h))

When making an assessment of 'unacceptable risk' pursuant to [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#), a magistrate may take into account:

16(2)(g) Any promotion by the defendant of terrorism (s.16(2)(g));

16(2)(h) Any association the defendant has or has had with-

(i) A terrorist organisation within the meaning of the Criminal Code (Cwlth), section 102.1(1); or

(ii) A person who has promoted terrorism (s.16(2)(h)).

Refusal of bail unless exceptional circumstances (Section 16A, Bail Act 1980 & Section 15AA, Crimes Act 1914 (Cth))

In circumstances where a defendant:

- is charged with, or convicted of, a terrorism offence; or
- has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence; or
- is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order; and
- the defendant is an adult,

then bail must be refused unless the court is satisfied that exceptional circumstances exist.

Where the court is satisfied the defendant has made statements or carried out activities supporting, or advocating support for, terrorist acts within the meaning of Part 5.3 of the *Criminal Code* (terrorism), bail must also be refused unless exceptional circumstances exist.

Show cause (Section 16(3))

A defendant will be in a show cause situation if any of the circumstances in s.16(3) arise, for example, the alleged commission of an indictable offence in the course of which the defendant is alleged to have used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon or explosive substance.⁵⁸⁶

⁵⁸⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(c\)](#)

Lack of jurisdiction of Magistrates Court constituted by a Justice/Justices to grant bail in certain circumstances (Section 13(2) & (3))

A Magistrates Court consisting of a Justice/Justices (as distinct from a magistrate) does *not* have jurisdiction to grant bail to a person who:

- a) has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence; or
- b) is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order

21.1 Assessment of unacceptable risk (Section 16(2)(g) &(h))

Subject to [s.16A of the Bail Act 1980](#) (where exceptional circumstances are required - see below at Chapter 21.2), a magistrate has jurisdiction to grant bail in cases involving offences related to terrorism. In such circumstances, in assessing whether there is an unacceptable risk for the purpose of [ss.16, 16\(2\)\(g\) and \(h\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) ⁵⁸⁷ provide that the court or police officer shall have regard to relevant matters including:

(g) Any promotion by the defendant of terrorism;

(h) Any association the defendant has or has had with-

- i. A terrorist organisation within the meaning of the Criminal Code (Cwlth), section 102.1(1); or*
- ii. A person who has promoted terrorism.*

[Section 16\(2B\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) ⁵⁸⁸ provides:

For subsection (2)(g) and (h)(ii), a person has promoted terrorism if the person has-

- (a) carried out an activity to support the carrying out of a terrorist act; or*
- (b) made a statement in support of the carrying out of a terrorist act; or*
- (c) carried out an activity, or made a statement, to advocate the carrying out of a terrorist act or support for the carrying out of a terrorist act.*

⁵⁸⁷ Inserted as a consequence of the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#), assented to on 11 April 2019.

⁵⁸⁸ Inserted as a consequence of the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#), assented to on 11 April 2019.

[Section 16\(2C\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides:

To remove any doubt, it is declared that a reference in subsection (2B) to a terrorist act –

- (a) includes a terrorist act that has not happened; and*
- (b) is not limited to a specific terrorist act.*

Section 16 does not apply in relation to a child.⁵⁸⁹

21.2 Refusal of bail unless exceptional circumstances (Section 16A, *Bail Act 1980* & Section 15AA, *Crimes Act 1914* (Cth),

[Section 16A of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that in circumstances where a defendant has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order, and the defendant is an adult, then bail must be refused unless the court is satisfied that exceptional circumstances exist.⁵⁹⁰

[Section 15AA of the *Crimes Act 1914* \(Cth\)](#) provides that unless there are exceptional circumstances that would justify bail, bail must be refused where the defendant is charged with, or convicted of, certain offences including:⁵⁹¹

- a) a terrorism offence; or
- b) an offence, or ancillary offence,⁵⁹² against a provision of Division 80 (treason, urging violence and advocating terrorism or genocide).

Subsection (2A) provides that exceptional circumstances must exist where the defendant is:⁵⁹³

- a) a person who is subject to a control order within the meaning of Part 5.3 of the *Criminal Code* (terrorism); and
- b) a person who the bail authority is satisfied has made statements or carried out activities supporting, or advocating support for, terrorist attacks within the meaning of that part.

⁵⁸⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(1AA\)](#); see instead [Youth Justice Act 1992 \(Qld\), s.48AA\(2\)](#)

⁵⁹⁰ Inserted as a consequence of the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#), assented to on 11 April 20.

⁵⁹¹ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AA\(2\)](#)

⁵⁹² [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AA\(5\)](#): ancillary offence has the meaning given in the *Criminal Code*

⁵⁹³ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AA\(2A\)](#)

Where the defendant is under 18 years of age, in determining whether exceptional circumstances exist, the court must have regard to:⁵⁹⁴

- a) the protection of the community as the paramount consideration; and
- b) the best interests of the person as a primary consideration.

Where the court grants bail pursuant to s.15AA, the court must state its reasons and cause those reasons to be entered in the court's record.⁵⁹⁵

21.3 'Show cause' (Section 16(3)(c))

A defendant facing terrorism related charges (but who has not been previously convicted of a terrorism offence and who is not and has not been made the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order – see 21.2 above) may be in a show cause situation regarding an application for bail if the defendant is charged with any of the matters listed in [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#). Of most relevance in the context of defendant facing terrorism-related charges, is sub section (c):

An indictable offence in the course of committing which the defendant is alleged to have used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon or explosive substance.

21.4 Lack of jurisdiction of Magistrates Court constituted by a justices or justice to grant bail in certain circumstances (Section 13(2) & (3))

As a consequence of [ss.3, 29\(3\)](#) and [29\(4\) of the Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991](#), a justice of the peace (qualified) or a justice of the peace (Magistrates Court) when constituting a court has power to grant bail. (A justice of the peace (commissioner for declarations) does not have power to grant bail.)⁵⁹⁶

However, due to the operation of [s.13\(2\) & \(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), a Magistrates Court constituted by a justice or justices will not have jurisdiction to grant bail to a person who has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order.

⁵⁹⁴ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AA\(3AA\)](#)

⁵⁹⁵ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AA\(3AAA\)](#)

⁵⁹⁶ [Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991, s.29](#) and [s.3](#), definition of 'procedural action or order'; see detailed discussion Chapter 29.

Sub section (2) and (3) were inserted into [s.13 of the Bail Act 1980](#), as a consequence of the [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#)⁵⁹⁷, and provide that:

- (2) *Only a court may grant bail to a person who –*
(a) has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence; or
(b) is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order.

- (3) *for subsection (2) –*
convicted, of an offence, means found guilty of the offence by a court, on a plea of guilty or otherwise, whether or not a conviction is recorded.

court does not include a justice or justices.

‘Terrorism Offence’ is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#):

- (g) A terrorism offence under the Crimes Act 1914 (Cwlth); or*
(h) An offence against the repealed Crimes (Foreign Incursions and Recruitment) Act 1978 (Cwlth), sections 6 to 9; or
(i) An offence against the Terrorism (Community Protection) Act 2003 (Vic), section 4B; or
(j) An offence against the Crimes Act 1990 (NSW), section 310J; or
(k) An offence against the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), section 83CA; or
(l) Another offence against a provision of a law of the Commonwealth or another State if the provision –
(iii) Is prescribed by regulation; and
(iv) Is in relation to an activity that involves a terrorist act or is preparatory to the carrying out of an activity that involves a terrorist act.

Terrorist act see the [Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.211](#)

For a detailed explanation of the types of offences subsumed by the definition, see Annexure B.

‘Commonwealth Control Order’ is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) to mean:

‘a control order as defined in the Criminal Code (Cwlth), section 100.1(1)’.

⁵⁹⁷ The [Justice Legislation \(Links to Terrorist Activity\) Amendment Act 2019](#) was passed on 11 April 2019.

Section [100.1\(1\) of the Criminal Code \(Cwlth\)](#) states:

- *Control order means an interim control order or a confirmed control order’.*
- *Interim control order means an order made under section 10.4.4, 104.7 or 104.9*
- *Confirmed control order means an order made under section 104.16*

Note: Justices should be aware that if anything in the material before them, suggests that the defendant may have committed offences of the kind contained within the definition of ‘terrorism offence’, then it would be prudent to confirm with the prosecutor whether the defendant had been convicted of a terrorism offence or been subject to or presently subject to a Commonwealth Control Order for the purposes of ss.13(2) and 13(3) of the *Bail Act 1980*.

CHAPTER 22 – ORGANISED CRIME OFFENCES AND BAIL

Show cause

Pursuant to [s.16\(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), defendants facing charges that relate to organised crime, may be in a 'show cause' scenario, including where:

- charged with an offence referred to in s.16(3) (see table below); or
- charged with a breach of an *Control Order* under [s.161Zl of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#); or⁵⁹⁸
- charged with a breach of a public safety order under [s.32 of the Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982](#).⁵⁹⁹

Conditions

Conditions that may be appropriate in case of defendants charged with organised-crime-related matters, are provided as examples in s.16(2) of the *Bail Act 1980* as follows:

- a special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person or person of a particular class; and
- a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or being in the vicinity of a stated place or a place of a stated class.⁶⁰⁰

Sections 16(3A-D) of the *Bail Act 1980* dealing with *participants in criminal organisation* have been repealed.

⁵⁹⁸ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(e\)](#).

⁵⁹⁹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(e\)](#).

⁶⁰⁰ These examples were inserted into [s.16\(2\)](#) when s.16(3A-D) was repealed by the [Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Act 2016](#), in force from 9 December 2016.

