(back to index)

Chief Magistrate's Notes (CMN)

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I.
J	М	N	Р	S	т	U	W	Y

Δ

Α	(back to index)
Abuse of process	
Adjournment	
Age	
Animals	
Animals – Breach of Duty of Care	
Assault	
Apprehended Bias	

В

Bail	
Bail – Conditions	
Bail – Fail to Appear	
Bail – Fail to Report	
Bail – Pending Appeal	
Bail – Pending Sentence	
Bail – Terrorism Offences	
Bail – Unacceptable Risk	
Bail – Youth Justice Act	
Barbaro	
Bias	
Body Corporate	
Burglary	

С

C	(back to index)
Capacity	
Cattle	
Child Protection	
Children	
Civil	
Civil – Costs	
Civil – Recovery	
Civil – Summary Judgment	
Commonwealth	
Complaints	
Complaints – Amendment	
Complaints – Particulars	
Complaints – Strike Out	
Confessions	
Contempt	
Coroners Court	

Costs - Civil

Costs – Domestic Violence

Costs – Justices Act

Costs – Peace and Good Behaviour Act

Cross-examination

D

(back to index)

Defence - AccidentDefence - ConsentDefence - Domestic DisciplineDefence - EmergencyDefence - Emergency - TrafficDefence - Exercise of Right of Way or EasementDefence - Honest ClaimDefence - MistakeDefence - Mistake - TrafficDefence - Mistake - Traffic - Disqualified DrivingDefence - Of a DwellingDefence - ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDomestic Violence - ContraventionDomestic Violence - Costs	
Defence - Domestic DisciplineDefence - EmergencyDefence - Emergency - TrafficDefence - Exercise of Right of Way or EasementDefence - Honest ClaimDefence - MistakeDefence - Mistake - TrafficDefence - Mistake - Traffic - Disqualified DrivingDefence - Of a DwellingDefence - ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDishonestyDomestic Violence - Contravention	
Defence – EmergencyDefence – Emergency – TrafficDefence – Exercise of Right of Way or EasementDefence – Honest ClaimDefence – MistakeDefence – Mistake – TrafficDefence – Mistake – Traffic – Disqualified DrivingDefence – Of a DwellingDefence – ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDishonestyDomestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence - Emergency - TrafficDefence - Exercise of Right of Way or EasementDefence - Honest ClaimDefence - MistakeDefence - Mistake - TrafficDefence - Mistake - Traffic - Disqualified DrivingDefence - Of a DwellingDefence - ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence - Contravention	
Defence - Exercise of Right of Way or EasementDefence - Honest ClaimDefence - MistakeDefence - Mistake - TrafficDefence - Mistake - Traffic - Disqualified DrivingDefence - Of a DwellingDefence - ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence - Contravention	
Defence – Honest ClaimDefence – MistakeDefence – Mistake – TrafficDefence – Mistake – Traffic – Disqualified DrivingDefence – Of a DwellingDefence – ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence – MistakeDefence – Mistake – TrafficDefence – Mistake – Traffic – Disqualified DrivingDefence – Of a DwellingDefence – ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence – Mistake – TrafficDefence – Mistake – Traffic – Disqualified DrivingDefence – Of a DwellingDefence – ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence – Mistake – Traffic – Disqualified DrivingDefence – Of a DwellingDefence – ProvocationDifficult LitigantsDigital DevicesDisclosureDishonestyDomestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence – Of a Dwelling Defence – Provocation Difficult Litigants Digital Devices Disclosure Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Defence – Provocation Difficult Litigants Digital Devices Disclosure Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Difficult Litigants Digital Devices Disclosure Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Digital Devices Disclosure Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Disclosure Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Dishonesty Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Domestic Violence – Contravention	
Domestic Violence – Costs	
Domestic Violence – Cross-Applications	
Domestic Violence – Emotional/Psychological Abuse	
Domestic Violence – Making an Order	
Domestic Violence – Making an Order – Evidence	
Domestic Violence – Making an Order – Procedural Fairness	
Domestic Violence – Necessary or Desirable	
Domestic Violence – Necessary or Desirable - Process	
Domestic Violence – Procedural Fairness	
Domestic Violence – Procedure	
Domestic Violence – Retrospective	
Domestic Violence – Variation of Orders	
Drugs	
Drugs – Possession	

Ε

E	(back to index)
Elections and Voting	
Evidence	
Evidence – Admissions	
Evidence – Admissions – Voluntariness	
Evidence – Balance of Probabilities	
Evidence – Browne v Dunn	
Evidence - Circumstantial	
Evidence – DNA	
Evidence – Experts	
Evidence – Flight	
Evidence – Fresh Evidence	

Evidence – Hearsay
Evidence - Identification
Evidence – Lies
Evidence – Privilege
Evidence – Protected Witness
Evidence – Search
Evidence – Search – Loss of Evidence
Evidence – Search – Mobile phone
Evidence – Search – Post Search Approval
Evidence – Search – Power to seize evidence
Evidence – Search – Reasonable Suspicion
Evidence – Search – Vehicle
Evidence – Search – Vehicle – Consent
Evidence – Search – Vehicle – Excluded
Evidence – Similar Fact
Evidence – Telephone
Evidence – Victim Impact Statements
Evidence – Weissensteiner
Executive Liability
Extortion
Extradition

(back to index)

G

Grievous	Bodily	/ Harm
GIICVOUS	Doany	/ 1101111

Н

I	(back to index)
Intent	
Interpreter	

J

_	Joinder			

Μ

Media	
Mental Health	
Mental Health – Fitness for Trial	

Ν

Ρ

No Case

(back to index)

Parties
Peace and Good Behaviour Act
Peaceful Assembly
Police – Obstruct Police
Police Powers
Police Powers – Arrest
Practice
Practice – Disclosure
Practice – Duplicity
Practice – Exhibits – Lost
Practice – Exhibits – Marking
Practice – Justices Act – s142A
Practice – Justices Act – 145
Practice – Justices Act – s147
Practice – Natural Justice and Procedural Fairness
Practice – Natural Justice and Procedural Fairness – Reasonable Opportunity to be Heard
Practice – Natural Justice and Procedural Fairness – Self-Represented
Practice – Particulars
Practice – Plea of Guilty
Practice – Plea of Guilty – Take into Account
Practice – Plea of Guilty – Withdrawal
Practice – Proceedings
Practice – Proceedings – Judicial Intervention
Practice – Proceedings – Re-Opening Prosecution Case
Practice – Reasons – Adequacy
Practice – Sentencing
Practice – Sentencing – Comparable Decisions
Practice – Sentencing – Submissions on Imprisonment
Prostitution
Public Nuisance

S

S	(back to index)
Section 16 Criminal Code	
Section 16 Criminal Code – Cumulative Sentencing	
Section 16 Criminal Code – Fresh Charges	
Section 16 Criminal Code – Punishable Act Test	
Sentence – Admissions (AB v The Queen)	
Sentence – Assault Occasioning Bodily Harm	
Sentence – Assault Occasioning Bodily Harm – Alcohol	
Sentence – Assault Occasioning Bodily Harm – Circumstance of Aggravation	
Sentence – Breach of Bail	
Sentence – Burglary	
Sentence – Child Exploitation Material	
Sentence – Civil Disobedience – Political Motivation	
Sentence – Common Assault	
Sentence – Commonwealth	
Sentence – Commonwealth – s17A	
Sentence – Commonwealth – s19B	
Sentence – Commonwealth – Use a Carriage Service	
Sentence – Compensation	
Sentence – Compensation – Imprisonment	

Sentence – Compensation – SPER
Sentence – Co-operation
Sentence – Criminal History
Sentence – Criminal History – Relevance
Sentence – Criminal History – Relevance – Traffic
Sentence – Cumulative
Sentence – Cumulative – Parole
Sentence – Cumulative – Totality
Sentence – Cumulative – Totality - Deterrence
Sentence – Dangerous Operation of a Motor Vehicle
Sentence – Dangerous Operation of a Motor Vehicle – Adversely Affected
Sentence – Delay
Sentence – Deportation
Sentence – Deterrence
Sentence – Discretion
Sentence – Disobeying the Speed Limit
Sentence – Disqualification of Licence
Sentence – Disqualification of Licence – Discretion
Sentence – Disqualification of Licence – Remove Absolute Disqualification
Sentence – Disqualified Driving
Sentence – Disqualified Driving – History
Sentence – Domestic Violence
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Child named on order
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Cross-orders
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Deterrence
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Domestic Violence Offence
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Mental Health
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Non-Physical
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Non-Physical – Phone
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Physical
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Resentence Previous Breaches
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Same Aggrieved – Non-Physical
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Same Aggrieved – Physical
Sentence – Domestic Violence – Section 16 Criminal Code Act
Sentence – Driving Under the Influence
Sentence – Driving Under the Influence – Disqualification
Sentence – Driving Without Due Care and Attention
Sentence – Drugs
Sentence – Each Charge
Sentence – Extra-curial Punishment
Sentence – Fail to Stop
Sentence – Fail to Stop – Community Based Orders
Sentence – Family Considerations
Sentence – FASD
Sentence – Fine
Sentence – Fine – Capacity to Pay
Sentence – Fine – Capacity to Pay – Burden
Sentence – Fine – Capacity to Pay – Deterrence
Sentence – Fine – Capacity to Pay – SPER
Sentence – Fine – Totality
Sentence – Food

Sentence – Hardship
Sentence – Imprisonment
Sentence – Interstate Imprisonment (Relevance)
Sentence – Indigenous
Sentence – Land Clearing
Sentence – Leaving a child under 12 unattended
Sentence – Liquor
Sentence – Maximum Penalty
Sentence – Mental Impairment
Sentence – Mental Impairment – Deterrence
Sentence – Mental Impairment – Evidence
Sentence – Mental Impairment – Recording a Conviction
Sentence – Mental Impairment – Voluntary Intoxication
Sentence – Obstruct Police
Sentence – Parity
Sentence – Parity – Cumulative
Sentence – Parole
Sentence – Parole – Cancellation
Sentence – Parole – Cumulative Sentencing
Sentence – Parole – Delays
Sentence – Parole – Offending on Parole
Sentence – Parole – Setting Date
Sentence – Parole – Setting Date – Halfway and Beyond
Sentence – Parole – Reasons
Sentence – Parole – Section 60F Penalties and Sentences Act 1992
Sentence – Parole – Totality
Sentence – Penalties and Sentences Act 1992
Sentence – Pin Codes
Sentence – Plea of Guilty
Sentence – Plea of Guilty – Sentence Reduction
Sentence – Plea of Guilty – Taken into Account
Sentence – Plea of Guilty – Weight
Sentence – Police Officer
Sentence – Presentence Custody
Sentence – Presentence Custody – Certificate
Sentence – Presentence Custody – Non-Declarable
Sentence – Prison Hardship
Sentence – Probation
Sentence – Probation – Combination Order
Sentence – Probation – Consent
Sentence – Prostitution
Sentence – Record Conviction
Sentence – Record Conviction – Commonwealth
Sentence – Record Conviction – Criminal History
Sentence – Record Conviction – Drugs
Sentence – Record Conviction – Employment
Sentence – Record Conviction – Employment - Principles
Sentence – Record Conviction – Mental Health
Sentence – Record Conviction – Opportunity to be Heard
Sentence – Record Conviction – Previous Convictions
Sentence – Record Conviction – Reasons
Sentence Accord conviction Accord