22.1 Legislative background

In 2013, [s.16 of the Bail Act 1980](#) was amended by the insertion of s.16(3A-D) to provide that persons alleged to have been a '*participant in a criminal organisation*' were put in a show cause position in relation to bail.⁶⁰¹ However, ss.16(3A-D) has since been repealed.⁶⁰²

When ss.16(3A-D) was repealed by the [Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Act 2016, s.11\(2\)](#) of the *Bail Act 1980* was amended to insert examples of special conditions that may be imposed by a court to '*to protect the public by preventing, restricting or disrupting their involvement in serious criminal activity*' as follows:

- a special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person or person of a particular class; and
- a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or being in the vicinity of a stated place or a place of a stated class.⁶⁰³

In addition, [s.16\(3\)\(e\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) was inserted to provide that persons alleged to have breached an Organised Crime Control Order (pursuant to [s.161ZL of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992](#)) or a public safety order (pursuant to [s.32 of the Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982](#)) are in a show cause position in relation to any application for bail.

22.2 Conditions

The court is empowered by virtue of [s.11\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) to impose '*special conditions as necessary*' to secure a person's attendance or to ensure the person does not, whilst on bail, commit offences, interfere with witnesses or engage the safety or welfare of others.⁶⁰⁴ Such conditions '*must not be more onerous for the person than those that in the opinion of the court or police officer are necessary having regard to the nature of the offence, the circumstances of the defendant and the public interest*'.⁶⁰⁵

In the particular context of organised crime offences, the court may consider:

⁶⁰¹ See [Neale, Re an Application for Bail \[2013\] QSC 310](#) and [Joshua Shane Carew v The Director of Public Prosecutions \[2014\] QSC 001](#).

⁶⁰² [Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Act 2016](#)

⁶⁰³ These examples were inserted into [s.16\(2\)](#) when s.16(3A-D) was repealed by the [Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Act 2016](#).

⁶⁰⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(1\)\(c\)](#).

⁶⁰⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.11\(5\)](#).

- a special condition that prohibits a person from associating with a stated person or person of a particular class; and
- a special condition that prohibits a person from entering or being in the vicinity of a stated place or a place of a stated class.⁶⁰⁶

See Standardised Bail Conditions at Annexure A.

22.3 Organised crime-related offences where defendant in ‘show cause’ position

Some organised crime-related offences involve a reverse onus of proof, meaning that there is no presumption in favour of bail – rather the onus is on the defendant, on the balance of probabilities, to show cause why the defendant’s detention in custody is not justified.⁶⁰⁷

Accordingly, when considering any application for bail, it is necessary to consider the charges before the court to determine if the defendant is in a show cause position or whether he/she is entitled to the presumption in favour of bail.

22.4 Table of ‘show cause’ provisions in Section 16(3) of the Bail Act 1980

Legislative basis	Circumstance of offence charged
s.16(3)(a)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence that is alleged to have been committed while the defendant was at large with or without bail between the date of the defendant’s apprehension and the date of the defendant’s committal for trial or while awaiting trial for another indictable offence.
s.16(3)(b)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence to which s.13 of the Bail Act 1980 applies (murder or a repeat serious child sex offence). Only the Supreme Court may grant bail to defendants falling in this category.

⁶⁰⁶ These examples were inserted into [s.16\(2\)](#) when s.16(3A-D) was repealed by the [Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Act 2016](#).

⁶⁰⁷ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)](#). Also note that on 30 March 2017 the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) came into force, introducing significant amendments to bail in circumstances of offences of domestic violence.

s.16(3)(c)	Where the defendant is charged with an indictable offence in the course of committing which the defendant is alleged to have used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance.
s.16(3)(d)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> ;
s.16(3)(e)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, s.161Z (Contravention of a Control Order) or the Peace and Good Behaviour Act 1982, s.32 (Contravention of a Public Safety Order);
s.16(3)(f)	Where the defendant is charged with an offence against the <i>Criminal Code</i> , s.359 (threats) with a circumstance of aggravation as mentioned in s.359(2) (threat made to a law enforcement officer or person assisting a law enforcement officer, when or because the officer is investigating the activities of a criminal organisation);
s.16(3)(g)	Where the defendant is charged with a ‘<i>relevant offence</i>’ as defined in s.16(7) of the <i>Bail Act 1980</i> ⁶⁰⁸ as: (a) an offence against the Criminal Code, s.315A (Choking, suffocation, or strangulation in a domestic setting); (b) an offence punishable by a maximum penalty of at least seven years imprisonment if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (as defined in the Criminal Code, s.1);⁶⁰⁹ (c) ‘an offence against the Criminal Code, s.75 (threatening violence), s.328A (dangerous operation of a vehicle), s.355 (deprivation of liberty), s.359E46 (stalking) or s.468 (injuring animals) if the offence is also a domestic violence offence (defined the Criminal Code, s.1);⁶¹⁰ (d) An offence against the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.177(2) (Contravention of a Domestic Violence Order) if:

⁶⁰⁸ [Section 16\(7\)](#) was inserted into the *Bail Act 1980* as a consequence of the [Bail \(Domestic Violence\) and Another Act Amendment Act 2017](#) and came into force on 30 March 2017.

⁶⁰⁹ Schedule 1 of the *Criminal Code* defines ‘domestic violence offence’ to mean ‘an offence against an Act, other than the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by a person where the act done, or omission made, which constitutes the offence is also: a) domestic violence or associated domestic violence, under the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, committed by the person, or; b) a contravention of the *Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012*, s.177(2). Be aware that where the person is charged with contravention of s. 177(2) but no other offence, that offence will not be a “domestic violence offence” within the meaning of s. 1 of the *Criminal Code*: [Queensland Police Service v JSB \[2018\] QDC 120](#) [38]-[40].

⁶¹⁰ [Bail Act 1980 \(Qld\), s.16\(7\)\(c\)](#).

	(i) The offence involved the use, threatened use, or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or (ii) The defendant, within five years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence involving the use, threatened use or attempted use of unlawful violence to person or property; or (iii) The defendant, within two years before the commission of the offence, was convicted of another offence against the <i>Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012</i>, s.177(2).
--	--

CHAPTER 23 – WEAPONS OFFENCES AND BAIL

A defendant will be in a show cause position in a bail application where charged with an indictable offence where the defendant used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance.⁶¹¹

23.1 ‘Show cause’ (Section 16(3)(c))

A defendant will be in a show cause position in a bail application where charged with an indictable offence where the defendant used or threatened to use a firearm, offensive weapon, or explosive substance.⁶¹²

In [Williamson v Director of Public Prosecutions \(Qld\)](#) [2001] 1 Qd R 99, Thomas JA with whom McPherson JA and Derrington JA agreed, considered [s.16\(3\)\(c\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) in the context of a defendant who was a party to an armed robbery but who did not physically have possession of the offensive weapon used by the co-defendants. Thomas JA held that s.16(3)(c) should be construed in a broad sense consistent with the party provisions of the *Criminal Code* such that the defendant was in a show cause position with respect to bail.

‘Firearm’, and ‘explosive’ are defined in the dictionary in [Schedule 2 to the Weapons Act 1990](#) as follows:

firearm means—

- (a) *a gun or other thing ordinarily described as a firearm; or*
 - (b) *a thing ordinarily described as a weapon that, if used in the way for which it was designed or adapted, is capable of being aimed at a target and causing death or injury by discharging—*
 - 1. *(i) a projectile; or*
 - 2. *(ii) noxious, corrosive, or irritant liquid, powder, gas, chemical or other substance;**or*
 - (c) *a thing that would be a firearm mentioned in paragraph(a) or (b), if it were not temporarily inoperable or incomplete; or*
 - (d) *a major component part of a firearm;*
- but does not include—*

⁶¹¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(c\)](#)

⁶¹² [Bail Act 1980, s.16\(3\)\(c\)](#)

- (e) *an antique firearm, explosive tool, captive bolt humane killer, spear gun, longbow, or crossbow; or*
- (f) *a replica of a spear gun, longbow, or crossbow; or*
- (g) *a slingshot, shanghai or sword; or*
- (h) *a public monument.*

Example— A replica of a gun capable of causing death or injury by discharging a projectile is a firearm. However, a replica of a gun not capable of causing death or injury by discharging a projectile is not a firearm.

[Schedule 2 of the Explosives Act 1999](#) defines ‘explosive’ to include:

- (a) *a substance or a thing containing a substance, manufactured, or used with a view to produce –*
 - (i) *a practical effect by explosion; or*
 - (ii) *a pyrotechnic effect; and*
- (b) *a substance or thing declared under a regulation to be an explosive.*

Examples of explosives—

ammunition, detonators, gunpowder, nitro-glycerine, pyrotechnics (including fireworks)

offensive weapon is not defined but includes weapons such as a flick knife, club or bludgeon that has no innocent purpose, and also, instruments that are capable of being used both for a lawful and an offensive purpose, such as a walking stick or pitchfork in circumstances where the defendant intended to use the instrument for an unlawful purpose.⁶¹³

⁶¹³ *R v Sutton* (1877) 13 Cox CC 648; *R v Williams* (1878) 14 Cox CC 59.

CHAPTER 24 – INDIGENOUS DEFENDANTS

Submission from the community justice group (Section 15(1)(f) & (2))

In circumstances where the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, [s.15\(1\)\(f\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides the court with the power to receive and take into account the submissions of a community justice group.

Assessment of unacceptable risk (Section 16(2)(e))

In assessing whether an unacceptable risk with respect to the matters set out in [s.16\(1\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), where the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, the court shall have regard to any submission of a community justice group.

Access to court file by representative of community justice group (Section 34C(2) & (3))

[Section 34C\(2\) and \(3\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provides that where a defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, and subject to the court's direction, a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community may inspect a court file, or a document in a court file, or obtain a copy of information from a court file or document, that may be relevant to the making of a submission pursuant to s15(1)(f) or s.16(2)(e).

Application for bail conducted remotely (Section 15A, Bail Act 1980 & Sections 23EC & 178C, Justices Act 1886)

[Section 15A of the Bail Act 1980](#) and [s.23EC of the Justices Act 1886](#) in combination permit a bail application to be heard 'remotely' by audio or video link.

See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities.](#)

Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court)

Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) can determine bail applications and have the power to:

- a) determine bail for a person charged with an offence; and

b) adjourn the matter to another date.

In determining a bail application, a Remote Justice of the Peace has the same powers in relation to bail as any other justice of the peace (Magistrates Court).⁶¹⁴

The Murri Court

The Murri Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine bail applications in accordance with the *Bail Act 1980*. See [Practice Direction No.2 of 2016 \(amended\) – Queensland Murri Court](#)

Aboriginal Children (Section 48AA(4)(a)(vii), Youth Justice Act 1992)

A court considering bail in relation to an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander child may have regard to any submission of a Community Justice Group in the child's community.

24.1 Submission from the community justice group in bail applications (Section 15(1) & (2))

[Section 15 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) sets out the procedure to be followed upon an application for bail. In particular, s.15(1)(f) and (2) relate specifically to circumstances where the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person and provide for the admissibility of submissions of a community justice group.

'Community justice group' is defined in [s.6 of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) to mean:

- (a) The community justice group established under the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Communities (Justice, Land and Other Matters) Act 1984, Part 4, Division 1, for the community of a defendant who is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person; or*
- (b) A group of persons within the community of a defendant who is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, other than a department of government, that is involved in the provision of any of the following –*
 - (i) Information to a court about Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander defendants;*

⁶¹⁴ See Chapter 25 for further information

- (ii) *diversionary, interventionist or rehabilitation activities relating to Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander defendants;*
 - (iii) *other activities relating to local justice issues; or*
- (c) *a group of persons made up of elders or other respected persons of the defendant's community.*

[Sections 15\(1\)\(f\) and \(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) provide:

- (1) *In a proceeding about the release of a person under this part or the Youth Justice Act 1992, Part 5 –*
- ...
- (f) *if the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person – the court may receive and take into account any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community, including for example, about –*
- (i) the defendant's relationship to the defendant's community; or*
 - (ii) any cultural considerations; or*
 - (iii) any considerations relating to programs and services in which the community justice group participates.*
- (2) *If required by a court for subsection (1)(f), a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community must advise the court whether –*
- a) any member of the community justice group that is responsible for the submission is related to the defendant or the victim; or*
 - b) there are any circumstances that give rise to a conflict of interest between any member of the community justice group that is responsible for the submission and the defendant or victim.*

24.2 Refusal of bail (Section 16(2)(e))

[Section 16 of the Bail Act 1980](#) sets out the circumstances where bail may be refused. Section 16(2)(e) specifically provides that in assessing whether there is an unacceptable risk with respect to the matters set out in s.16(1)(a), the court or police officer shall have regard to all matters appearing to be relevant and in particular:

e) *if the defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person – any submission made by a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community, including for example, about –*

- (i) the defendant's relationship to the defendant's community; or*
- (ii) any cultural considerations; or*
- (iii) any considerations relating to programs and services in which the community justice group participates.*

'Defendant's community' is defined in [s.6 of the Bail Act 1980](#) as follows:

***defendant's community**, in relation to a defendant who is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, means the defendant's Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander community, whether it is –*

- (a) an urban community; or*
- (b) a rural community; or*
- (c) a community on DOGIT land under the [Aboriginal Land Act 1991](#) or the [Torres Strait Islander Land Act 1991](#).*

[Section 16\(2\)\(i\) of the Bail Act 1980](#) also requires the court or police officer to have regard to:

- i) the likely effect that refusal of bail would have on –
 - (i) a person with whom the defendant is in a family relationship and for whom the defendant is the primary caregiver; or*
 - (ii) a person with whom the defendant is in an informal care relationship; or*
 - (iii) if the defendant is pregnant – the child of the pregnancy.*

'Family relationship' is defined in s.6 of the *Bail Act 1980* to have the meaning given by the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.19](#).

'Informal care relationship' is defined in s.6 of the *Bail Act 1980* to have the meaning given by the [Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act 2012, s.20](#).

24.3 Access to court files by representative of community justice group in defendant's community (Section 34C)

[Section 34C\(2\) and \(3\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides that where a defendant is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person, and subject to the court's direction, a representative of the community justice group in the defendant's community may inspect a court file, or a document in a court file, or obtain a copy of information from a court file or document, that may be relevant to the making of a submission pursuant to [s15\(1\)\(f\)](#) or [s.16\(2\)\(e\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) (as set out above).

Community justice group members are subject to an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of information gained. A breach of this obligation is an offence under the Act.⁶¹⁵

Section 34C(4) provides that the court may make a direction under s.34C whether or not the representative has made an application to the court for the direction.

Section 34C(5) provides a non-exhaustive⁶¹⁶ list of matters the court may have regard to when making the decision whether to direct that information be made available or given to the representative being:

- (a) whether the representative would otherwise have access to the information;
- (b) whether the defendant consents to the information being made available or given to the representative.

24.4 Applications for bail conducted remotely (Section 15A, *Bail Act 1980* & Sections 23EC & 178C, *Justices Act 1886*)

24.4.1 Generally

[Section 15A of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) provides:

(1) This section applies if—

⁶¹⁵ [Bail Act 1980 \(Qld\) s.34D.](#)

⁶¹⁶ [Bail Act 1980 \(Qld\) s.34C\(6\).](#)

(a) a Magistrates Court (the original court) has jurisdiction under this Act or another Act to hear a bail proceeding; and
(b) a practice direction made by the Chief Magistrate provides for a bail proceeding to be heard by an alternative court under this section.

(2) The bail proceeding may be heard by the alternative court under an Act mentioned in subsection (1)(a) as if the alternative court—

(a) had jurisdiction to hear the bail proceeding; and
(b) were the original court for the purpose of that Act.

(3) In hearing the bail proceeding, the alternative court may make any order for the disposition of the charge the court considers necessary.

(4) In this section—

alternative court means a Magistrates Court for a district or division outside the district or division in which the bail proceeding would otherwise be required to be heard.

A Magistrates Court practice direction issued in accordance with s.15A nominates, for designated locations, alternative courts to hear proceedings by video link or audio link.

See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities.](#)

24.4.2 Applications for bail conducted remotely by audio or video link

[Section 23EC of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that where a Magistrate has jurisdiction to hear a proceeding and the court is authorised to conduct the proceeding using video link facilities or audio link facilities (e.g. authorised under s.178C of the *Justices Act 1886*, and a practice direction has been issued by the Chief Magistrate providing for the proceeding to be conducted by an alternative court (in a different locality jurisdiction) by video or audio link facilities, the alternative court may conduct the proceeding.

See [Practice Direction No.3 of 2015 – Proceedings using video link facilities and audio link facilities.](#)

[Section 178C of the Justices Act 1886](#) allows a court with jurisdiction to hear a criminal proceeding (including a bail proceeding) to hear the matter by video or audio link if the defendant is in prison or in another location but represented by a lawyer. The section provides that where a defendant is in custody and is required or entitled to be present before a Magistrates Court for a proceeding relating to the person's bail, and where the prison has audio or video link facilities, the proceeding must be conducted using the video link facilities, unless the primary court, in the interests of justice, otherwise orders.

Section 178C of the *Justices Act 1886* also provides that where the defendant is required or entitled to be present before a Magistrates Court for a proceeding relating to the person's bail, but who is not held in custody but who is represented by a lawyer and present at another place that the presiding magistrate considers suitable for the conduct of the proceeding and where video or audio link facilities are available, the primary court may, in the interests of justice, order the proceedings to be conducted by video or audio link.

Accordingly, in appropriate circumstances, a court with jurisdiction may be constituted in one place and the defendant may appear by video or telephone from outside that court's district. Furthermore, if a practice direction so provides, an alternative court lacking locality jurisdiction may hear a proceeding by video or telephone in place of the court with jurisdiction to hear the matter.

24.5 Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) program

The Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) Program allows Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) to constitute a Magistrate Court in circumstances where a magistrate is not available, in discrete Queensland communities. The program's inception was a result of the Queensland Government's response to the recommendations of the [1991 Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody](#).

As part of the program, the Remote Justices of the Peace court is constituted by two Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) who are also respected Elders or Respected Persons from within the community.

Remote Justices of the Peace (Magistrates Court) can determine bail applications and have the power to:

- a) determine bail for a person charged with an offence; and
- b) adjourn the matter to another date.

In determining a bail application, a Remote Justice of the Peace has the same powers in relation to bail as any other Justice of the Peace (Magistrates Court).⁶¹⁷

Remote JP Courts currently operate in Cherbourg and Kowanyama, Lockhart River, Mornington Island, Aurukun, Bamaga and Pormpuraaw.

24.6 The Murri Court and bail

One of the published goals of the Murri Court is *‘to encourage defendants’ attendance and engagement with support services while on bail’*. To that end, matters may be referred to the Murri Court for an assessment of suitability, and if found to be suitable, matters relating to bail and sentencing may be dealt with in the Murri Court.

24.6.1 Referral

The referral of a defendant to the Murri Court may only be made with the defendant’s consent and may occur as a result of the defendant’s own referral, the defendant’s legal representatives, upon a recommendation by the community justice group representative or at the instigation of the magistrate.

Prior to referring a defendant to the Murri Court, a Magistrate must first be satisfied that the following eligibility criteria are met:

- a) the defendant identifies as an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person or has a kinship or appropriate connection to an Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander community, either in Queensland or elsewhere; and
- b) the offence falls within the jurisdiction of the Magistrates Court or Childrens Court; and
- c) a guilty plea is entered, or the defendant intends to plead guilty; and
- d) the defendant is on bail or has been granted bail; and
- e) the defendant consents to participate fully in the Murri Court process.

⁶¹⁷ See Chapter 25 for further information

24.6.2 Procedure upon referral

Where the referring magistrate is satisfied the eligibility criteria (as set out above), there is a presumption in favour of adjourning the matter to Murri Court.

The referring court may adjourn the matter and grant bail to the defendant in accordance with the *Bail Act 1980* for a period between two and four weeks from the date of referral to allow sufficient time for the assessment to occur.

In determining whether to grant bail for the period of the assessment, the referring magistrate may consider any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group pursuant to [s.16\(2\)\(e\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#).

Where a magistrate decides not to refer a defendant to Murri Court, the magistrate will provide his or her reasons to the defendant and the matter will proceed as per usual court process.