Sentence – Record Conviction – Rehabilitation
Sentence – Record Conviction – Reportable Offender
Sentence – Record Conviction – Traffic
Sentence – Rehabilitation
Sentence – Re-opening
Sentence – Restitution
Sentence – Restitution vs Compensation
Sentence – Riot
Sentence – Serious Assault
Sentence – Serious Assault – Spitting
Sentence – Serious Assault – Spitting – Ambulance Officer
Sentence – Serious Assault – Spitting – Bus Driver
Sentence – Serious Assault – Spitting – Civilian
Sentence – Sexual Offences
Sentence – Sexual Offences – Exceptional Circumstances
Sentence – Sexual Offences – Incest
Sentence – Sexual Offences – Section 9(2)(a)
Sentence – Sexual Offences – Section 9(4)(b)
Sentence – Ships
Sentence – Stalking
Sentence – Suspended Sentence
Sentence – Totality
Sentence – Totality – Cumulative
Sentence – Totality – Existing Sentence
Sentence – Totality – Fines
Sentence – Totality – Parole
Sentence – Totality – Presentence Custody
Sentence – Trespass
Sentence – Trespass – Protest
Sentence – Uncharged Conduct
Sentence – Unlawful Use of a Motor Vehicle
Sentence – Victim Impact Statement
Sentence – Workplace
Sentence – Young Adults
Sentence – Youth Justice Act
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Committed on Bail
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Community Service Order
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Detention
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Detention – Deterrence
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Detention – Mental Disability
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – First Offence
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Indigenous
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Intensive Supervision Order
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Parity
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Presentence Custody
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Probation
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Recording Conviction
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Recording Conviction – Employment
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Recording Conviction – Period of Offending
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Recording Conviction – Previous Offending
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Recording Conviction – Rehabilitation

Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Rehabilitation
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Restorative Justice
Sentence – Youth Justice Act – Section 150
Service
Stalking
Strangulation
Sustainable Planning Act 2009

	_

(back to index)

(back to index)

Traffic
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Delegation
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Devices
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Devices – Lidar
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Devices – TruCam
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Evidence
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Intention
Traffic – Disobeying the Speed Limit – Service
Traffic – Disqualification of Licence
Traffic – Driving Under the Influence
Traffic – Driving Without Due Care and Attention
Traffic – Fail to Give Way
Traffic – Fail to Keep Left of a Dividing Line
Traffic – Fail to Stop
Traffic – Fail to Stop – Intent
Traffic - Helmets
Traffic – Impounded Vehicle
Traffic – Interstate History
Traffic – Unlicenced Driving

U

U	(back to index)
Unlawful Use of a Motor Vehicle	
Unlicenced Building Work	

W

W	(back to index)
Weapons	
Weapons – Recording a Conviction	
Wilful Damage	
Wilful Exposure	
Workcover	
Workplace	

Υ

Youth Justice

ABUSE OF PROCESS	42/16	(back to index
Abbott v Commissioner of Police [2016] QSC 95	42/16	Extradition: Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 (Cth). See [39], [44] and [57]
Hong Minh Nguyen trading as Mobile PC Doctor v Sensis Pty Ltd [2016] QDC 304	99/16	Test for abuse of process. See [19], [25] and [33]
ADJOURNMENT		(back to index
Sali v SPC Ltd (1993) 116 ALR 625	-	Consideration of the effect of an adjournment on
		court resources and the competing claims by litigants in other cases
Laurent v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 160	29/15	Application for an adjournment was refused based upon the defendant's ill health, natural justice
Cox v The Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 183	42/15	Refusal of adjournment
Parbery & Ors v QNI Metals Pty Ltd & Ors [2018]	-	Parties are entitled to have their civil dispute quelled
QSC 276		by a final judgment or order by an impartial judge and through a fair trial (at [76]-[92])
Branch v Commissioner of Police [2019] QCA 19	27/19	Adjournment, brief provided on morning of trial
Gebicki v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 108	69/19	Refusal to allow adjournment upon the close of the prosecution case so the defendant can call a witness
Luu v The Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 202	62/20	Refusal of adjournment due to unavailability of counsel
AGE		(back to index
PM v Childrens Court of the Australian Capital	-	Whether a person born on 29 February 2000 was a
Territory & Ors [2018] ACTSC 258		child or an adult on 28 February 2018
<i>R v KAK</i> [2020] QDC 244	80/20	Doli Incapax, rebuttal of presumption that a person under the age of 14 years of age is not criminally responsible pursuant to s.29 <i>Criminal Code</i> 1899
ANIMALS		(back to inde
Forbes v Copely [2018] QDC 171	71/18	Taking a protected animal (crocodile)
Usherwood v Keegan & Ors [2020] QSC 263	63/20	Outlines process when making an order to return seized animals
ANIMALS – BREACH OF DUTY OF CARE		(back to index
Johnson v RSPCA Queensland [2016] QDC 185	70/16	Failing to take reasonable steps to provide appropriately for animals
Jolley v Queensland Police [2018] QDC 12	17/18	Inappropriate handling, sexual acts
Flaherty v Petersen [2018] QDC 21	22/18	Failing to obtain veterinary treatment
ASSAULT		(back to index
Marshall v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 261	79/15	Use more force than reasonably necessary. Reliance on s 279 <i>Criminal Code Act</i> 1899
Taurino v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 322	109/15	No onus on Crown to establish the absence of provocation or self defence if not raised on the evidence
Isitt v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 308	8/17	Intention to apply force
Harvey v Queensland Police Service & Director of Public Prosecutions (Queensland) [2019] QCA 5	19/19	Attempted or threatened application of force
HKY v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 218	13/20	Prosecution failed to prove that the assault of the complainant caused bodily harm. Definition of "bodily harm"

APPREHENDED BIAS		(back to index)
Gaudie v Local Court of NSW and Anor [2013] NSWSC 1425	16/15	Principles: application for disqualification
Charisteas v Charisteas [2021] HCA 29	73/21	Family Law property settlement. Communications between Judge and Counsel.
BAIL		(back to index
<i>Williams & Hughes, Re an Application for Bail</i> [2016] QDC 204	79/16	Guilty plea to serious offences – exceptional circumstances
Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v Turner & Anor [2016] QSC 107	8/19	Whether there is a discretion to forfeit an undertaking on a fail to appear. s31(1) of <i>Bail Act</i> 1980
TDR v The Queen [2018] QChC 11	87/18	Child placed in custody without revoking bail
BAIL – CONDITIONS		(back to index
Harvey v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 150	65/17	Breach of bail conditions – emergency defence raised
<i>Re DNV</i> [2020] QSC 276	79/20	Variation of conditions – jurisdiction of courts to excuse compliance with conditions
BAIL – FAIL TO APPEAR		(back to index
Ayling v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 42	42/17	Failure to appear in accordance with undertaking
Etienne v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 6	15/18	Failing to appear in accordance with undertaking / show cause
BAIL – FAIL TO REPORT		(back to index
Chidgey v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 224	90/16	Breach of bail – fail to report – medical certificate
BAIL – PENDING APPEAL		(back to index
Barry v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 061	5/15	Whether sentence stops running once bail is granted where not released from custody
De Waal v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 26	21/16	Whether change in circumstance needs to be shown
BAIL – PENDING SENTENCE		(back to index
<i>Re GJA</i> [2020] QDC 170	48/20	Defendant failed to establish exceptional circumstances. See <i>R v Martens (No 1)</i> [2010]1 Qd R 564 as an example where exceptional circumstances were demonstrated.
BAIL – TERRORISM OFFENCES		(back to index
Atwani v Commissioner of Police [2020] QSC 123	61/20	Terrorism offence. Section 15AA Crimes Act 1914
BAIL – UNACCEPTABLE RISK		(back to index
Ackland v Director of Public Prosecutions (Qld) [2017] QCA 75	61/17	Unacceptable risk – domestic violence offences
BAIL – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT		(back to index
<i>Re JTL</i> [2021] QSC 211		Review of magistrate decision to grant bail for a 15- year-old who offended whilst on bail – held to be an unacceptable risk of committing an offence that endangers the safety of the community

Matthews v The Queen [2014] VSCA 291	64/15	See [27]: Role of the Crown in sentencing
Commonwealth of Australia v Director, Fair Work Building Industry Inspectorate; CFMEU v Fair Work Building Industry Inspectorate [2015] HCA 46	111/15	Whether Barbaro v The Queen (2014) 253 CLR 58 applies to civil proceedings
BIAS		(back to index
Berg v Director of Public Prosecutions (Qld) [2015] QCA 196	83/15	Whether the primary judge was biased in determining fitness for trial
Dawson v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 295	94/15	Whether magistrate was biased against appellant
Rowley v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 88	49/17	Whether magistrate was biased or partial – whether magistrate had communication with the prosecution
BODY CORPORATE		(back to index
Body Corporate for Donnelly House CTS37465 v Shaw [2015] QDC 139	25/15	Appointment of an administrator to implement the orders of an adjudicator
BURGLARY		(back to index
Prior v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 143	59/16	Construction of s419 of the <i>Criminal Code Act</i> 1899, intent
CAPACITY		(back to index
<i>R v KAK</i> [2020] QDC 244	80/20	Doli Incapax – rebuttal of presumption that a person under the age of 14 years of age is not criminally responsible pursuant to s.29 <i>Criminal Code</i> 1899
CATTLE		(back to index
Ferguson v Wienert [2019] QDC 1	17/19	Failing to ensure cattle not wandering at large. meaning of "reasonable diligence"
CHILD PROTECTION		(back to index
Director of Child Protection Litigation v MCE & Anor [2020] QChC 15	41/20	Long-term Guardianship Order, s62 Child Protection Act 1999
<i>KP v Director of Child Protection Litigation Unit & Anor</i> [2020] QChC 16	42/20	Delay, temporary custody order, exception to 3 month rule
Director of Child Protection Litigation v SYA & Anor [2021] QChC 5	27/21	Long-term Guardianship Order. Take into account views or wishes of the child
CHILDREN		(back to index
AJM v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 25	32/19	4 year old child left unattended in a car
CIVIL		(back to index
CIVIL – COSTS		(back to inde
Hong Minh Nguyen trading as Mobile PC Doctor v Sensis Pty Ltd [2016] QDC 304	99/16	Costs

CIVIL – RECOVERY

GoGetta Equipment Funding Pty Limited a division of Silverchef Limited v Putohe [2016] QMC 21	6/17	Recovery actions by commercial agents, non- compliance with rules relating to an originating process
YJ Pty Ltd & Ors v Huang's Properties Pty Ltd [2018] QDC 240	9/19	Whether the Magistrates Court has jurisdiction to order recovery and delivery of possession of premises where the tenancy has been determined by forfeiture
CIVIL – SUMMARY JUDGMENT		(back to index
Aguilar & Anor v Egnalig [2017] QCA 175	98/17	Application to set aside a summary judgment
COMMONWEALTH		(back to index
Warren v Ashna [2021] QDC 13	15/21	Bankruptcy Act 1966 (Cth) – authority to prosecute
Comptroller-General of Customs v Inchcape	26/21	Customs Act 1901 (Cth) - fault element of intention
Shipping Services Pty Ltd [2021] QCA 61	•	. ,
COMPLAINTS		(back to index
Prus-Butwilowicz v Winston [2016] QDC 232	93/16	Whether complainant authorised to swear complaint
Williamson v ADG Engineers (Aust) Pty Ltd [2018] QDC 195	85/18	Dismissal for non-appearance of complainant
COMPLAINTS – AMENDMENT		(back to index
Harrison v President of the Industrial Court of	91/16	Duplicitous complaints election, power to amend
Queensland & Ors [2016] QCA 89		complaint, criminal pleading under the Justices Act
		1886, purpose of particulars
Critchley v Schlumberger Oilfield Australia Pty Ltd [2016] QDC 338	18/17	Whether complaint discloses offence, amendment of complaint
Stancombe v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 276	105/17	Power to amend
COMPLAINTS – PARTICULARS		(back to index)
Walden v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC	56/19	Insufficient particulars of charge given by the
63	-	prosecution; consequences of incorrect pleading of a charge
COMPLAINTS – STRIKE OUT		(back to index
Goli (Commissioner of State Revenue) v	32/17	Whether complaint and summons defective where
Thompson & Anor [2017] QDC 4		offence provisions repealed. Striking out charges that are sufficient at law
Coeur De Lion Investments Pty Ltd v Lewis & Ors [2019] QDC 90	61/19	Striking out complaints for lack of standing to bring criminal prosecution; institution of criminal proceedings under the <i>Crimes Act 1914 (Cth)</i> and the <i>Corporations Act 2001 (Cth)</i>
CONFESSIONS		(back to index
Pora v The Queen [2015] UKPC 9	21/15	Confessions, reliability, neurological function
Flaherty v Petersen [2018] QDC 21	22/18	Admissions, failure to warn
CONTEMPT		(back to index
R v Aristizabal Serna [2015] QSC 371	5/16	Refusal to be sworn or affirmed to give evidence, refusal to give evidence