24.6.3 Bail issued by a Murri Court Magistrate

Where a matter has been referred to the Murri Court and the defendant has been assessed as suitable and the Murri Court magistrate endorses the Murri Court Entry Report, the Murri Court magistrate may grant bail in accordance with the *Bail Act 1980* but should impose a condition under [s.11\(9\) of the *Bail Act 1980*](#) that the defendant participate in Murri Court.

Pursuant to s.16(2)(e) of the *Bail Act 1980*, when considering whether to grant bail, the Murri Court magistrate may have regard to any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group.

24.6.4 Breach of bail in the Murri Court

Where a defendant with matters before the Murri Court breaches the defendant's bail, the prosecutor, or the defendant's legal representative (if any) or the defendant, may make an application to the court to vary the defendant's bail.

If an application to vary the defendant's bail is not made to the court, the defendant's bail will be reviewed by the Murri Court magistrate at the next Murri Court progress mention.

Where the defendant commits further offences while on bail in Murri Court, the Murri Court magistrate may consider transferring any additional offences to the Murri Court in accordance with the *Justices Act 1886*.

See [Practice Direction No.2 of 2016 \(amended\) – Queensland Murri Court](#)

24.7 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children (Section 48AA(4)(a)(vii), Youth Justice Act 1992)

[Section 48AA\(4\)\(a\)\(vii\) of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides that where a court or police officer is making a decision whether to release a child from custody, and if so, whether to do so without bail or to grant bail, the court may have regard to:

‘(vii) if the child is an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander person – any submissions made by a representative of the community justice group in the child’s community, including for example, about -

- A) the child’s connection with the child’s community, family, or kin; or*
- B) cultural considerations; or*
- C) considerations relating to programs and services established for offenders in which the community justice group participates;’*

Additionally, [s.48AC of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#) provides in respect of a representative of a community justice group making a submission to a court or police officer:

‘(2) The representative must, if required by the court or police officer, advise the court or police officer whether—

- (a) a member of the community justice group is related to the child or the victim of the offence with which the child has been charged; or*
- (b) there are circumstances that give rise to a conflict of interest between a member of the community justice group and the child or victim of the offence.’*

CHAPTER 25 - COMMONWEALTH OFFENCES AND BAIL

Application of *Bail Act 1980* to Commonwealth offences

The [Bail Act 1980](#) applies to all persons charged with an offence against the laws of the Commonwealth and who appear before a magistrate in Queensland.

Magistrate's power in circumstances of suspected breach of bail conditions imposed on Commonwealth charge

Where a police officer arrests a person for a suspected breach of bail concerning conditions imposed relating to a Commonwealth charge, the magistrate has jurisdiction under the *Bail Act 1980* (in circumstances where the conditions were imposed in Queensland) or [the Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y](#) (in circumstances where the conditions were imposed in another State or Territory or by the Federal Court of Australia) to release the person unconditionally, to release the person with conditions or where appropriate, to remand the person in custody in order that a warrant for the person's apprehension is issued by the proper State or Territory, or Federal Court of Australia.

Bail not to be granted to various persons charged with, or convicted of, certain Commonwealth child sex offences (Section 15AAA, Crimes Act 1914 (Cth))

[Section 15AAA of the Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\)](#) creates a presumption against bail where a defendant is charged with certain Commonwealth child sex offences listed in [s.16AAA](#) and [s.16AAB\(2\)](#).

25.1 Application of *Bail Act 1980* to Commonwealth offences

As a consequence of [ss.68, 79](#) and [80 of the Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\)](#), the *Bail Act 1980* applies to all persons charged with an offence against the laws of the Commonwealth and who appear before a magistrate in Queensland.

[Section 68 of the Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\)](#) provides that 'the laws of a State or Territory . for holding an accused person to bail, shall apply to persons who are charged with offences against the laws of the Commonwealth...'.

[Section 79\(1\) of the *Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\)*](#) provides that ‘the law of each State or Territory, including the laws relating to procedure, evidence, and the competency of witnesses, shall except as otherwise provided by the Constitution, or the laws of the Commonwealth, be binding on all Courts exercising federal jurisdiction in that State or Territory in all cases to which they are applicable’.

[Section 80 of the *Judiciary Act 1903 \(Cth\)*](#) provides that the common law in Australia as modified by the Constitution and by the statute law in force in the State or Territory in which the Court in which the jurisdiction is exercised, shall apply to the extent that it is not inconsistent with the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth.

25.2 Apprehension of defendant in Queensland on bail for Commonwealth offences (Section 3Y, *Crimes Act 1914 (Cth)*)

A police officer may, without warrant, arrest a person who has been released on bail for a Commonwealth offence if the police officer believes on reasonable grounds that the person has contravened or is about to contravene a condition of bail, notwithstanding that the condition was imposed in a State or Territory other than Queensland.⁶¹⁸

If a police officer makes such an arrest the person must be brought before a magistrate as soon as possible.⁶¹⁹

If a police officer arrests a person in Queensland and the bail condition was imposed in Queensland, the person is to be dealt with in accordance with the *Bail Act 1980*.⁶²⁰

If a police officer arrests a person in Queensland in circumstances where the bail conditions were imposed in another State or Territory, the magistrate may:

- (a) release the person unconditionally⁶²¹ ([s.3Y\(5\) of the *Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\)*](#) provides that an unconditional release does not affect the operation of the bail order, or the conditions of the bail imposed in the other State or Territory); or

⁶¹⁸ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(1\).](#)

⁶¹⁹ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(2\).](#)

⁶²⁰ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(3\)](#) as a consequence of [Judiciary Act 1903 \(Qld\) s.68.](#)

⁶²¹ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(4\)\(a\).](#)

- (b) admit the person to bail on such recognisances as the court thinks fit to appear again before the same court at such time as the court orders; or ⁶²²
- (c) remand the person in custody for a reasonable time pending the obtaining of a warrant for the apprehension of the person from the State or Territory or Federal Court of Australia that imposed the bail condition. ⁶²³

25.3 Bail not to be granted to various persons charged with, or convicted of, certain Commonwealth child sex offences (Sections 15AAA, 16AAA & 16 AAB(2), Crimes Act 1914 (Cth))

The [Crimes Legislation Amendment \(Sexual Crimes Against Children & Community Protection Measures\) Act 2020 \(Cth\)](#) amended the *Crimes Act 1914 (Cth)* through the insertion of section 15AAA which creates a presumption against bail in relation to discrete offences listed in sections 16AAA and 16AAB(2) of that Act.

The presumption against bail applies to the following offences regardless of any previous convictions for child sexual abuse convictions:⁶²⁴

- Sexual intercourse with child outside Australia⁶²⁵
- Sexual activity (other than sexual intercourse) with child outside Australia;⁶²⁶
- Aggravated offence – sexual intercourse or other sexual activity with child outside Australia;⁶²⁷
- Persistent sexual abuse of child outside Australia;⁶²⁸
- Benefiting from, encouraging, or preparing for sexual offences against children outside Australia;⁶²⁹
- Aggravated offence of possessing, controlling, producing, distributing, or obtaining child abuse material outside Australia – offence involving conduct on three or more occasions and two or more people;⁶³⁰
- Aggravated offence of using a postal or similar service for child abuse material – offence involving conduct on three or more occasions and two or more people;⁶³¹

⁶²² [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(4\)\(b\).](#)

⁶²³ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.3Y\(4\)\(c\).](#)

⁶²⁴ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), s.16AAA](#)

⁶²⁵ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), ss.272.8\(1\) and 272.8\(2\)](#)

⁶²⁶ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), ss.272.9\(1\) and 272.9\(2\)](#)

⁶²⁷ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), s.272.10](#)

⁶²⁸ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), s.272.11](#)

⁶²⁹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), ss. 272.18 and 272.19](#)

⁶³⁰ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), s273.7](#)

⁶³¹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\), s.471.22](#)

- Conduct for the purposes of electronic service used for child abuse material;⁶³²
- Aggravated offence – offence involving conduct on three or more occasions and two or more people;⁶³³
- Using a carriage service for sexual activity with person under 16 years of age;⁶³⁴
- Aggravated offence of using a carriage service for sexual activity with person under 16 years of age – using a carriage service for sexual activity with person under 16 years of age.⁶³⁵

The presumption against bail applies to the following in circumstances where the defendant has been previously convicted of a child sexual abuse offence:⁶³⁶

- Sexual intercourse with young person outside Australia – defendant in position of trust or authority;⁶³⁷
- Sexual activity (other than sexual intercourse) with young person outside Australia – defendant in position of trust or authority;⁶³⁸
- Procuring child to engage in sexual activity outside Australia;⁶³⁹
- “Grooming” child to engage in sexual activity outside Australia;⁶⁴⁰
- “Grooming” person to make it easier to engage in sexual activity with a child outside Australia;⁶⁴¹
- Preparing for or planning offence of benefitting from, encouraging, or preparing for sexual offences against children outside Australia;⁶⁴²
- Possessing, controlling, producing, distributing, or obtaining child abuse material outside Australia;⁶⁴³
- Possession of child-like sex dolls;⁶⁴⁴
- Using a postal or similar service for child abuse material;⁶⁴⁵

⁶³² [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.23A

⁶³³ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.24A

⁶³⁴ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.474.25A(1) and 474.25A(2)

⁶³⁵ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.25B

⁶³⁶ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.16AAB(2)

⁶³⁷ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.272.12(1) and 272.12(2)

⁶³⁸ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.272.13(1) and 272.13(2)

⁶³⁹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.272.14(1)

⁶⁴⁰ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.272.15(1)

⁶⁴¹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.272.15A(1)

⁶⁴² [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.272.20(1)

⁶⁴³ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.273.6(1)

⁶⁴⁴ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.273A.1

⁶⁴⁵ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.471.19(1) and 471.19(2)

- Possessing, controlling, producing, supplying, or obtaining child abuse material for use through a postal or similar service;⁶⁴⁶
- Using a postal or similar service to procure persons under 16;⁶⁴⁷
- Using a postal or similar service to “groom” persons under 16;⁶⁴⁸
- Using a postal or similar service to “groom” another person to make it easier to procure persons under 16;⁶⁴⁹
- Using a postal or similar service to send indecent material to persons under 16;⁶⁵⁰
- Using a carriage service for child abuse material;⁶⁵¹
- Possessing or controlling child abuse material obtained and accessed using a carriage service;⁶⁵²
- Possessing, controlling, producing, supplying, or obtaining child abuse material for use through a carriage service;⁶⁵³
- Using a carriage service to procure persons under 16 years of age;⁶⁵⁴
- Using a carriage service to “groom” persons under 16 years of age;⁶⁵⁵
- Using a carriage service to “groom” another person to make it easier to procure persons under 16 years of age;⁶⁵⁶
- Using a carriage service to transmit indecent communication to persons under 16 years of age.⁶⁵⁷

In determining whether circumstances exist to grant bail the court must take the following into account:⁶⁵⁸

- a) whether the person would be likely to fail to appear;
- b) whether the person would be likely to commit a further offence;
- c) whether the person would be likely to put at risk the safety of the community or cause a person to suffer any harm;
- d) whether the person would be likely to conceal, fabricate or destroy evidence or intimidate a witness;

⁶⁴⁶ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.471.20(1)

⁶⁴⁷ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.471.24(1), 471.24(2) and 471.24(3)

⁶⁴⁸ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.471.25(1), 471.25(2) and 471.25(3)

⁶⁴⁹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.471.25A(1), 471.25A(2) and 471.25A(3)

⁶⁵⁰ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), 471.26(10)

⁶⁵¹ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), 474.22(1)

⁶⁵² [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.22A(1)

⁶⁵³ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.23(1)

⁶⁵⁴ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.474.26(1), 474.26(2) and 474.26(3)

⁶⁵⁵ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.474.27(1), 474.27(2) and 474.27(3)

⁶⁵⁶ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), ss.474.27AA(1), 474.27AA(2) and 474.27AA(3)

⁶⁵⁷ [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), s.474.27A(1)

⁶⁵⁸ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\)](#), s.15AAA(2)

- e) whether the person was aged 18 years or over when the offence was committed;
- f) where the person has pleaded guilty or has been convicted of the offence, whether the person would not be likely to undertake a rehabilitation program, or not be likely to comply with any bail conditions relating to rehabilitation or treatment, while released on bail.

Where the court grants bail pursuant to [s.15AAA of the Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\)](#), the court must state its reasons and cause those reasons to be entered in the court's record.⁶⁵⁹

⁶⁵⁹ [Crimes Act 1914 \(Cth\), s.15AAA\(3\)](#)

CHAPTER 26 – JUSTICES

A Justice of the Peace (Qualified) or a Justice of the Peace (Magistrates Court) when constituting a court has power to grant bail ([s.3, ss.29\(3\) and \(4\) Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declaration Act 1991](#)).

In contrast, a Justice of the Peace (Commissioner for Declarations) *does not* have power to grant bail.⁶⁶⁰

However, a Justice or Justices do not have jurisdiction to grant bail to a person who has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order.

26.1 Categories of Justices

The Justices of the *Peace and Commissioners for Declaration Act 1991* provides that there are three types of Justices of the Peace:

- 1) Justice of the Peace (Qualified);
- 2) Justice of the Peace (Magistrates Court); and
- 3) Justice of the Peace (Commissioner for Declarations).

26.2 The powers conferred on a Justice of the Peace

26.2.1 Generally

[Section 29 of the Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991](#) generally provides:

(1)(a) subject to subsections (3) and (5), a justice of the peace has the powers conferred on the justice of the peace by the Justices Act 1886 or any other Act.

26.2.2 Justice of the Peace (Qualified)

In the case of Justices of the Peace (Qualified), s.29 (3) provides that:

⁶⁶⁰ [Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991, s.29\(5\)](#)

*‘... a justice of the peace (qualified), in the exercise of any power to constitute a court for the purpose of a proceeding is limited to taking or making **a procedural action order**’.*

Section 3 of the *Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declaration Act 1991* defines a ‘procedural action or order’ to include ‘the granting of bail’.

26.2.3 Justice of the Peace (Magistrates Court)

In the case of justices of the peace (Magistrates Court), s.29(4) similarly provides that:

*‘... a justice of the peace (Magistrates Court), in the exercise of any power to constitute a court for the purpose of a proceedings is limited to...(c) taking or making **a procedural action or order**’.*

As indicated above, s.3 of the *Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declaration Act 1991* defines a ‘procedural action or order’ to include ‘the granting of bail’.

26.2.4 Justice of the Peace (Commissioner for Declarations)

In contrast, in the case of Justices of the Peace (Commissioner for Declarations) s.29(5) provides that:

‘... a justice of the peace (commissioner for declarations) is limited to the exercise of the powers of a commissioner for declarations’.

Section 29(8) states that a Commissioner for Declarations:

- (a) has and may exercise all the powers conferred on a commission for declarations by any Act or law; and*
- (b) may take any affidavit or attest any instrument or document that may be taken or attested under any Act or law.*

It is of note that s.29(8) does not include the power of the Commissioner for declarations to grant bail.

26.3 Other relevant provisions

[Section 24 of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that a single justice may do all necessary acts preliminary to a hearing of a matter.

[Section 35 of the Justices Act 1886](#) provides that when a person is apprehended offending against the laws and is brought before a justice, the justice may deal with the person according to law.

Because of [s.19\(2\) of the Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991](#) a clerk of the court or registrar of a Magistrates Court (not being a police officer) who is also an admitted lawyer is a Justice of the Peace (Magistrates Court) or if not admitted as a lawyer is a Justice of the Peace (Qualified).

In contrast, by virtue of s.19(3) of the *Justices of the Peace and Commissioners for Declarations Act 1991*, every clerk 18 years or older employed as an officer of the public service in the office of the Supreme Court, District Court, or a Magistrates Court without further appointment, for the duration of their employment, is a commissioner for declarations.

26.4 Lack of jurisdiction by a justice or justices to grant bail in terrorism related circumstances (Section 13(2) &(3))

Under [s.13\(2\) of the Bail Act 1980](#), only a court may grant bail to a person who has previously been convicted of a terrorism offence or is or has been the subject of a Commonwealth Control Order. A court is defined as not including a justice or justices.⁶⁶¹

See Chapter 21 – Terrorism Offences and Bail at 21.4 and [Chapter 6.5 of the Justices of the Peace Handbook – Hearing a bail application](#)

⁶⁶¹ [Bail Act 1980, s.13\(3\)](#).

CHAPTER 27 – FORMS

Forms relevant to bail

[Form 05](#) - Notice to person permitted to go at large – Version 2 ⁶⁶²

[Form 07](#) – Undertaking as to bail – Version 5, approved 11 July 2023 ⁶⁶³

[Form 08](#) – Notice to defendant of Undertaking as to Bail – Version 4 ⁶⁶⁴

[Form 09](#) – Undertaking as to bail – Committal for Trial or Sent – Version 3 ⁶⁶⁵

[Form 10](#) – Undertaking as to bail following grant of bail by Judge of the Supreme or District Court or Court of Appeal – Version 5 , approved 23 June 2023 ⁶⁶⁶

[Form 11](#) – Affidavit of justification.

[Form 12](#) – Warrant to apprehend a defendant where surety gives false information.

[Form 14](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant on application by surety.

[Form 15](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant who has not appeared in accordance with undertaking.

[Form 16](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant for failure to surrender into custody.

[Form 19](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant on application for revocation or variation of bail.

[Form 20](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant on application for revocation or variation of bail.

[Form 25](#) – Warrant of apprehension of person released under s. 11A.

[Form 26](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant for failing to enter into undertaking.

[Form 28](#) – Warrant to apprehend defendant for failing to enter into police bail undertaking.

[Form 68](#) – Application by child applicant to vary bail – Version 1 approved 13 November 2018.

⁶⁶² [Bail Act 1980, s.14A\(2\)](#)

⁶⁶³ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(1\)](#)

⁶⁶⁴ [Bail Act 1980, s.20\(5\)\(b\)](#)

⁶⁶⁵ [Bail Act 1980, s.20](#)

⁶⁶⁶ [Bail Act 1980, s.20](#)

[Form 69](#) – Application by the Director of Public Prosecutions to revoke or vary bail – Child
- Version 1 approved 13 November 2018.

[Form 70](#) – Application for bail pending sentence review –Child - Version 1 approved 13
November 2018.

For forms listed on the Queensland Courts website, see

<https://www.courts.qld.gov.au/about/forms>

ANNEXURES

ANNEXURE A – STANDARDISED BAIL CONDITIONS

ANNEXURE B - TYPES OF OFFENCES SUBSUMED BY DEFINITION OF 'TERRORISM OFFENCE' IN SECTION 6 OF BAIL ACT 1980 (CHAPTERS 20 AND 21)

ANNEXURE C – PRESCRIBED INDICTABLE OFFENCES TRIGGERING SHOW CAUSE UNDER s48AF YOUTH JUSTICE ACT 1992

ANNEXURE A - Standardised Bail Conditions

1. Surety

You are allowed bail on your own undertaking to appear in court when required

- ☐ with a cash deposit of money in the sum of \$..... and/or
- ☐ with a security in the form of to the value of \$ and/or
- ☐ with one surety in the sum of \$..... or two sureties each in the sum of \$.....

Note: A “security” is a document that shows that you own something of value that you will lose (it will go to the State of Queensland) if you do not appear in court when you are required to.

A “surety” is a person who agrees to give an amount of money to the State of Queensland if you do not appear in court when you are required to.

2. Residential condition

You must live at (address).

You cannot live at another address unless, before you move to the other address, you have the written permission of the **Officer-in-Charge of (police station)/ Director of Public Prosecutions to live at the other address;**

3. Curfew condition

You are on a curfew.

You must be at your bail address between (time) and (time)

During these times, you must answer the door to a police officer when you are asked to.

4. Reporting condition

You must report to the Officer-in-Charge of **(police station)** between **(time)** and **(time)** every (day/s) starting from (day and date) unless you have written permission from the **Officer in charge of Police (police station)/ Director of Public Prosecutions not to report on a certain day or days.**

5. No contact conditions.

(a) *Complainant*

You must not contact or communicate with, or attempt to contact or communicate with, either directly or indirectly, (name of complainant/s).