Attorney-General for the State of Queensland v Di Carlo [2017] QSC 171	85/17	Punishment of legal practitioner for contempt of court	
King v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 131	1/20	Comparable cases do not mark the outer bounds of permissible sentencing discretion with numerical precision	
YTL v The Attorney-General for the State of Queensland [2020] QDC 44	39/20	Refusal to answer question asked by magistrate. Procedural fairness.	
CORONERS COURT		(back to index	
Christensen & Anor v Deputy State Coroner [2021] QSC 38	23/21	Application for judicial review of directions made	
COSTS – CIVIL		(back to index	
Hong Minh Nguyen trading as Mobile PC Doctor v Sensis Pty Ltd [2016] QDC 304	99/16	Civil costs	
Deters v Deters & Anor [2019] QDC 112	74/19	Application for security of costs pursuant to r 670(1) of the <i>Uniform Civil Procedure Rules</i> 1999; where plaintiff's solicitors withdrew as solicitors on record	
Guilfoyle v J Hutchinson Pty Ltd [2021] QDC 233	71/21	Whether the matter involved "special difficulty, complexity or importance".	
COSTS – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE		(back to index	
MHH v LAN [2016] QMC 1	8/16	Power to award costs	
<i>RWT v BZX</i> [2016] QDC 246	100/16	Exercise of discretion	
COSTS – JUSTICES ACT		(back to index	
Oates v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 333	4/16	Dismissal of costs application	
Senior Constable Sheehan v Leo [2016] QDC 131	65/16	Special difficulty, complexity or importance	
Lewis v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 174	74/18	On a NETO; whether charge brought and continued in good faith	
COSTS – PEACE AND GOOD BEHAVIOUR ACT		(back to index	
Hughes v Talty & Anor [2015] QDC 145	22/15	Whether costs order made with regard to scale	
CROSS-EXAMINATION		(back to index	
Kimber & Anor v Kimama Holdings Pty Ltd	65/20	Limiting cross-examination	
Trading as Visual Diversity Homes (ACN 106 627 829) [2020] QDC 203			
DEFENCE – ACCIDENT		(back to index	
R v Thurlow [2015] QCA 89	20/15	Wounding	
Queensland Police Service v Morris [2016] QMC 5	63/16	Disobeying the speed limit. s 23 <i>Criminal Code Act</i> 1899 defence raised – held not to be available as a defence where not the driver.	
McDonald v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 193	56/20	Serious assault of corrective services officer – flicking blood from a wound, deliberate move of the arm	
DEFENCE – CONSENT		(back to index	
<i>R v Gee</i> [2016] QSC 23	18/16	Assault upon a child	
DEFENCE – DOMESTIC DISCIPLINE		(back to index	

DEFENCE – DOMESTIC DISCIPLINE

ACP v Queensland Police Service (No 1) [2017] QDC 292	5/18	Common assault - 14 year old stepson
LIB v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 259	15/19	2 x Common assault – 9 & 12 year old stepson
DEFENCE – EMERGENCY		(back to index
Harvey v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 150	65/17	Breach of bail conditions
Rolles v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 331	13/21	Climate change emergency does not rise to the level of sudden or extraordinary
DEFENCE – EMERGENCY – TRAFFIC		(back to index
McNamara v Queensland Police Service [2015] QCA 99	40/15	Feared would be run off the road
Prince v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 187	46/15	Failing to stop at red light. Objective test
Dawson v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 295	94/15	Disobeying the speed limit
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310	6/18	Driving under the influence of liquor
Parr v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 73	47/21	Driving without a licence (disqualified by court order)
DEFENCE – EXERCISE OF RIGHT OF WAY OR EASEM	ENT	(back to index
Marshall v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 261	79/15	Whether assault was unlawful
DEFENCE – HONEST CLAIM		(back to index
Scriven v Sargent (No. 2) [2016] QDC 16	14/16	Carrying out assessable development without an effective permit
Banks v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 232	5/19	Unlawful possession of a prohibited weapon (whether a fishing knife is a weapon)
DEFENCE – MISTAKE		(back to index
Leyden v Venkat [2015] QDC 028	1/15	Mistake of fact vs mistake of law
Crossing v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 94	13/15	Prosecution to exclude beyond reasonable doubt
<i>Commissioner of Police v Flanagan</i> [2018] QCA 109	51/18	Police use of force
Banks v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 232	5/19	Unlawful possession of a prohibited weapon (whether a fishing knife is a weapon)
McDonald v Holeszko [2018] QDC 204	7/19	Carrying out assessable development without an effective development permit
Walden v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 63	56/19	"Evidentiary onus" of the defence of mistake of fact
DEFENCE – MISTAKE – TRAFFIC		(back to index
McNamara v Queensland Police Service [2015] QCA 99	40/15	Honest and reasonable belief that would be run off the road
Prince v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 187	46/15	Failing to stop at red light
Rowley v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 88	49/17	Disobeying the speed limit
Mizikovsky v QPS [2018] QDC 249	11/19	Failing to keep left of a dividing line. Section 24 was

DEFENCE – MISTAKE – TRAFFIC – DISQUALIFIED DRIVING

Valk v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 200	78/16	Disqualified driving
Smith v Coulter [2016] QDC 217	86/16	Disobeying the speed limit
Manning v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC	15/17	Driving whilst disqualified by court order
326		
Clampett v Queensland Police Service [2016] QCA	19/17	Driving a motor vehicle whilst his driver's licence
345		was suspended
Scrivens v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	78/17	Driving unlicensed demerit points
189		

DEFENCE – OF A DWELLING

DEFENCE – OF A DWELLING			(back to index)
Caddies v Birchell [2017] QDC 274	107/17	s 267 Criminal Code Act 1889	

DEFENCE – PROVOCATION		(back to index)
Taurino v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 322	109/15	No onus on Crown to establish the absence of provocation or self defence if not raised on the evidence
Caddies v Birchell [2017] QDC 274	107/17	Whether an omission can amount to provocation
JEJ v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 64	43/21	Ordinary person test, provocation and proportionality, whether acting to prevent repetition of an insult
DIFFICULT LITIGANTS		(back to index)
Hainaut v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 223	12/20	Integrity of proceedings where self-represented litigant
Murray v Pinder & Anor [2020] QSC 385	11/21	How defendant is named, legal identity. Reference to <i>Bradley v The Crown</i> [2020] QCA 252
DISCLOSURE		(back to index)
O'Neill v Rankine and Westphal v Foster [2015] NTSC 24	89/15	Whether magistrate has an implied power to dismiss charges for non-compliance with disclosure orders
Commissioner of Police v Joseph [2018] QMC 12	67/18	Application for disclosure: production of documents; public interest immunity; warrants: disclosure of applications for search warrants
Cotter v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 91	33/20	Where defence raised on the evidence and not challenged by the prosecution through cross-

<i>R v Turner</i> [2020] QDCPR 108	5/21	Whether disclosure of a redacted document was contrary to public interest
DISHONESTY		(back to index)
R v Dillon; Ex parte Attorney-General (Qld) [2015]	49/15	Definition of dishonesty
QCA 155		
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – CONTRAVENTION		(back to index)
ACP v Queensland Police Service (No 2) [2017]	7/18	Contravention of an ouster order and the meaning
QDC 293		of 'vacate'
REW v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 213	89/18	Contravening release conditions

13/21

examination of the defendant

No case to answer

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – COSTS

OSE v HAN [2020] QDC 309

LKL v BSL [2015] QDC 337	81/15	Whether an aggrieved can rely on an application
		without further affidavit evidence
KAV v Magistrate Bentley & Anor [2016] QSC 46	33/16	Withdrawal of an application. All three elements of
		s.157(2) must be met before costs awarded.
NBE v PRT & Anor [2018] QDC 29	30/18	Costs on a civil application – where magistrate made
		costs order without hearing the application in the
		absence of the aggrieved
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – CROSS-APPLICATIONS		(back to inde
SRV v Commissioner of the Queensland Police	73/20	Interpretation of section 4(2)(e) – orders can be
Service & Anor [2020] QDC 208		made in both cross applications
RIS v DOL & Anor [2021] QDC 154	67/21	Procedural fairness when considering cross
		applications, in circumstances where the
		applications were decided without a hearing and
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – EMOTIONAL/PSYCHOLOGI	CAL ABUSE	without proper consideration of section 151 (back to inde
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – EMOTIONAL/PSYCHOLOGI MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126	CAL ABUSE 59/20	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126		(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126	59/20	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126		(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178	59/20	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred;
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178	59/20 84/18	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred; whether order necessary or desirable
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178	59/20 84/18	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred; whether order necessary or desirable Section 24 Criminal Code (mistake of fact) did not
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178 MS v Commissioner of Police [2021] QCA 31	59/20 84/18 37/21	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred; whether order necessary or desirable Section 24 Criminal Code (mistake of fact) did not apply to a mistake by the appellant in the
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178 MS v Commissioner of Police [2021] QCA 31	59/20 84/18 37/21	(back to inder Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inder (back to inder)) (back t
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178 MS v Commissioner of Police [2021] QCA 31 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER – EVID	59/20 84/18 37/21 DENCE	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred; whether order necessary or desirable Section 24 Criminal Code (mistake of fact) did not apply to a mistake by the appellant in the interpretation of the Family Court Order (back to inde
MNT v MEE [2020] QDC 126 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER JAW v Reed [2018] QDC 178 MS v Commissioner of Police [2021] QCA 31 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER – EVID CR v CM [2015] QDC 146	59/20 84/18 37/21 PENCE 27/15	(back to inde Overall controlling behaviour, or emotional or psychological abuse (back to inde Whether an act of domestic violence occurred; whether order necessary or desirable Section 24 Criminal Code (mistake of fact) did not apply to a mistake by the appellant in the interpretation of the Family Court Order (back to inde Similar fact evidence Whether an aggrieved can rely on an application

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MAKING AN ORDER –	PROCEDURAL	FAIRNESS (back to index
<i>SM v AA</i> [2015] QDC 172	35/15	Non-appearance by respondent – obligation of magistrate to hear and decide the matter
<i>JC v KP</i> [2017] QDC 175	56/17	Consent orders; explanation of order before order made
PRH v LPL & Anor. [2020] QDC 17	36/21	Appeal against making a 7 year ouster order; procedural fairness
AMA v PGM [2021] QDC 26	38/21	Protection Order made in the absence of appellant. Procedural fairness where appellant not notified of new hearing date

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE		(back to index)
<i>BJH v CJH</i> [2016] QDC 27	22/16	Where order was not necessary or desirable
<i>DMK v CAG</i> [2016] QDC 106	44/16	Necessary or desirable invokes a very wide and general power
<i>RWT v BZX</i> [2016] QDC 246	100/16	Adequacy of reasons

WJ v AT [2016] QDC 211

29/17 Naming of children in order

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE -		
AJS v KLB & Anor [2016] QDC 103	43/16	3 stage process
ACP v McAulliffe [2017] QDC 294	8/18	The 3 stage process is discretionary
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS		(back to inde
<i>RCK v MK</i> [2018] QDC 181	75/18	Natural justice; procedural fairness where order made in absence of respondent; relevance of the rules of evidence & s.145
SMF v PDF & Anor [2020] QDC 174	50/20	Procedural fairness, reasonable opportunity to present a case
PRH v LPL & Anor. [2020] QDC 17	36/21	Not allowing significant proportions of evidence before the court amounted to a denial of natural justice
<i>AMA v PGM</i> [2021] QDC 26	38/21	Protection Order made in the absence of appellant. Procedural fairness where appellant not notified of new hearing date
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – PROCEDURE		(back to inde
KAV v Magistrate Bentley & Anor [2016] QSC 46	33/16	Withdrawal of an application
ZXA v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 248	103/16	Court has a wide discretion to control the hearing process
HDI v HJQ [2020] QDC 83	31/20	No power to order a permanent stay of an application
<i>OSE v HAN</i> [2020] QDC 309	13/21	Implied power to summarily dismiss on grounds no case to answer
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – RETROSPECTIVE		(back to inde
<i>R v Poynter</i> [2016] QDC 82	49/16	Recording convictions as domestic violence offences
<i>R v CLN</i> [2016] QDC 111	51/16	s.9(10A) PSA amendment procedural in nature and operates retrospectively
<i>R v BNQ</i> [2016] QDC 113	52/16	s.9(10A) PSA amendment procedural in nature and operates retrospectively
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – VARIATION OF ORDERS		(back to inde
<i>ECW v ECW</i> [2018] QDC 166	72/18	Duty to consider original grounds of application and grounds to vary
Jones v DBA [2019] QDC 149	20/20	Power to vary under s.42(3) does not give court power to change TPO into a 5 year protection order
ABF v DZT [2020] QDC 136	60/20	Change in circumstance not required
DRUGS		(back to inde
Grams v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 287	4/18	Necessity of proof of purity or weight of drug
Whillans v The Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 40	35/18	Defendant prescribed restricted drugs without being endorsed
Goulding v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 52	45/21	No certificate tendered at sentence. Magistrate erred in proceeding on basis that all powder was methylamphetamine.
DRUGS – POSSESSION		(back to inde
QPS v Price & Anor [2014] QMC 31	33/15	Possession and supply

Christiansen v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 301	11/18	Possession knowledge and control, possession of utensils or pipes
Lee v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 296	1/22	The drug was found in a locked room used as the applicant's bedroom and office, and next to her personal belongings. Where it was argued that the possession was not particularised as actual possession or occupier's liability: [124]-[138]
ELECTIONS VOTING		(back to index
Pettet v Van Der Merwe [2016] QCA 13	17/16	Prosecution for failing to vote at a council and state election
EVIDENCE		(back to index
REW v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 213	89/18	CCTV showing date and time stamp, whether reliable
Harvey v Queensland Police Service & Director of Public Prosecutions (Queensland) [2019] QCA 5	19/19	Illegality; discretion to admit
EVIDENCE – ADMISSIONS		(back to index
R v Thurlow [2015] QCA 89	20/15	Declining an interview
R v Kennedy [2015] QDC 246	65/15	Exclusion of admissions
Bowers v R [2015] QDC 276	87/15	Exclusion of roadside conversation and record of interview
R v Chilnicean [2016] QCA 26	13/16	Admissibility of evidence of taped conversations / quality of transcripts
Nguyen v The Queen [2020] HCA 23	52/20	Prosecution obliged to tender a recorded interview containing mixed statements
EVIDENCE – ADMISSIONS – VOLUNTARINESS		(back to index
R v Philip [2015] QDC 262	75/15	Admissions, exclusion of a record of interview, voluntariness and intoxication
R v Gamar Eldin [2016] QDC 206	88/16	Confession admissibility, unfairness, voluntariness
<i>R v S</i> [2019] QChC 1	21/19	Admissions; application to exclude; 10 year old child; voluntariness; role of a support person
EVIDENCE – BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES		(back to index
Leigh v Bruder Expedition Pty Ltd [2020] QCA 246	6/21	Error not to instruct the jury in terms of <i>Briginshaw v</i> Briginshaw (1938) 60 CLR 336
EVIDENCE – BROWNE v DUNN		(back to index
Cox v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 220	56/15	Applying the rule in <i>Browne v Dunn</i> when a defendant is unrepresented
Davis v Commissioner of Police [2016] QCA 246	28/17	Rule in Browne v Dunn
<i>R v JAE</i> [2021] QCA 287	4/11	Discussion of rule and the general rule of practice by which a cross-examiner should put to an opponent's witness matters that are inconsistent with the witness' evidence and which are intended to be asserted in due course: [45]-[50]
EVIDENCE – CIRCUMSTANTIAL		(back to index
	13/16	Drug offences. See [40]

Bernard v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 283	4/17	Principles of circumstantial evidence. See [45] – [67]
Austin v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 159	66/17	Whether defendant was residing in Queensland. See [30]
<i>R v McGeady</i> [2020] QDC 65	29/20	DNA evidence on the fingertip of a latex glove
		dropped at the scene of the offence
VIDENCE – DNA		(back to inde
<i>R v Hasrouny</i> [2020] QCA 163	55/20	Incomplete DNA profiles matching defendant located on items left behind at scene of offence
R v McGeady [2020] QCA 267	7/21	Example of reaching a conclusion that the evidence excludes all reasonable hypothesis consistent with innocence
EVIDENCE – EXPERTS		(back to inde
Storry v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 282	2/18	Admissibility of expert report
Forrest v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 47	37/18	Traffic; admissibility police opinion; whether expert
Ring v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 32	37/19	Exceeding the speed limit – admissibility of staged video and traffic experts report
Lee v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 296	1/22	Admissibility of the evidence of a police officer as an expert in common words relating to prostitution on premises: [100]-[107]
EVIDENCE – FLIGHT		(back to inde
<i>R v Thurlow</i> [2015] QCA 89	20/15	Leaving the scene
EVIDENCE – FRESH EVIDENCE		(back to inde
MAR v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 144	26/15	Test to apply at [8]
Queensland Police Service v Messer [2016] QDC 214	83/16	Application by prosecution to adduce fresh evidence dismissed
R v Melrose [2016] QCA 202	84/16	Application to adduce fresh evidence [13], [14]
EVIDENCE – HEARSAY		(back to inde
JLK v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 128	56/18	Whether medical records that complainant was intoxicated were hearsay
Whiley v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	85/21	Wrongful admission of body-worn camera footage
241		that contained out of court hearsay statements
VIDENCE – IDENTIFICATION		(back to inde
Solomon v QPS [2015] QDC 307	104/15	Duty to identify weaknesses in identification evidence, cross-racial identification
Moke v R [2017] QDC 157	59/17	Application to exclude photo board evidence, earlier Facebook identification
Hendrey v Bowdern [2017] QDC 240	96/17	Photo board and dock
EVIDENCE – LIES		(back to inde
R v Nash [2020] QCA 127	36/20	Use of lies towards consciousness of guilt
EVIDENCE – PRIVILEGE		(back to inde

Wassmuth v Commissioner of Police [2018] QCA 290	90/18	Right not to self-incriminate
Commissioner of Police v Barbaro [2020] QCA 230	75/20	Legal professional privilege attached to information in a mobile telephone
EVIDENCE – PROTECTED WITNESS		(back to index)
R v Armstrong [2015] QCA 189	70/15	Cross-examination of a protected witness
EVIDENCE – SEARCH		(back to index
Shorten v The Commissioner of the Queensland	96/15	Whether a Police Powers and Responsibilities Act
Police Service [2015] QDC 300	50/15	2000 warrant can be issued for Commonwealth offences
<i>R v Sauer</i> [2016] QDC 341	20/17	Lawfulness and power of police to seize a camera presented at the front counter of a police station
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – LOSS OF EVIDENCE		(back to index
R v Toon [2015] QSC 117	17/15	Reasonable likelihood that evidence would be concealed or destroyed.
R v Hickey [2016] QDC 119	53/16	Wanted for questioning in relation to outstanding matters, attempted to destroy evidence, concerns further evidence would be lost
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – MOBILE PHONE		(back to index
<i>R v N</i> [2015] QSC 91	9/15	No power to search the mobile phone, no risk of pre-search erasure, public policy grounds
R v Varga [2015] QDC 82	10/15	Authority under s 154 <i>Police Powers and Responsibilities Act</i> 2000
R v Tanner (No 2) [2015] QDC 267	84/15	Search warrant not validly issued
Wassmuth v Commissioner of Police [2018] QCA 290	90/18	Disobeying a lawful order without lawful excuse
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – POST SEARCH APPROVAL		(back to index
Carew v The Queen [2016] QSC 64	37/16	Application to exclude evidence. Use of "police" rather than "I' in application for post search approval
R v P & Anor [2016] QSC 49	46/16	Search of a vehicle, application to exclude, post search approval
<i>R v KL</i> [2017] QSC 144	77/17	Emergent search of a residential unit. Post search approval not at first reasonable opportunity
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – POWER TO SEIZE EVIDENCE		(back to index
Leeds v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 267	107/16	Search warrant reasonable suspicion, power to seize evidence s 196 Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – REASONABLE SUSPICION		(back to index
<i>R v Harnas</i> [2015] QDC 260	78/15	Whether police held a reasonable suspicion that drugs may be present in the car
Beazley v Chevathun [2018] QDC 28	21/18	Whether unlawful, whether the police had reasonable suspicion

R v Morrison [2020] QSCPR 19	51/20	Circumstances must be sufficient to induce a "reasonable suspicion" in the mind of a police officer in those circumstances
<i>R v Swayn</i> [2021] QSC 116	56/21	Police officer provided details re indicia forming basis for reasonable suspicion
R v Clarke and Warren [2021] QSCPR 12	68/21	Police officer did not provide justification for reasonable suspicion
<i>R v Paull</i> [2021] QSCPR 22	97/21	Search of pedestrian. Held that none of the prescribed circumstances in ss.29 and 41 PPRA were present to allow police to stop and detain him and requiring him to produce ID – consequent search and discovery of drugs was then unlawful
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – VEHICLE		(back to index
<i>R v Toon</i> [2015] QSC 117	17/15	Reasonable likelihood that evidence would be concealed or destroyed
R v Bennett [2016] QDC 108	50/16	Whether clip seal bag located via torch light through windows sufficient to amount to a search
<i>R v Hickey</i> [2016] QDC 119	53/16	Wanted for questioning in relation to outstanding matters, attempted to destroy evidence, concerns further evidence would be lost
<i>R v BXT</i> [2016] QSC 211	92/16	Reasonable suspicion – indicia, failed to provide licence which was in the vehicle, information on police computer system
R v Watson [2017] QSC 4	53/17	Use of hire car associated with drug offending
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – VEHICLE – CONSENT		(back to inde
<i>R v Cahill</i> [2016] QSC 275	7/17	Police did not expressly detain the vehicle and occupants, consented to search
EVIDENCE – SEARCH – VEHICLE – EXCLUDED		(back to inde
R v Barbaro & Anor [2015] QSC 346	98/15	No post-search approval obtained, not within parameters of s31 of the <i>Police Powers and</i> <i>Responsibilities Act 2000</i> , Crown conceded search was unlawful
<i>R v P & Anor</i> [2016] QSC 49	46/16	Post search application not made for 28 days after search
<i>R v Purdon</i> [2016] QSC 128	55/16	Vehicle not intercepted for any of the prescribed purposes under s60(3) of the <i>Police Powers and</i> <i>Responsibilities Act 2000</i>
GJK v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 288	95/21	Where police stopped a motor vehicle for a purpose not permitted under s. 60 of the PPRA and the consequent search and seizure of a substantial amount of currency was found to be unlawful
EVIDENCE – SIMILAR FACT		(back to index
<i>CR v CM</i> [2015] QDC 146	27/15	Domestic Violence – evidence of previous partner
<i>R v PWE</i> [2020] QDCPR 132	25/21	Application to exclude similar fact evidence arising out of previous convictions

ZXA v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 248	103/16	s 39R <i>Evidence Act</i> 1977 – Discretion to hear evidence by audio or audio visual link
EVIDENCE – VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS		(back to index
R v CAZ and DA [2015] QChC 6	73/15	Admissibility of victim impact statement evidence
<i>R v BCY</i> [2015] QCA 200	74/15	Uncharged conduct
<i>R v Kirk</i> [2015] QSC 336	110/15	Admissibility of statements relating to offence other than that charged
EVIDENCE – WEISSENSTEINER		(back to index
Austin v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 159	66/17	See [30]. The Queen v Baden-Clay [2016] HCA 35
<i>R v McEwan</i> [2019] QCA 16	20/19	See [14] – [15]. <i>Azzopardi v The Queen</i> (2001) 205 CLR 50
EXECUTIVE LIABILITY		(back to index
Goli v Blue 11 Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson	61/18	Who is concerned in, or takes part in, the
Residential Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson Commercial		management of the corporation
Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson; Goli v B & T Carpentry		
Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson [2018] QDC 108		
EXTORTION		(back to index)
<i>R v Sridharan</i> [2016] QDC 170	66/16	Whether proceedings should be heard in-camera and whether a non-publication order should be made
EXTRADITION		(back to index)
Abbott v Commissioner of Police [2016] QSC 95	42/16	Abuse of Process, Service and Execution of Process Act 1992 (Cth)
FAIR TRADING		(back to index)
Smithers v Office of Fair Trading [2018] QDC 243	10/19	Unlicensed second-hand dealing, "carrying on a business" of dealing
FISHERIES ACT		(back to index
Esat v Rauchle [2018] QDC 235	14/19	Damaging marine plants (mangroves)
FORFEITURE		(back to index)
<i>Fraser v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2017] QDC 116	55/17	Forfeiture of weapons and ammunition
R v Brooks [2019] QDC 27	40/19	Electronic items seized pursuant to a search warrant. Not a "penalty" to be ordered as part of a sentence.
FRAUD		(back to index)
Hines v Commissioner of Police [2016] QCA 3	9/16	Falsely Representing to be a Soldier, Improperly wearing Service decorations, <i>Defence Act</i> 1903 (Cth)
Senior Constable Sheehan v Leo [2016] QDC 131	65/16	Definition of dishonesty, <i>R v Dillon; Ex-parte</i> <i>Attorney-General</i> [2015] QCA 155