(b) *Witnesses*

You must not contact or communicate with, or attempt to contact or communicate with, either directly or indirectly (name of witnesses).

(c) *Named person/s*

You must not contact or communicate with, or attempt to contact or communicate with, either directly or indirectly, (name of person).

(d) *Co-accused/s*

You must not contact or communicate with, or attempt to contact or communicate with, either directly or indirectly, your co-offenders (name of co-accused/s).

Note: “contact or communicate with” includes –

meeting;

speaking to;

telephoning;

sending a text or social media message to;

sending or delivering a written or typed note or letter to;

contacting on social media; or

getting in contact within any other way.

“directly” means you contacting or communicating, or attempting to contact or communicate;

“indirectly” means getting someone else to contact or communicate, or attempt to contact or communicate, for you.

6. Attend or remain

You must not go to (place or area).

7. Banning conditions

- (a) You must not go to or enter (name of licensed premises/class of licensed premises).
- (b) You must not go to or enter (licensed premises or stated class of licensed premises) between (time) and (time).
- (c) You must not go within (distance from/or location in relation to) of (licensed premises or stated class of licensed premises).
- (d) You must not go to (stated event).

8. No drink/drugs

(a) *BAC*

At all times, your blood-alcohol content must be less than (BAC)

You must take part in any alcohol test required by a police officer (and/or other official) by providing a sample of your breath or urine when required to do so.

(b) *Nil alcohol*

You are not allowed to drink alcohol.

You must take part in any alcohol test required by a police officer (and/or other official) by providing a sample of your breath or urine when required to do so.

(c) *Nil drugs*

You are not allowed to use or possess illegal drugs.

You must take part in drug testing required by a police officer by providing a sample of your saliva, urine or blood when required to do so.

Note: If you must take part in drug testing, then the drug testing will happen in this way:

- a) you will have to pay for it if it costs money;
- b) your breath, saliva, urine, or blood or more than one of these may be tested; and
- c) within seven days after the test, the test results will be sent to the Officer in Charge (police station)/the Bail Department at Director of Public Prosecutions located at 50 Ann Street, Brisbane 4001, GPO Box 2403, Email: DPP.SCBAIL@justice.qld.gov.au, Phone: 07 3239 6840 or Fax: 07 3006 8193. You do not have to arrange for the results to be sent to the Officer in Charge or the Bail Department.

9. Attend program

You must take part in (program) and obey the rules and conditions of the program.

You must report when you are told to report and obey the rules and conditions of the **Murri Court Process**.

10. Attend drug/alcohol rehabilitation program

You must take part in (program) and obey all the rules and conditions of the program.

You must sign a document called an “Authority” (one is attached) which allows the people running the program to contact the Officer in charge of (police station) if:

- you leave, or are asked to leave the program,
- your performance on the program is not satisfactory,
- you do not obey the rules and conditions of the program, or
- you breach a condition of bail.

11. Surrender of passport

You must not be released from custody until your current passport has been handed to the Registrar of the (Court type) at (location) and a document called a ‘receipt for passport’ has been given to you or the person handing in your passport for you.

You must not apply for a passport while you are on bail for this/these charge/s.

12. Leaving Australia

You must not leave or attempt to leave Australia unless, before you leave or attempt to leave, you have the written permission of the Officer-in-Charge of (police station)/ Director of Public Prosecutions to leave.

You must not enter or attempt to enter an international terminal, which includes an international airport or an international seaport. unless, before you enter or attempt to enter, you have the written permission of the Officer-in-Charge of (police station)/ Director of Public Prosecutions to enter.

13. Cannot depart from Queensland

You must not leave the State of Queensland unless, before you depart or attempt to depart, you have the written permission of the Officer-in-Charge of (police station)/ Director of Public Prosecutions to depart.

14. Medical examination

You must permit (Dr) to examine you and you must co-operate in the examination.

AUTHORITY

To:

Address

I, **APPL NAME** authorise

NAME OF REHAB

or their nominee to advise the **Officer in Charge (police station)** if:

- I leave the centre;
- I am asked to leave the centre for any reason;
- I fail to perform satisfactorily on any of the rehabilitation programs or submit to any alcohol and drug testing as directed by the **NAME OF REHAB** ;
- I fail to comply with any rules of the rehabilitation program or any directions given to me by the staff of **NAME OF REHAB**
- I fail to comply in any respect with my conditions of bail.

ANNEXURE B – Explanation of types of offences subsumed by the definition of ‘Terrorism Offence’ in Section 6 *Bail Act 1980*

Section 6 <i>Bail Act</i> – ‘Terrorism Offence’		
(a) A terrorism offence under the Crimes Act 1914 (Cwlth)	Section 3 of the Crimes Act 1914 (Cth) defines terrorism offence as: (a) an offence against Subdivision A of Division 72 of the Criminal Code (Cth) or (aa) an offence against Subdivision B of Division 80 of the Criminal Code (Cth); or (b) An offence against Part 5.3 or Part 5.5 of the Criminal Code (Cth)	Subdivision A of Division 72 of the Criminal Code (Cth) is headed: ‘International terrorist activities using explosive or lethal devices.’ Subdivision B of Division 80 of the Criminal Code (Cth) is headed: ‘Treason’. Part 5.3 of the Criminal Code (Cth) is headed: ‘Terrorism’. Part 5.5 of the Criminal Code (Cth) is headed: ‘Foreign Incursions and Recruitment’. Within Part 5.3, s.100.1(1) defines ‘Terrorist Act’ as follows terrorist act means an action or threat of action where: (a) the action falls within subsection (2) and does not fall within subsection (3); and (b) the action is done, or the threat is made with the intention of advancing a political, religious, or ideological cause; and (c) the action is done, or the threat is made with the intention of: (i) coercing, or influencing by intimidation, the government of the Commonwealth or a State, Territory, or foreign country, or of part of a State, Territory, or foreign country; or (ii) intimidating the public or a section of the public. (2) Action falls within this subsection if it:

		(a) causes serious harm that is physical harm to a person; or (b) causes serious damage to property; or (c) causes a person's death; or (d) endangers a person's life, other than the life of the person taking the action; or (e) creates a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or a section of the public; or (f) seriously interferes with, seriously disrupts, or destroys, an electronic system including, but not limited to: (i) an information system; or (ii) a telecommunications system; or (iii) a financial system; or (iv) a system used for the delivery of essential government services; or (v) a system used for, or by, an essential public utility; or (vi) a system used for, or by, a transport system. (3) Action falls within this subsection if it: (a) is advocacy, protest, dissent, or industrial action; and (b) is not intended: (i) to cause serious harm that is physical harm to a person; or (ii) to cause a person's death; or (iii) to endanger the life of a person, other than the person taking the action; or (iv) to create a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or a section of the public. Part 4 of the Charter of the United Nations Act 1945 is headed: 'Security Council decisions that relate to terrorism and dealings with assets. Part 5 of the Charter of the United Nations Act 1945 is headed: 'Offences relating to UN sanctions.
	(c) an offence against either of the following provisions of the Charter of the United Nations Act 1945: (i) Part 4 of that Act; (ii) Part 5 of that Act, to the extent that it relates to the Charter of the United Nations (Sanctions—	

	<u>Al-Qaida) Regulations 2008.</u>	
(b) An offence against the repealed <u>Crimes (Foreign Incursions and Recruitment) Act 1978 (Cth)</u> , ss 6 to 9.	Section 6 ‘Incursions into foreign States with intention of encouraging hostile activities.’ Section 7 ‘Preparations for incursions into foreign States for purpose of engaging in hostile activities’ Section 8 ‘Recruiting persons to join organizations engaged in hostile activities against foreign governments’ Section 9 ‘Recruiting persons to serve in or with an armed force in a foreign State’	
(c) An offence against the <u>Terrorism (Community Protection) Act 2003 (Vic)</u> , s. 4B;	Section 4B ‘Providing documents or information facilitating terrorist acts’	‘Terrorist act’ is defined in s.4 in identical terms to that used in Part 5.3 of the <u>Criminal Code (Cth)</u> as set out above.
(d) An offence against the <u>Crimes Act 1990 (NSW)</u> , s.310J.	Section 310J ‘Membership of terrorist organisation’	‘Terrorist organisation’ has the meaning given by s.102.1 of the <u>Criminal Code (Cth)</u> being: (a) An organisation that is directly or indirectly engaged in, preparing, planning, assisting in or fostering the doing of a terrorist act; or (b) An organisation that is specified by the regulations for the purposes of this paragraph [Note that prior to nominating an organisation in the regulations the AFP Minister must be satisfied on reasonable grounds that the organisation is directly or indirectly engaged in, preparing, planning, assisting in or fostering the doing of a terrorist act; or

		Advocates the doing of a terrorist act. 'Terrorist Act' under the Criminal Code (Cth) is defined above.
(e) An offence against the Criminal Law Consolidation Act 1935 (SA), s.83CA;	Section 83CA 'Information for Terrorist Acts' A person who without reasonable excuse collects or makes a record of information of a kind likely to be of practical use to a person committing or preparing a terrorist act; or has possession of a document or record containing information of that kind, is guilty of an offence.	
(f) Another offence against a provision of a law of the Commonwealth or another State if the provision – ii. Is prescribed by regulation; and iii. Is in relation to an activity that involves a terrorist act or is preparatory to the carrying out of an activity that involves a terrorist act.	<i>Terrorist act</i> – see the Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000, s.211.	'Terrorist act' as defined in s.211 of the PPRA: (1) An action is a 'terrorist act' if – (a) It does any of the following- i. Causes serious harm that is physical harm to a person. ii. Causes serious damage to property; iii. Causes a person's death; iv. Endangers the life of someone other than the person taking the action; v. Creates a serious risk to the health or safety of the public or a section of the public; vi. Seriously interferes with, seriously disrupts, or destroys an electronic system; and (b) It is done with the intention of advancing a political, religious, or ideological cause; and (c) It is done with the intention of – i. Coercing or influencing by intimidation, the government of the

		Commonwealth, a State, or a foreign country, or of part of a State or a foreign country; or ii. Intimidating the public or a section of the public.
--	--	---

ANNEXURE C – PRESCRIBED INDICTABLE OFFENCES TRIGGERING SHOW CAUSE UNDER s48AF YOUTH JUSTICE ACT 1992

Definition of “prescribed indictable offence” is contained in [Schedule Four of the Youth Justice Act 1992](#).