GRIEVOUS BODILY HARM

R v Lovell; Ex parte Attorney-General (Qld) [2015] QCA 136	44/15	Definition of Grievous Bodily Harm	
HEALTH PRACTITIONERS			(back to index)
Chhava y AURRA [2022] ODC E	06/22	Pocklossly holding out a parson to be r	agistarad (as

		(back to mack)
Chhaya v AHPRA [2022] QDC 5	06/22	Recklessly holding out a person to be registered (as a doctor), discussion of elements at [9]-[11]
INTENT		(back to index)
Prior v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 143	59/16	Construction of s419 of the <i>Criminal Code Act</i> 1899, intent
Isitt v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 308	8/17	Intention to apply force in an assault
R v Knudson [2021] QCA 267	88/21	Importance of ensuring a defendant is aware of the specific offence he was meant to have held an intention to commit
INTERPRETER		(back to index)
NSW Crime Commission v Sun [2015] NSWSC 494	8/15	Translation gave misleading impression of evidence
R v Chilnicean [2016] QCA 26	13/16	Translation of document
<i>R v TAN</i> [2020] QCA 64	27/20	Entitlement of an accused person as a criminal trial to an interpreter/to understand the proceedings
JOINDER		(back to index)
R v Pollard [2015] QDC 250	66/15	Application for separate trials
LEGAL PRACTITIONER		(back to index)
Reichman v Legal Services Commissioner; Legal	63/17	Engaging in legal practice when not an admitted
Services Commissioner v Reichman [2017] QDC 158		Australian Legal Practitioner
Attorney-General for the State of Queensland v Di Carlo [2017] QSC 171	85/17	Punishment of legal practitioner for contempt of court
LIQUOR		(back to index)
Queensland Police Service v Murray [2016] QMC	64/16	Whether methylated spirits falls within the
10		definition of liquor in s 4B Liquor Act 1992
<i>Bernard v Queensland Police Service</i> [2016] QDC 283	4/17	Attempt to take liquor into a restricted area. Definition of attempt
Murray v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	76/17	Possessing liquor in a restricted area. Definition of
184 Beazley v Chevathun [2018] QDC 28	21/18	liquor, whether methylated spirits is liquor Possession of liquor in a restricted area
	·	
MEDIA R v Sutton (No 2) [2015] QSC 289	72/15	(back to index) Application by media to access and publish an
· · · ·	/2/15	exhibit
Police v Baden-Clay [2013] QMC 6	-	Release of photographic exhibits for publication – Open justice principle
MENTAL HEALTH		(back to index)
In the matters of Kenneth Charles Rankin; Sunil Kumar; Benjamin James Sciortino [2017] QMHC 8	89/17	Mental Health Act 2016: Interpretation s 803
In the matter of Nathan Peter Greenfield [2017]	23/18	Unsound mind at the time of the offending /

voluntary intoxication

QMHC 4

JKO v Queensland Police Service [2018] QMC 4	27/18	Finding of unsound mind, whether intoxication contributed to incapacity
MENTAL HEALTH – FITNESS FOR TRIAL		(back to index)
Berg v Director of Public Prosecutions (Qld) [2015] QCA 196	83/15	Definition of 'fitness for trial'
<i>R v Sridharan</i> [2017] QCA 160	80/17	Fitness to plead or be tried
R v Sitters [2018] QCA 35	25/18	Fitness for trial
In the matter of FCZ [2021] QMHC 2	86/21	Application of Presser Test when determining the question of unfitness for trial
NO CASE		(back to index)
Goli v Blue 11 Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson Residential Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson Commercial Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson; Goli v B & T Carpentry Pty Ltd; Goli v Thompson [2018] QDC 108	61/18	Test to be applied by a magistrate same as a judge sitting with a jury
PARTIES		(back to index)
Petersen v Ipswich City Council [2016] QDC 7	11/16	Meaning of "enabling"
PEACE AND GOOD BEHAVIOUR ACT		(back to index)
Hughes v Talty & Anor [2015] QDC 145	22/15	s 4(2) of the <i>Peace and Good Behaviour Act</i> 1982 – making findings
Sinclair v Lynch [2021] QDC 190	5/22	Requirement for magistrates to provide adequate reasons for a decision to grant a PGB order
PEACEFUL ASSEMBLY		(back to index)
Hemelaar v Brisbane City Council [2017] QDC 17	35/17	Breaches of the Public Land and Council Assets Local Law 2014
POLICE – OBSTRUCT POLICE		(back to index)
Lin v The Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 208	48/15	Whether officer acting in the execution of duty.
<i>QFM v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 320	108/15	Requirement that a person produce their licence Whether officer acting in the execution of duty
MTJ v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 330	2/16	Requirement to warn
<i>Townsend v Commissioner of Police</i> [2017] QDC 45	43/17	Obstruction of a police officer
Kriston v Commissioner of Police [2017] QCA 230	102/17	Obstruction of a police officer
POLICE POWERS		(back to index)
Cleret v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 41	40/17	Contravening a direction given by a police officer
Commissioner of Police v Joseph [2018] QMC 12	67/18	Application for disclosure: production of documents; public interest immunity; warrants: disclosure of applications for search warrants
POLICE POWERS – ARREST		(back to index)
Kriston v Commissioner of Police; Thompson v	52/17	Reasonable force to effect an arrest
Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 105 WTM v Commissioner of Police [2019] QChC 2	26/19	Arrest, whether lawful; obstruct police

<i>R v Elliott</i> [2020] QDC 243	2/21	Process of determination of whether a police officer was acting lawfully when using force and acting in the execution of his duty
Sanchez & Sanchez v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 76	48/21	Whether police were authorised by s365 to arrest without warrant
Gill v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 260	82/21	Use of force in effecting arrest needs to be no more than is reasonably necessary
PRACTICE		(back to index
The Queen v Stanley Stanley Jnr [2015] QSC 327	92/15	Criminal Law (Rehabilitation of Offenders) Act 1986
Sbresni v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 18	15/16	Whether the Magistrates Court is bound by the District Court
Case stated by a magistrate at Brisbane [2017] QDC 48	31/17	Case Stated: Applicable principles
Forrest v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 47	37/18	Amendment of complaint
Russell v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 117	76/19	Magistrate misunderstood the elements of the offence
PRACTICE – DISCLOSURE		(back to inde
O'Neill v Rankine and Westphal v Foster [2015]	89/15	Whether magistrate has an implied power to dismiss
NTSC 24		charges for non-compliance with disclosure orders
Murray v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 13	23/19	Schedule of facts not provided to defence prior to tender
PRACTICE – DUPLICITY		(back to inde
Timothy Michael Kelly v Queensland Police	98/21	Discussion of cases and principles outlining when
Service [2021] QDC 315		offences should be charged separately
PRACTICE – EXHIBITS – LOST		(back to inde
REW v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 213	89/18	Exhibits lost between trial and appeal
PRACTICE – EXHIBITS – MARKING		(back to inde
Johnson v QPS [2015] QDC 264	80/15	Necessity to mark tendered documents as exhibits
Ross v Commissioner of Police [2013] QDC 315	107/15	Necessity to mark tendered material as exhibits
Chapman v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 141	58/16	Necessity to mark tendered documents as exhibits
Parker v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 354	27/17	Marking exhibits at sentence
Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358	38/17	Necessity to mark tendered material as exhibits
Ballard v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 174	70/17	Obligation to mark tendered documents as exhibits
Trott v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 165	77/18	Desirability of marking exhibits
PRACTICE – JUSTICES ACT – s142A		(back to inde
Atkin v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 224	58/15	s 142A Justices Act 1886 – Sentencing a person to a term of imprisonment in their absence
<i>Friend v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 228	59/15	s 142A Justices Act 1886 – Requirement to comply
<i>Kleinig v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 304	100/15	s142A Justices Act 1886 – proceeding pursuant to section
Lambert v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 147	57/17	s142A, s147A <i>Justices Act</i> 1886 - application to reopen out of time where conviction recorded against wrong person, discretion to extend time

PRACTICE – JUSTICES ACT – s145

PRACTICE – JUSTICES ACT – s145		(back to index)
Pogadaev v Stewart [2016] QDC 316	10/17	s 145 <i>Justices Act</i> 1886 – arraigning defendant. Endorsing bench charge sheet
PRACTICE – JUSTICES ACT – s147		(back to index)
Thammaruknon v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 31	23/16	Jurisdiction: Pleas of guilty, equivocal, lack of understanding of charges and plea, whether s 147A <i>Justices Act</i> 1886 permits a magistrate to re-open a matter and set aside a plea of guilty
Lambert v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 147	57/17	s142A, s147A <i>Justices Act</i> 1886 - application to reopen out of time where conviction recorded against wrong person, discretion to extend time

RACTICE – NATURAL JUSTICE AND PROCEDURAL F	AIRNESS	(back to ind
Nolan v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 9	16/16	Defendant giving evidence, fact finding, judicial notice, natural justice
Charrington v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 277	101/16	Brief of evidence provided on day of hearing, intervention by the magistrate in the nature of cross-examination, adequacy of reasons
Carrick v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 72	44/18	Obligation to ensure natural justice
Strbak v The Queen [2020] HCA 10	14/20	Sentencing judge drew adverse inferences from appellant's silence when making factual findings

PRACTICE – NATURAL JUSTICE AND PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS – REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD

		(back to index)
Bulmer v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC	77/16	Proceeded on factual basis unknown to parties and
197		no opportunity to be heard
Jones v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 182	76/18	Providing an opportunity to be heard
Ryan v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 206	76/21	See <i>R v Cunningham</i> [2005] QCA 321: To impose a penalty without allowing the person affected to have an opportunity to respond is a clear breach of the rule of natural justice that a court is required to follow
Lee v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 262	91/21	Where magistrate failed to afford the parties an opportunity to make submissions on the imposition of a fine

PRACTICE – NATURAL JUSTICE AND PROCEDURAL	FAIRNESS	- SELF-REPRESENTED (back to index)
Hainaut v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	81/17	Reasonable opportunity to be heard, whether
208		defendant appears when says his last name is
		irrelevant
Rotar v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 209	1/19	Late disclosure to appellant at bar table in trial
McMahon v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC	9/21	Proceedings explained to defendant
323		
Hoang v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 69	32/21	Requirement to comply with s552I Criminal Code.
PRACTICE – PARTICULARS		(back to index
Walden v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC	56/19	Insufficient particulars of charge given by the
63		prosecution; consequences of incorrect pleading of
		a charge

Commissioner of Police v Callaghan & Anor	31/15	(back to index Courts functions and powers
[2015] QSC 163	31/15	courts functions and powers
Cintra-Suarez v Commissioner of Police [2015]	60/15	Limited understanding of English
QDC 230	00/15	
Burke v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 227	91/17	Defendant pleading guilty when insufficient
		evidence to support charge
Walker v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 125	75/19	Whether unequivocal plea entered
Stapleton v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC	8/20	Fail to take plea at commencement of the hearing;
190		weight placed on erroneous or irrelevant matters;
	00/04	procedural fairness where mental health matter
R v Knudson [2021] QCA 267	88/21	Where plea was not a true admission of guilt
PRACTICE – PLEA OF GUILTY – TAKE INTO ACCOUN	г	(back to index
Andrews v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 89	47/18	Taking plea of guilty into account
PRACTICE – PLEA OF GUILTY – WITHDRAWAL		(back to inde
AJM v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 25	32/19	Withdrawal of a plea of guilty
R v NOL [2019] QChC 13	72/19	Principles concerning leave to withdraw guilty pleas
PRACTICE – PROCEEDINGS		(back to inde
Demosthenes Doyle Mosquera v Coates and	62/17	Committal Proceeding: application to cross-
Fagan [2017] QSC 134		examine, whether refusal reviewable
Trimble v Commonwealth Director of Public	63/18	Whether a defendant has surrendered to the
Prosecutions [2018] QDC 142		jurisdiction of the court
McDonald v Holeszko [2018] QDC 204	7/19	Litigating on an agreed statement of facts
LIB v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 259	15/19	Fact finding
PRACTICE – PROCEEDINGS – JUDICIAL INTERVENTIO	ON	(back to inde
Jens Gravlev v The Commissioner of Police [2017]	72/17	Intervention by magistrate
QDC 168	,	
Hendrey v Bowdern [2017] QDC 240	96/17	Expressing observations during course of hearing
<i>R v Gibb</i> [2018] QCA 120	34/18	Judicial intervention, revocation of bail, refusal of adjournment, fitness for trial
Mizikovsky v QPS [2018] QDC 249	11/19	Judicial Notice, Judicial Officers 'entering the arena'. Magistrate engaged in cross-examination. See See Yuill v Yuill [1945] P 15 and Jones v National Coal
		Board [1957] 2 QB 55.
PRACTICE – PROCEEDINGS – RE-OPENING PROSECU		
Van Hese v Brown [2015] QDC 092	7/15	Re-opening the prosecution case
PRACTICE – REASONS – ADEQUACY		(back to inde
Davis v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 253	67/15	Credibility and adequacy of reasons for judgement
Charrington v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 255	101/16	Brief of evidence provided on day of hearing,
277	101/10	intervention by the magistrate in the nature of
Formaty Commissioner of Dalias [2017] OCA 122	70/17	cross-examination, adequacy of reasons
Forrest v Commissioner of Police [2017] QCA 132	74/17	Reasons for decision, purpose of reasons, task of

75/17

Harris v Griffin [2017] QDC 164

District Court on appeal

Adequacy of reasons

SFN v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 216	87/17	Adequacy of reasons, advice to unrepresented defendants
Timothy Michael Kelly v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 315	98/21	Reiterates the requirement for a magistrate to provide adequate reasons
PRACTICE – SENTENCING		(back to inde
Caddies v Birchell [2017] QDC 274	107/17	Need to sentence following conviction, fact finding
Bye v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 74	45/18	Requirement to announce sentence in court
R v NT [2018] QCA 106	48/18	Taking pre-sentence custody into account
Reynolds v Orora Packaging Australia Pty Ltd [2019] QDC 31	36/19	Reliance on unauthorised reports of sentences
Batty v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 51	50/19	Appeal against a refusal to re-open a sentence under s147A to set aside a guilty plea
PRACTICE – SENTENCING – COMPARABLE DECISION	NS	(back to inde
<i>Ross v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2016] QDC 205	80/16	Consideration of cases not referred to by defence
Burmeister v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 278	106/17	Reliance on comparable cases
PRACTICE – SENTENCING – SUBMISSIONS ON IMPR	RISONMEN	T (back to inde
ARS v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 103	50/18	Imposing imprisonment without giving defence opportunity to address option
JMM v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 130	57/18	Failure to invite submissions on imprisonment
PROTESTS PROSTITUTION Lee v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 296	1/22	(back to inde (back to inde Appeal against conviction and sentence for knowingly carrying on the business of providing
		unlawful prostitution
PUBLIC NUISANCE		(back to inde
Sam v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 184	69/16	Sentence appeal – dismissed
David v Joel [2017] QDC 256	400/47	
	100/17	Offensive behaviour
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310	100/17 6/18	Offensive behaviour At a hospital
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310		At a hospital
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310		At a hospital
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310 SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE	6/18	At a hospital (back to inde Pursuant to the provisions of s16 of the Criminal Code child cannot be punished for the offence
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310 SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE R v S; R v L [2015] QChC 003	6/18	At a hospital (back to inder Pursuant to the provisions of s16 of the Criminal Code child cannot be punished for the offence under s59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992 Follows <i>R v Dibble ex parte Attorney-General (Qld)</i>
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310 SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE R v S; R v L [2015] QChC 003 R v Sanderson [2015] QDC 106	6/18 4/15 15/15	At a hospital (back to inder Pursuant to the provisions of s16 of the Criminal Code child cannot be punished for the offence under s59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992 Follows <i>R v Dibble ex parte Attorney-General (Qld)</i> [2014] QCA 8 Appeal against an order of a magistrate to stay a
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310 SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE R v S; R v L [2015] QChC 003 R v Sanderson [2015] QDC 106 Brown v Latter [2016] QDC 35	6/18 4/15 15/15 26/16 33/19	At a hospital (back to inder Pursuant to the provisions of s16 of the Criminal Code child cannot be punished for the offence under s59A of the Youth Justice Act 1992 Follows <i>R v Dibble ex parte Attorney-General (Qld)</i> [2014] QCA 8 Appeal against an order of a magistrate to stay a charge

SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE – FRESH CHARGES		(back to index
Mbuzi v Commissioner of Queensland Police	77/15	Where police offer no evidence and later issue fresh
[2015] QDC 257		charges
SECTION 16 CRIMINAL CODE – PUNISHABLE ACT TI	EST	(back to inde
Finnigan v Stenton [2009] QDC (unreported)	27/16	Punishable act test. R v Gordon; ex parte Attorney-
		General (1975) Qd R 301
SENTENCE – ADMISSIONS (AB v THE QUEEN)		(back to index
<i>R v Saunders</i> [2016] QCA 221	87/16	Where offending without confession would not have been known <i>AB v The Queen</i> (1999) 198 CLR 111
Russell v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 183	78/18	Mitigation disclosure of offence AB v The Queen (1999) 198 CLR 111
SENTENCE – ASSAULT OCCASIONING BODILY HAR	М	(back to index
Laine v Senior Constable DG Hubbard [2016] QDC	35/16	Assault occasioning bodily harm, common assault
47		and breach of suspended sentence
La Carta v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 68	36/16	Assault occasioning bodily harm justifying actual imprisonment
EBH v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 115	68/19	Appeal against recording of conviction (appeal allowed)
SENTENCE – ASSAULT OCCASIONING BODILY HARI		HOL (back to index
Quatermass v The Commissioner of Police [2015]	37/15	Alcohol-fuelled violence, complainant unconscious,
QDC 169	07710	facial fractures
Payne v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 294	102/15	Alcohol-fuelled violence
· · · ·		
SENTENCE – ASSAULT OCCASIONING BODILY HAR	M – CIRCU	•
Payne v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 294	102/15	In a public place while adversely affected by alcohol
Ross v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 205	80/16	Assault occasioning bodily harm in company
NAS v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 173	73/17	Assault occasioning bodily harm whilst armed – a domestic violence offence
Bolton v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	53/18	While armed. Road rage incident. Magistrate led
114		into error on maximum penalty
SENTENCE – BREACH OF BAIL		(back to index
Sullivan v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 220	69/20	Fine imposed on appeal following initial custodial sentence
SENTENCE – BURGLARY		(back to index
Wagstaff v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC	21/17	Burglary with a circumstance of aggravation - victim
344		impact statements inconsistency with facts
Annas v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 251	12/19	Serious criminal history of like nature
SENTENCE – CHILD EXPLOITATION MATERIAL		(back to index
<i>R v Sperling</i> [2021] QCA 40	30/21	Sentencing judge erred in taking into account the
R V Spering [2021] QCA 40		
		effect of a recent amendment to s 9(4) of the

50/21	Conviction would require defendant to be subject to requirements of the <i>Child Protection (Offender</i>
	Reporting and Offender Prohibition Order) Act 2004
FIVATION	(back to index
10/20	Undue weight given to type of activity in an area
64/20	Trespass when obstructing a railway for political
	reasons [63]
	(back to index)
38/15	Spitting common assault – civilian
93/18	Common assault in a public place whilst adversely
	affected by an intoxicating substance (on parole)
	(back to index)
9/16	Falsely Representing to be a Soldier, Improperly
	wearing Service decorations, Defence Act 1903 (Cth)
72/16	7 x fail to lodge income tax returns and 31 x failing
	to lodge GST returns
	Centrelink overpayment \$54638.45
67/17	Centrelink overpayment \$40121.90. Release after
05/47	one third
95/1/	Dishonesty pursuant to the Bankruptcy Act 1966
103/17	Centrelink fraud of \$16,070.81 (obtaining financial advantage)
19/20	3 x obtaining financial advantage from the
13/20	Commonwealth; psychologist report not put before the court
	(back to index)
5/15	s 17A Crimes Act 1914 – term of imprisonment
	(back to index)
•	s 19B Crimes Act 1914 – Two-step process
25/16	s 19B <i>Crimes Act</i> 1914 – bond. Failing to furnish an income tax return over 6 financial years
79/18	s 19B Crimes Act 1914 – recording a conviction
54/19	Application of s19B of the Crimes Act 1914 (Cth).
	"trivial" and "extenuating circumstances" examined
	and applied.
93/21	Example of the process of determination in imposing a s19B order
SERVICE	(back to index)
45/17	Use a telephone service in a menacing, harassing or offensive way; distribute prohibited visual recordings (revenge porn)
	IVATION 10/20 64/20 38/15 93/18 9/16 72/16 39/17 67/17 95/17 103/17 19/20 3/15 25/15 3/15 25/16 79/18 54/19 93/21

Shields v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	44/21	Using a carriage service to menace, harass or cause
51	11/21	offence
SENTENCE – COMPENSATION		(back to index
Payne v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 294	102/15	Unable to pay compensation, not based on quantifiable loss
Laine v Senior Constable DG Hubbard [2016] QDC 47	35/16	Compensation order time to pay
<i>Townsend v Commissioner of Police</i> [2017] QDC 45	43/17	Compensation for injury to police officer and damage to spectacles
Randall-Salam v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 65	55/19	Restitution different from compensation; procedural fairness in sentencing and considering compensation order
Hemmings v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 172	74/21	Potential consequences of a restitution order (and capacity to pay) are relevant to consideration of overall appropriate sentence
Goltz v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 220	84/21	There was no evidence before the court that the appellant had capacity to pay compensation
SENTENCE – COMPENSATION – IMPRISONMENT		(back to index
Blatch v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 242	96/16	Sentence Imprisonment/Compensation: Capacity to pay
Goodwin v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 349	23/17	Coupling a compensation order and actual imprisonment
Owens v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 143	60/21	Restitution different from compensation; see ss35 and 36 <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992. Where order made necessary to articulate orders with reference to statutory provision
SENTENCE – COMPENSATION – SPER		(back to index
Peberdy v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 138	54/17	Compensation referred to SPER
Mar v Queensland Building & Construction Commission [2017] QDC 304	13/18	Order referred to SPER or imp in default - consequences of each approach
SENTENCE – CO-OPERATION		(back to index
R v Melrose [2016] QCA 202	84/16	Lack of co-operation by defendant
SENTENCE – CRIMINAL HISTORY		(back to index
Gavey v Mellor [2015] QDC 282	90/15	Weight to be given to a plea of guilty
Roll v QPS [2015] QDC 296	95/15	Use to be made of criminal histories
<i>Gemmell v Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 318	106/15	Youthful adult offender, juvenile criminal history, Offender Report Integrated Revenue Protection System Qld. Evade fare
<i>Knight v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2016] QDC 37	30/16	Cumulative sentence offence by prisoner and whether it was mandatory
Ehlers v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 6	33/17	Receipt of inadmissible children's court history
SENTENCE – CRIMINAL HISTORY – RELEVANCE		(back to index
Smalley v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 322	13/17	Sentencing principle: sentences do not inevitably increase due to an accrued criminal history
Hoger v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 145	62/18	Extensive criminal history was a relevant feature at sentence