- a) Life offence
- b) an offence of a type that, if committed by an adult, would make the adult liable to imprisonment for 14 years or more, other than an offence against [s 9\(1\) of the Drugs Misuse Act 1986](#) for which the maximum penalty is 15 years imprisonment; or
- c) An offence against [ss 315A, 323, 328A, 339, 408A\(1\)](#) if offence involves motor vehicle, [408A\(1\)](#) to which sub section (2) applies, [412](#) and [421\(1\) of the Criminal Code \(Qld\)](#).

This list is subject to legislative amendments and the relevant legislation should be referred to when using this guide.

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
(a) Life Offences		
Criminal Code Act 1899 (Qld)		
54A(4)	Demands with menaces upon agencies of government	Life imprisonment
61	Riot: if the offender causes GBH to a person, causes an explosive substance to explode or destroys or starts to destroy a building, vehicle, or machinery	
80	Piracy	
124(2)	Perjury: if the offender commits the crime in order to procure the conviction of another person for a crime punishable with imprisonment for life	
131(2)	Conspiracy to bring false accusation: if the offence is such that a person convicted of it is liable to be sentenced to imprisonment for life	
213(3)(a)	Owner permitting abuse of children on premises: where the proscribed act is one defined to constitute an offence in section 215	
215 (4A)	Engaging in penile intercourse with a child under 16 if the child is a person with an impairment of the mind	
216(3)(a) & (b)	Abuse of persons with an impairment of the mind	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
	- if the offender is the guardian of the person or has the person under their care; and - engaged or attempted to engage in unlawful penile intercourse with the person	
217A(2)	Obtaining commercial sexual services from a person who is not an adult: if the child is under 12 years	
219(3)(a)	Taking child for immoral purpose: - if the child is under the age of 12 years; and - the proscribed act is one defined to constitute an offence in section 215	
222	Incest	
229B	Repeated sexual conduct with a child	
302 & 305	Murder	
306	Attempted murder	
307	Accessory after the fact to murder	
303 & 310	Manslaughter	
311	Aiding suicide	
313	Killing unborn child	
314A	Unlawful striking causing death	
315	Disabling in order to commit indictable offence	
316	Stupefying in order to commit indictable offence	
317	Acts intended to cause grievous bodily harm and other malicious acts	
318	Obstructing rescue or escape from unsafe premises	
319	Endangering the safety of a person in a vehicle with intent	
349	Rape	
352(3)	Sexual assault: - armed or pretends to be armed; or - penetrated any part of the offender's vagina, vulva, or anus to any extent with a thing or part of a person's body that is not a penis; or - person who is procured by the offender penetrating the vagina, vulva or anus of the person who is procured or another person to any extent with a thing or a part of the body of the person who is procured that is not a penis	
411 (2)	Robbery if person: - is armed or pretends to be armed with dangerous or offensive weapon or instrument; - wounds or uses any other personal violence to any person; and/or - is in company with one or more other person/s	
412(3)	Attempted robbery if person: - is armed with any dangerous or offensive weapon, instrument, or noxious substance; and - wounds or uses personal violence to any person by the weapon, instrument, or noxious substance	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
415	Extortion: - causes or likely to cause serious personal injury to a person other than the offender; or - causes or likely to cause substantial economic loss in an industrial or commercial activity conducted by a person or entity other than the offender	
417A(3)	Taking control of aircraft: - using or threatening to use actual violence; or - armed with a dangerous or offensive weapon; or - in company with one or more other person; or - takes or exercise control by any fraudulent representation trick device or other means.	
419 (2)	Burglary by break	
419(3)(a)	Burglary committed in the night	
419(3)(b)	Burglary where the offender: - uses or threatens to use actual violence; or - is or pretends to be armed with a dangerous or offensive weapon, instrument, or noxious substance; or - is in company with one or more persons; or - damages or threatens or attempts to damage, any property	
419(5)	Burglary and commit indictable offence	
421(3)	Entering or being in premises by break and committing indictable offences in the premises	
461	Arson	
467	Endangering the safe use of vehicles and related transport infrastructure	
469 Special case 1 Special case 2	Wilful damage: - destroying or damaging premises by explosion where anyone is in or on the premises when the explosion happens, or the destruction or damage actually endangers anyone's life; - sea walls and other property where the destruction or damage causes an actual danger of inundation or of damage to land or a building	
474	Communicating infectious diseases to animals	
536	Attempt to conceal an indictable offence punishable by mandatory life imprisonment (if no other punishment is provided)	
<u>Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth)</u>		
71.2	Murder of a UN or associated person	Life imprisonment
72.3	International terrorist activities using explosive or lethal devices	
80.1AA	Treason—assisting enemy to engage in armed conflict	
80.1AC	Treachery	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
91.1	Espionage	
101.1	Terrorist acts	
101.6	Other acts done in preparation for, or planning, terrorist acts	
103.1	Financing terrorism	
103.2	Financing a terrorist	
119.1	Incursions into foreign countries with the intention of engaging in hostile activities	
119.4	Preparations for incursions into foreign countries for purpose of engaging in hostile activities	
119.5	Allowing use of buildings, vessels, and aircraft to commit offences	
Drugs Misuse Act 1986 (Qld)		
5	Trafficking in dangerous drugs	Life
6(1)(a)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 1) to a minor under 16 years	
Weapons Act 1990 (Qld)		
65(1)(a) & (b)	Unlawful trafficking in weapons: • if the offence relates to a category H or R weapon • if the offence relates to a category A, B, C, D or E weapon, a category M crossbow or explosives	Life
(b) Offence where maximum penalty is 14+ years imprisonment - Excl s9(1) Drugs Misuse Act		
Criminal Code Act 1899 (Qld)		
54A(1)	Demands with menaces upon agencies of government	14 years
124(1)	Perjury	
131(3)	Conspiracy to bring false accusation: • If offence is such that a person convicted of it is liable to be sentenced to imprisonment, but for a term less than life	
210(2)	Indecent treatment of child under 16: • If the child is of or above the age of 12 years	
210 (3), (4), (5)	Indecent treatment of child under 16: • If the child is under the age of 12 years • If the child is lineal descendent of the offender, the offender is the guardian of the child, or the child is under the care of the offender	20 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
	If the child is a person with an impairment of the mind	
213(3)(b)	Owner permitting abuse of children on premises If the child is under the age of 12 years	14 years
215(2), (3), (4)	Engaging in penile intercourse with child under 16 - If the child is of or above the age of 12 years - If the child is under the age of 12 years and the offender attempts to engage in penile intercourse with the child; or - If the child is not the lineal descendant of the offender but the offender is the child's guardian or, for the time being, has the child under the offender's care and the offender attempts to engage in penile intercourse with the child.	
216(1)	Abuse of persons with an impairment of the mind	
217	Procuring young person etc. for penile intercourse	
217A (2)	Obtaining commercial sexual services from a child under 16 years If the child is under 16 years	
217B	Allowing person who is not an adult to take part in commercial sexual services	
217C	Conduct relating to provision of sexual services by person who is not an adult	
218	Procuring sexual acts by coercion etc.	
218A (2)	Using the internet to procure a child: - If the child is under 12 years or the offender believed the person is under 12 years; or - If the offence involves the offender intentionally meeting the person or going to a place with the intention of meeting a person	
219(3)(b)	Taking child for immoral purpose: If the child is under the age of 12 years	
228A(1)(a)	Involving child in making child exploitation material	25 years
228A(1)(b)		20 years
228B(1)(a)	Making child exploitation material	25 years
228B(1)(b)		20 years
228C(1)(a)	Distributing child exploitation material	14 years
228C(1)(b)		
228D(1)(a)	Possessing child exploitation material	20 years
228D(1)(b)		14 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
228DA(1)(a)	Administering child exploitation material website	20 years
228DA(1)(b)		14 years
228DB(1)(a)	Encouraging use of child exploitation material website	20 years
228DB(1)(b)		14 years
228DC(1)(a)	Distributing information about avoiding detection	20 years
228DC (1)(b)	Distributing information about avoiding detection	14 years
228I(a)	Producing or supplying child abuse object	14 years
228I(b)		20 years
228J	Possessing child abuse object	14 years
238(4)	Contamination of goods: If a threat to contaminate or interfere with the goods is accompanied by a demand	
309	Conspiring to murder	
317A (1)	Carrying or sending dangerous goods in a vehicle	
320	Grievous bodily harm	
320A	Torture	
321	Attempting to injure by explosive or noxious substances	
322(a)	Administering poison with intent to harm: If the poison or other noxious thing endangers the life of, or does grievous bodily harm to, the person to whom it is administered or by whom it is taken	
323A	Female genital mutilation	
323B	Removal of child from State for female genital mutilation	
328A(4)(a)	Dangerous operation of a vehicle causing death or grievous bodily harm	
328A(4)(b)	Dangerous operation of a vehicle causing death or grievous bodily harm: - whilst adversely affected; or - whilst excessively speeding; or - whilst taking part in an unlawful race or unlawful speed trial; or - having left the scene - whilst committing an evasion offence	20 years
328C	Damaging emergency vehicle when operating motor vehicle	14 years
328D	Endangering police officer when driving motor vehicle	
330	Sending or taking unseaworthy ships to sea	
338A	Assaults of member of crew on aircraft	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
340	Serious assault: - if the person assaults a police officer, working corrective services officer or public officer by biting, spitting or throwing/applying bodily fluid or faeces - if the person assaults a police officer, working corrective services officer or public officer and causes them bodily harm - if the person assaults a police officer, working corrective services officer or public officer while armed, or pretending to be armed, with a dangerous or offensive weapon	
350	Attempt to commit rape	
351	Assault with intent to commit rape	
352(2)	Sexual assault if the indecent assault or act of gross indecency includes bringing into contact any part of the genitalia or anus of a person with any part of the mouth of a person	
354A(2)	Kidnapping for ransom	
398 Special case 1 Special case 12 Special case 14	Stealing: - Wills; or - Vehicles; or - Firearms for use in another indictable offence;	14 years
399	Fraudulent concealment of particular documents: If the offence was not committed in relation to a document recording title to property	
408A(4)(a) 408A(4)(b) 408A(5)	Unlawful use or possession of a motor vehicle, aircraft or vessel: - If the offence was committed in the night; or - The offender uses or threatens to use actual violence; or - The offender is or pretends to be armed with a dangerous or offensive weapon, instrument, or noxious substance; or - is in company with one or more persons; - damages, or threatens or attempts to damage, any property.	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
	If the motor vehicle, aircraft or vessel is an emergency vehicle and the offender knows, or ought reasonably to know, it is an emergency vehicle.	
408C(2)	Fraud: - If the offender is a director or officer of a corporation and the victim is the corporation; or - the offender is an employee of the victim; or - any property in relation to which the offence is committed came into the possession or control of the offender subject to a trust, direction or condition that it should be applied to any purpose or be paid to any person specified in the terms of trust, direction or condition or came into the offender's possession on account of any other person; or - the property, or the yield to the offender from the dishonesty, or the detriment caused, is of a value of at least \$30,000 but less than \$100,000; - the offender is or was an employer of the victim	
408C(2A)	Fraud - the property, or the yield to the offender from the dishonesty, or the detriment caused, is of a value of at least \$100,000; or - the offender carries on the business of committing the offence.	20 years
411	Robbery	14 years
412 (2)	Attempted robbery: - If the offender is or pretends to be armed with any dangerous or offensive weapon or instrument; or - If the offender is in company with one or more other person or persons.	
415	Extortion	
417A(2)	Taking control of aircraft:	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
	If another person not being an accomplice of the offender is on board the aircraft	
419 (1)	Burglary	
419(4)	Burglary: If the offender publishes material on a social media platform or an online social network to advertise the offender's involvement in the offence or advertise the act or omission constituting the offence.	16 years
421(2)	Entering or being in premises and committing indictable offences	14 years
427(2)(a)	Unlawful use of a motor vehicle for committing indictable offence in the night	
427(2)(b)	Unlawful use of a motor vehicle for committing indictable offence where the offender: - uses or threatens to use actual violence; or - is or pretends to be armed with a dangerous or offensive weapon, instrument, or noxious substance; or - damages, threatens to damage, or attempts to damage any property	
427(3)	Unlawful use of a motor vehicle for committing indictable offence: where the vehicle is an emergency vehicle and the offender knows, or ought reasonably to know, the vehicle is an emergency vehicle.	
433	Receiving tainted property if: - the property was obtained by way of an act constituting a crime; or - the property is a firearm or ammunition; or - the offender received the property whilst acting as a pawnbroker or dealer in second hand goods under a license or otherwise.	
462	Endangering particular property by fire	14 years
463	Setting fire to vegetation	
469	Wilful damage	
Special case 3 Special case 5 Special case 6	- Wills; - Railways; - Aircraft	