<i>Dawkins v Queensland Police Service</i> [2018] QDC 161	68/18	Criminal history had overwhelmed the judicial officer's sentencing discretion. Undue and excessive weight given.
<i>Richardson v Commissioner of Police</i> [2018] QDC 102	93/18	Sentencing principle: an offender's criminal history cannot be given so much weight that the penalty imposed for the offence is disproportionate to the offence itself
Ruhland v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 265	4/21	Regard had to prior offences and factual matrix around sentencing

SENTENCE – CRIMINAL HISTORY – RELEVANCE – TRAFFIC		(back to inde	
Ayling v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 42	42/17	Traffic record was considered indicative of continuing attitude of disobedience of the law	
Harman v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 146	65/18	Relevance of dated traffic convictions	

SENTENCE – CUMULATIVE		(back to index)
<i>R v Thiemann</i> [2015] QCA 195	71/15	Reasons for cumulative sentences
Green v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	19/16	Amount of weight placed on deterrence, review
341		aggregate sentence
Komar v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 79	45/16	Approach: identify a starting point, to consider then
		the overall effect of the sentence, bearing in mind
		its cumulative aspects, and to ensure that it is not
		disproportionate to the overall offending
ATC v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 351	24/17	Whether cumulative instead of concurrent rendered
		sentence manifestly excessive
<i>R v Kussrow</i> [2018] QCA 195	69/18	s 9(2)(I) of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992 –
		regard to "sentences already imposed on the
		offender that have not been served"
Hemmett v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	2/22	A cumulative sentence cannot be suspended
318		

ENTENCE – CUMULATIVE – PAROLE		(back to index)
Addo v Senior Constable Jacovos [2016] QDC 271	3/17	Whether offences committed while "released on
		parole" within meaning of s156(1)(b)(ii)
Norwood v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	70/18	Mandatory cumulative sentence - s156A Penalties
170		and Sentences Act 1992
BAB v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 118	73/19	Impermissibility of partial accumulation of sentence
		under s156 of the Penalties and Sentence Act;
		requirement to impose a cumulative sentence for an
		offence of AOBH under s156A of the Penalties and

Sentences Act

SENTENCE – CUMULATIVE – TOTALITY		(back to index
Szucs v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 190	39/15	Must ensure that the aggregation of sentences imposed on an offender is a just and appropriate measure of the total criminality involved
<i>Mcllwain v Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 332	3/16	Cumulative sentence resulted in an unusually heavy sentence. <i>R v Kitson</i> [2008] QCA 86: an unusually heavy sentence should not be imposed without opportunity to be heard

Brase v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 24	20/16	Aggregate of the sentences imposed by the
		magistrate was considered too crushing and
	00/47	disproportionate to the overall criminality
SAE v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 254	99/17	Suspended sentence activated cumulatively,
Goulding v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 52	45/21	appropriate consideration given to totality principl Impact on totality, manifestly excessive sentence
	43/21	impact on totailty, mannestry excessive sentence
SENTENCE – CUMULATIVE – TOTALITY – DETERREN	ICE	(back to in
Green v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	19/16	Amount of weight placed on deterrence, review
341		aggregate sentence
SENTENCE – DANGEROUS OPERATION OF A MOTO		(hook to in
Skinner v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC	56/16	Police chase (back to in
138	50,10	
EPN v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 34	23/20	In contravention of a domestic violence order
SENTENCE – DANGEROUS OPERATION OF A MOTO		•
Cook v Commissioner of the Queensland Police	71/16	Adversely affected by a drug, other driving and
Service [2016] QDC 187 Nielson v Radcliffe (Constable) [2016] QDC 213	81/16	dishonesty offences Adversely affected by an intoxicating substance.
	81/10	0.194 grams of alcohol per 200 litres of breath. See
		The Queen v Tabakovic [2005] QCA 90.
Heydt v The Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC	51/17	Adversely affected by an intoxicating substance –
104	31,17	amphetamine (0.01 mg/kg), methylamphetamine
101		(0.05 mg/kg), diazepam (0.28 mg/kg), and
		nordiazepam (0.14 mg/kg)
Pendlebury v Queensland Police [2017] QDC 166	68/17	Adversely affected by amphetamines and
		methylamphetamines. See [18] – [23]: Heydt v The
		Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 104
SENTENCE – DELAY		(back to in
<i>R v BCY</i> [2015] QCA 200	74/15	Delay between offending and sentence
<i>R v Melrose</i> [2016] QCA 202	84/16	The "7 guiding principles" at [23]
LIB v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 259	15/19	Delay in complaint Longman warning
SENTENCE – DEPORTATION		
		(back to in
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	61/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years
	61/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be
	61/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation
	61/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24].
	61/16 82/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the impact of deportation on the offender. Require
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58 <i>R v Pearson</i> [2016] QCA 212	82/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the impact of deportation on the offender. Require proof of special hardship. See [22] – [25].
R v UE [2016] QCA 58 R v Pearson [2016] QCA 212 R v Norris; Ex parte Attorney-General (Qld) [2018]	-	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the impact of deportation on the offender. Require proof of special hardship. See [22] – [25]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 2. 53 at
<i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58 <i>R v Pearson</i> [2016] QCA 212	82/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the impact of deportation on the offender. Require proof of special hardship. See [22] – [25]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 2. 53 at sentence. Prospect of an ultimately unfavourable
R v UE [2016] QCA 58 R v Pearson [2016] QCA 212 R v Norris; Ex parte Attorney-General (Qld) [2018]	82/16	Canada. Lived and worked in Australia for 10 years 29 at offence, 31 at sentence. Deportation can be relevant sentencing factor. Prospect of deportation See <i>Guden</i> (2010) 28 VR 288. Require proof of special hardship. See [9] – [24]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 12. 16, 18 an 19 at offences, 20 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58: sentencing court cannot be asked to speculate about the prospect of deportation or the impact of deportation on the offender. Require proof of special hardship. See [22] – [25]. New Zealand. Lived in Australia since 2. 53 at

82/18	New Zealand. Lived in Australia since approximately 8. 17 at offence, 18 at sentence. Referred to <i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58. See [33] – [34], [44] – [48]. Note: On 7 December 2018 the Court of Appeal upheld an appeal against this decision reported as <i>R v GBD</i> [2018] QCA 340	
13/19	New Zealand. Lived in Australia since he was 8. 17 at offence and 18 at sentence. Referred to R v UE [2016] QCA 58. See [14], [51] – [54].	
58/20	Iran, 16 at offending. Non-citizen refugee with protection visa, parents sought asylum in Australia when defendant was 10 years old. Proof of hardsh is required. Referred to <i>R v UE</i> [2016] QCA 58. See [152] – [159].	
77/20	New Zealand. 30 had been in Australia since 2006. The defendant's visa was a relevant consideration: see <i>R v Norris; ex parte Attorney-General</i> [2018] 3 Qd R 420. See [39] – [41].	
	(back to index	
12/21	Need for general deterrence – public violence	
57/21	Need for personal deterrence – disqualified driving offence – 'persistent disregard for orders of the court'	
	(back to index	
64/20	Ruled out possibility of a bond before hearing any further submissions – unduly fettered sentencing discretion	
	NWEALTH) (back to index	
18/15	Magistrate did not have sufficient regard to mitigating factors, including repayment and letter of apology	
32/18		
4/20	Stealing – sentence must not be disproportionate to the criminality of the offending	
28/21	(back to index) 123km/hr in a 110km/hr zone	
20/21		
	(back to index	
41/16 90/17	Unlawfully supplying cannabis Possession of things used in connection with the smoking of a dangerous drug. See <i>Hurst v Henry</i> [1994] QCA 383	
6/19	[1994] QCA 383 Driving whilst a relevant drug (THC and Meth)was present in his body and activated suspended sentences	
	13/19 58/20 777/20 12/21 57/21 64/20 64/20	

<i>R v RBE</i> [2021] QCA 146	61/21	Considers the application of s. 132C of the <i>Penalties</i>
		and Sentences Act 1992 in situations where the
		prosecution has not submitted upon any particular
		inferences being drawn from the statement of facts

ENTENCE – DISQUALIFICATION OF LICENCE SPJ v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 217	52/15	(back to index) Cumulative disqualification periods
Johnson v QPS [2015] QDC 264	80/15	State reasons for imposing a disqualification beyond the minimum
<i>Thimble v Queensland Police Service</i> [2016] QDC 190	73/16	Possessing liquor in a restricted area – transported by car. Licence disqualification removed
Bulmer v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 197	77/16	Possessing liquor in a restricted area. Transported by car. Interests of justice
Hughes v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 325	14/17	Use of car in offending. Abuse of the privilege of driving.
ENTENCE – DISQUALIFICATION OF LICENCE – DISC	RETION	(back to index
Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213	51/15	Discretion to order disqualification
	75/16	Driving without due care and attention. Exercise of

SENTENCE - DISQUALIFICATION OF LICENCE - REP	MOVE ABS	OLUTE DISQUALIFICATION	(back to index)
Anderson v Commissioner of Police [2021] QSC	78/21	See [36]. See also <i>R v Shirley</i> [1969] 1 W	VLR 1357,
254		Morgan v Commissioner of Police (Qld)	[2007] QDC
		10, Slivo v Commissioner of Police [201	6] QDC 46,
		Kennedy v Queensland Police Service [2	009] QDC
		181 and Burton v Commissioner of Polic	ce (Qld)
		(1990) 10 MVR 329.	

SENTENCE – DISQUALIFIED DRIVING		(back to index)
Spencer v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 273	104/17	Notice under s 47 Justices Act 1886
<i>Williams v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2019] QDC 86	60/19	Use of statistics on sentence, prevalence of offending at sentence, importance of age of an offender at sentence
Senesie Bull v The Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 35	25/20	Where applicant sentenced for burglary and disqualified driving offences, discussion of comparable cases
<i>Riddell v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2021] QDC 92	55/21	Where actual imprisonment was 'unreasonable or plainly unjust'
Benson v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 98	57/21	Drove away from police upon being identified as a disqualified driver – lack of remorse

SENTENCE – DISQUALIFIED DRIVING – HISTORY		(back to index
Angel v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 56	38/18	Disqualified by court order. History of like offending
Ayling v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 42	42/17	History of like offending. Whether there had been a
		mathematical approach to sentencing
Harman v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	65/18	Disqualified by court order. Relevance of dated
146		traffic history
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE		(back to inde)

SEINTEINCE - DOIVIESTIC VIOLEINCE		(back to index)
R v Hutchinson [2018] QCA 29	26/18	Retrospectivity of section 9(10A) of the Penalties
		and Sentences Act 1992

OWL v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 5	35/21	Totality principle, a summary of the allegations and all other pertinent and relevant matters should be stated in open court to adequately assist the court
NJB v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 42	39/21	Dismissal of appeal against conviction; finding of credibility
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – CHILD NAMED	ON ORDE	R (back to index
JMM v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 130	57/18	1 x breach DVO. 12 year old son. Was on probation for previous breach DVO
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – CROSS-ORDERS	S	(back to index
EAV v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 237	95/16	1 x Breach DVO. Cross-orders. Physical dispute. Previous breach of order
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – DETERRENCE		(back to index
MAR v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 144	26/15	See [40] – [41]. "while the mental condition of the appellant reduces his moral culpability and the impact of general deterrence on the sentence, it does not necessarily follow that personal deterrence is of no or little consequence"
SAE v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 254	99/17	See [17] – general and personal deterrence. See Smith v QPS [2015] QDC 152; R v James [2012] QCA 256; and Toby v QPS (Harrison, DCJ, Mount Isa District Court, 22 September 2016)
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – DOMESTIC VIO		FFENCE (back to index
NAS v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 173	73/17	Assault occasioning bodily harm whilst armed – domestic violence offence
<i>R v Kelley</i> [2018] QCA 18	24/18	Assault occasioning bodily harm (domestic violence offence)
Caddies v Birchell [2018] QDC 180	81/18	Assault occasioning bodily harm (domestic violence offence). Physical altercation.
Bye v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 74	45/18	1 x common assault; 1 x deprivation of liberty, 1 x assault occasioning bodily harm; and 1 x breach bail condition. Grabbed complainant by neck, bound complainant with rope, punched complainant to forehead.
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – MENTAL HEALT	тн	(back to index
MAR v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 144	26/15	Borderline personality disorder
LJS v Sweeney [2017] QDC 18	37/17	Post-traumatic stress disorder, antisocial personality traits, borderline intellectual impairment, substance abuse, and victim of child sexual and emotional abuse
		(back to index
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – PHYSICAL		
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – PHYSICAL MAR v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 144	26/15	3 x Breach DVO. Punch to the head, covered mouth and held forearm against throat. 4 x previous breaches. Borderline personality disorder