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
469A(1)	Sabotage and threatening sabotage with the intent to cause: - major disruption to government functions; or - major disruption to the use of services by the public; or - major economic loss	25 years
469A(2)	Sabotage and threatening sabotage	14 years
470	Attempts to destroy property by explosives	
488	Forgery if the document is a valuable security, insurance policy, testamentary instrument (whether the testator is living or dead) or registration document or is evidence of an interest in land	
510	Instruments and materials for forgery	
514 (2)	Personation: If the representation is that the offender is a person entitled by will or operation of law to any specific property, and the person commits the offence with intent to obtain such property or possession thereof	
536 (2)	Attempts to conceal indictable offences punishable by life imprisonment but not mandatory life imprisonment (if no other punishment is provided)	
540A	Preparation or planning to cause death or grievous bodily harm	14 years
<u>Drugs Misuse Act 1986 (Qld)</u>		
6(1)(b)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 1) to: - a minor who is 16 years or more - an intellectually impaired person - a person within an educational institution - a person within a correctional facility - a person who does not know he or she is being supplied with the thing.	25 years
6(1)(c)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 1)	20 years
6(1)(d)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 2) to a minor under 16 years	25 years
6(1)(e)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 2) to: a minor who is 16 years or more	20 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
	- an intellectually impaired person - a person within an educational institution - a person within a correctional facility - a person who does not know he or she is being supplied with the thing.	
6(1)(f)	Supplying dangerous drugs (Schedule 2)	15 years
7	Receiving or possessing property obtained from trafficking or supplying	20 years
8(1)(a)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 1 drug, quantity of or over Schedule 4 amount)	25 years
8(1)(b)(i)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 1 drug, quantity of or over Schedule 3 amount and person is drug dependant)	20 years
8(1)(b)(ii)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 1 drug, quantity of or over Schedule 3 amount)	25 years
8(1)(c)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 1 drug)	20 years
8(1)(d)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 2 drug, quantity of or over Schedule 3 amount)	
8(1)(e)	Producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 2 drug)	15 years
8A(1)(a)	Publishing or possessing instructions for producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 1)	25 years
8A(1)(b)	Publishing or possessing instructions for producing dangerous drugs (Schedule 2)	20 years
9A	Possessing relevant substances or things	15 years
9B	Supplying relevant substances or things	
9C	Producing relevant substances or things	
9D	Trafficking in relevant substances or things	20 years
10(1)	Possessing things: - for use in connection with the commission of a crime in Part 2; or - that the person has used in connection with such a purpose.	15 years
10B	Possession of a prohibited combination of items	25 years
11	Permitting use of place	15 years
<u>Weapons Act 1990 (Qld)</u>		
50B(1)(a)	Unlawful supply of weapons: if the person unlawfully supplies 5 or more weapons at least 1 of which is a category D, E, H or R weapon	20 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
50B(1)(b), (1)(c)(i) & (1)(c)(ii)	Unlawful supply of weapons • if s50B(1)(a) does not apply and the person unlawfully supplies 5 or more weapons • if s50B(1)(a) and (b) do not apply – for a category D, H or R weapon • if s50B(1)(a) and (b) do not apply – for a category C or E weapon	15 years
50(1)(a)	Possession of weapons • ten or more weapons at least 5 of which are category D, E, H or R weapons	20 years
50(1)(b)	Possession of weapons: • if s50(1)(a) doesn't apply and the person possesses 10 or more weapons	15 years
50(1)(c)(i)	Possession of weapons • if s50(1)(a) and (b) do not apply – for a category D, H or R weapon	14 years
56A (1)(a)	Reckless discharge of a weapon towards building or vehicle	16 years
56A	Reckless discharge of a weapon towards a building or vehicle for an offence that is a prescribed offence	20 years
69(1A)(a)	Armourers to be licensed • for a category D, H or R weapon	20 years
69(1A)(b)	Armourers to be licensed • for a category C or E weapon	15 years
61	Shortening firearms	14 years
62	Modifying construction or action of firearms	15 years
63	Altering identification marks of weapons	14 years
<u>Criminal Code Act 1995 (Cth)</u>		
71.3	Manslaughter of a UN or associated person	25 years
71.4	Intentionally causing serious harm to a UN or associated person	20 years
71.5 (aggravated)	Recklessly causing serious harm to a UN or associated person	19 years
71.8	Unlawful sexual penetration	15 years
71.9	Kidnapping a UN or associated person	
73.2	Aggravated offence of people smuggling (danger of death or serious harm etc.)	20 years
73.3	Aggravated offence of people smuggling (at least 5 people)	
82.3	Offence of sabotage involving foreign principal with intention as to national security	25 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
82.4	Offence of sabotage involving foreign principal reckless as to national security	20 years
82.6	Offence of sabotage reckless as to national security	15 years
82.7	Offence of introducing vulnerability with intention as to national security	
83.2	Assisting prisoners of war to escape	
83.3	Military-style training involving foreign government principal etc	20 years
91.1	Espionage	25 years
91.2		
91.3		
91.6		
91.8		
91.11	Offence of soliciting or procuring an espionage offence or making it easier to do so	15 years
91.12	Offence of preparing for an espionage offence	
92.2	Offence of intentional foreign interference	20 years
92.3	Offence of reckless foreign interference	15 years
92.7	Knowingly supporting foreign intelligence agency	
92.9	Knowingly funding or being funded by foreign intelligence agency	
92A.1	Theft of trade secrets involving foreign government principal	
101.2	Providing or receiving training connected with terrorist acts	25 years
101.4(1)	Possessing things connected with terrorist acts	15 years
101.5(1)	Collecting or making documents likely to facilitate terrorist acts	
102.2	Directing the activities of a terrorist organisation	25 years
102.4	Recruiting for a terrorist organisation	
102.5	Training involving a terrorist organisation	
102.6	Getting funds to, from or for a terrorist organisation	
102.7	Providing support to a terrorist organisation	
115.1	Murder of an Australian citizen or a resident of Australia	
115.3	Intentionally causing serious harm to an Australian citizen or a resident of Australia	20 years
115.4	Recklessly causing serious harm to an Australian citizen or a resident of Australia	15 years
119.6	Recruiting persons to join organisations engaged in hostile activities against foreign governments	25 years
132.2	Robbery	15 years

Legislation	Offence	Maximum penalty
132.3	Aggravated robbery	20 years
132.5	Aggravated burglary	17 years
(c) Other		
<u>Criminal Code Act 1899 (Qld)</u>		
315A	Choking, suffocation or strangulation in a domestic setting	7 years
323	Wounding	7 years
328A	Dangerous operation of a vehicle	200 penalty units or 3 years
339	Assaults occasioning bodily harm	7 years
408A(1) – If the offence involves a motor vehicle	Unlawful use of a motor vehicle	10 years
408A(1) to which 408A(1A) applies	Unlawful use of a motor vehicle – facilitating indictable offence	12 years
412	Attempted robbery	7 years, 14 years, or life
421(1)	Entering or being in premises and committing indictable offences	10 years, 14 years