Version 2.1

		while on bail for first breach. Previous breaches,
		including one on same aggrieved
<i>LJS v Sweeney</i> [2017] QDC 18	37/17	2 x Breach DVO. Punched aggrieved, grabbed arm, pushed head into fence. Called and messaged
		aggrieved. Previous breaches
SAE v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 254	99/17	1 x Breach DVO. Punched to head while sleeping,
		other punches to head and ribs, threats. Suspended
		sentence 2 days prior for AOBH on same aggrieved
RJD v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 147	64/18	3 x Breach DVO. Struggle, threats. 6 previous
		breaches, same aggrieved
BHN v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 129	3/20	1 x Breach DVO. Punched aggrieved in stomach
		slapped her on the chin and bit her hand. Was on
		parole for 2 x previous breaches involving same
		complainant
ENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – NON-PHYSICA	L	(back to index
TZL v QPS [2015] QDC 171	36/15	1 x Breach DVO. Brief personal contact at child care
		centre and sending emails. 10 x previous breaches, 8
		involving the same aggrieved
NVZ v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 216	92/18	1 x Breach DVO. Threats made while in custody.
Queensland Police Service v JSB [2018] QDC 120	54/18	1 x Breach DVO. Verbal abuse. 6 previous breaches,
		same aggrieved
CBC v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 3	18/19	1 x contravention of domestic violence order
		(aggravated), breach no contact condition
		_
ENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – NON-PHYSICA		•
Green v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	19/16	1 x Breach DVO. Contacted aggrieved 60 times via
341		mobile to withdraw complaint. 9 previous breaches,
	00/17	8 involving same aggrieved
JHL v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 346	22/17	3 x Breach DVO. Contacted aggrieved via text with
	60/47	threats made. 22 x previous breaches
CDX v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 96	60/17	1 x Breach DVO. Contacted aggrieved. Previous
		breaches, different aggrieved
ENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – RESENTENCE F		
AMD v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 22	31/19	Contravention of domestic violence order
		(aggravated), contravene direction or requirement;
		2 x assault or obstruct police; breach of bail
		condition; trespass; resentenced for 6 x contravene
		DVO
ENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – SAME AGGRIE	VED – NO	N-PHYSICAL (back to index
<i>TZL v QPS</i> [2015] QDC 171	36/15	1 x Breach DVO. Brief personal contact at child care
		centre and sending emails. 10 x previous breaches, 8
		involving the same aggrieved
Green v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	19/16	1 x Breach DVO. Contacted aggrieved 60 times via
341		mobile to withdraw complaint. 9 x previous
		breaches, 8 involving same aggrieved
JHL v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 346	22/17	3 x Breach DVO. Contacted aggrieved via text with
		threats made. 22 x previous breaches
Queensland Police Service v JSB [2018] QDC 120	54/18	1 x Breach DVO. Verbal abuse. 6 previous breaches,
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

same aggrieved

SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – SAME AGGRIEV		
IFM v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 140	57/16	2 x Breach DVO. Punch to jaw, grabbed throat, kicked, dragged. Second breach of DVO committed while on bail for first breach. Previous breaches,
		including one on same aggrieved
BHN v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 129	3/20	1 x Breach DVO. Punched aggrieved in stomach
bint v Queensiunu i once service [2015] QBC 125	5/20	slapped her on the chin and bit her hand. Was on
		parole for 2 x previous breaches involving same
		complainant
SENTENCE – DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – SECTION 16 CR		
ETB v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 26	33/18	2 x Breach DVO, 1 x common assault (punched aggrieved). See [17] – [20]. No contravention of section 16 as each offence was a separate and distinct criminal offence.
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE		(back to inde
Mayne v Purtill [2016] QDC 124	54/16	Error in exercise of jurisdiction. P Plater. 0.185 BAC.
		Recording a conviction – impact on offender's
		economic or social wellbeing or changes of finding
		employment – real estate agent
Taylor v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 236	94/17	Prior relevant traffic history
Spencer v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 273	104/17	Notice under s 47 Justices Act 1886
Hinge v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 8	16/18	Keys in ignition, indicia of intoxication
Williams v The Commissioner of Police [2019]	60/19	Use of statistics on sentence, prevalence of
QDC 86		offered in a standard sector standard of a sector
		offending at sentence, importance of age of an offender at sentence
	OUALIFIC	offender at sentence
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS	-	offender at sentence (back to inde
	QUALIFIC 25/17	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342	25/17	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS	-	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	25/17	offender at sentence ATION (back to inder Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68	25/17 38/17 46/17	offender at sentence (back to inder ATION (back to inder Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	25/17 38/17	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions.
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DIS Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to inde
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15	ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to inde Falling asleep at wheel of a truck
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213 Healey v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 192	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15 75/16	offender at sentence ATION (back to index Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to index Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of vehicle. Discretion to order disqualification
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15	offender at sentence ATION (back to index Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to index (back to index Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of vehicle. Discretion to
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213 Healey v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 192 Smyl v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 194	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15 75/16	offender at sentence ATION (back to index Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to index Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of vehicle. Discretion to order disqualification Injured complainant while parking; culpability – terrible error of judgment vs momentary inattention. Effect of disqualification on finances
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213 Healey v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 192 Smyl v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 194	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15 75/16 9/20	offender at sentence ATION (back to inde Regard to the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to inde Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of vehicle. Discretion to order disqualification Injured complainant while parking; culpability – terrible error of judgment vs momentary inattention. Effect of disqualification on finances
SENTENCE – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE – DISC Purcell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 342 Hay v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 358 Harper v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 68 Bailey v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 168 SENTENCE – DRIVING WITHOUT DUE CARE AND AT Miller v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 213 Healey v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 192 Smyl v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 194	25/17 38/17 46/17 44/20 TENTION 51/15 75/16	offender at sentence ATION (back to inder a construction of the statutory minimum and maximum disqualification periods. 0.149 BAC Magistrate declined to hear submissions as to length of disqualification Magistrate imposed disqualification period greater than allowed. 0.147 BAC 0.21% and 12 months disqualification. Useful discussion of comparable decisions. (back to inder falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of a truck Falling asleep at wheel of vehicle. Discretion to order disqualification Injured complainant while parking; culpability – terrible error of judgment vs momentary inattention. Effect of disqualification on finances

Chapman v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 141	58/16	Possession of a dangerous drug
Abboud v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 273	22/20	Possession of 9.7 grams of methylamphetamine
Neale v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 233	71/20	13.89 grams of methylamphetamine with a purity of 6.347 grams
<i>R v Kopjar</i> [2021] QCA 219	77/21	Possession of 3.298 grams of MDMA, 1.969 grams of cocaine, 2.115 grams of psilocybin mushrooms, 2 grams of cannabis and 58 millimetres in two bottles of cannabinol oil. Sentencing judge took into account the defendant's rehabilitation, visa ramifications, variety and quantity of drugs
SENTENCE – EACH CHARGE		(back to index
George v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 163	34/15	Necessity to sentence on each charge. See [34]
SENTENCE – EXTRA CURIAL PUNISHMENT		(back to index
Crowley v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 117	49/18	Significant physical and psychological injuries
Caddies v Birchell [2018] QDC 180	81/18	Physical injuries
SENTENCE – FAIL TO STOP		(back to index
Doig v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 320	98/16	Forbes v Jingle [2014] QDC 204 not followed
Cronin v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 63	39/16	See [13]. s754(5) – action taken to avoid being intercepted. Magistrate fettered sentencing discretion believing no sentencing options other than a mandatory minimum fine were available.
Gibson v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 264	106/16	Concurrent probation and parole
<i>Powley v Queensland Police Service</i> [2017] QDC 152	58/17	Minimum penalty – imprisonment vs fine
Holden v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 217	94/18	Verdins principles; Mental Health
SENTENCE – FAIL TO STOP – COMMUNITY BASED C	RDERS	(back to index
Sbresni v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 18	15/16	<i>Forbes v Jingle</i> [2014] QDC 204. Combined probation and community service order.
Skinner v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 138	56/16	Probation as a sentencing option. <i>Forbes v Jingle</i> [2014] QDC 204
Campbell v Galea [2019] QDC 53	49/19	Whether a probation order could be imposed for a s754(2) PPRA offence of failing to stop a motor vehicle
<i>Commissioner of Police v Broederlow</i> [2020] QCA 161	38/20	Discussion of minimum and maximum penalties in s.754(2) at [32]-[34]
SENTENCE – FAMILY CONSIDERATIONS		(back to index
Bolton v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 114	53/18	Hardship on the family of the offender
R v Hannan; Ex parte Attorney-General (Qld)	73/18	Relevance of family considerations to sentence

especially separation of mother and child

[2018] QCA 201

Pora v The Queen [2015] UKPC 9	21/15	Prone to confabulate – not intentional, feature of executive brain impairment
<i>Churnside v The State of Western Australia</i> [2016] WASCA 146	1/17	Over representation of Aboriginals in the Criminal Justice System
SENTENCE – FINE		(back to index
Kelly v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 156	69/17	Defendant sentenced to imprisonment for a fine only offence
Ballard v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 174	70/17	Infringement notice penalty doesn't fetter discretion to impose lower fine. Requirements under s51 Penalties and Sentences Act 1992 to make order at the time of sentence.
Harvey v The Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 131	59/18	Quantum of fine imposed excessive having regard to mitigating factors.
NHR v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 67	42/21	Quantum of fine did not take into account the defendant's earning capacity. See <i>EBH v</i> <i>Commissioner of Police</i> [2019] QDC 115; <i>Nolan v</i> <i>Queensland Police Service</i> [2012] QDC 179; <i>R v Hollis</i> [2020] QCA 7; <i>R v Ball</i> [2012] QCA 51; and <i>R v Lude;</i> <i>R v Love</i> [2007] QCA 319. See [8] – [14].
SENTENCE – FINE – CAPACITY TO PAY		(back to index
<i>Doyle v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 155	28/15	Defendant's financial circumstances were not taken into account. Single fine imposed in relation to four offences. Fine imposed was beyond defendant's means.
Russell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 102	41/16	Magistrate did not take into account the requirements set out in s 48 <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992. See [18].
Young v White [2016] QDC 159	67/16	Magistrate did not take into account the requirements set out in s 48 <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992 and the burden the payment would be. See [72] – [74].
Avery & Ors v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 21	28/19	Financial considerations of an offender is a mandatory consideration in determining fine quantum. See Sgroi v R (1989) 40 A Crim R 197. See [26].
Nolin v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 171	10/20	Magistrate erred by imposing a sentence the did not consider the defendant's ability to pay. See [17].
SENTENCE – FINE – CAPACITY TO PAY – BURDEN		(back to index
Fourmile v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 182	68/16	Fine imposed created 'substantial burden' on defendant. Fine amount to be relative to matters set out in s48 <i>Penalties and Sentences Act 1992</i> . See [7] – [10].
Johnson v RSPCA Queensland [2016] QDC 185	70/16	Defendant was vision impaired and on disability pension. Magistrate did not consider the financial circumstances of the defendant or the nature of the burden the fine created. See [11] – [14].

Ratcliffe v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC		
144	6/20	See [18]. The defendant did not have employment or any reasonable prospects of paying the fine.
SENTENCE – FINE – CAPACITY TO PAY – DETERREN	CE	(back to inde
Bulmer v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC	77/16	Magistrate allowed general deterrence to
197		overwhelm other considerations. Fine was beyond
		capacity to pay and had little or no deterrent effect.
		See [27].
Levinge v Department of Agriculture and Fisheries	53/20	Fine amount was disproportionate to the
[2020] QDC 179		defendant's circumstances, despite the need for
		personal and general deterrence. See Bone v
		Mothershaw [2001] QDC 255 re deterrence.
SENTENCE – FINE – CAPACITY TO PAY – SPER		(back to inde
Johnson v QPS [2015 QDC 264	80/15	Fine was held to not be excessive. Payment
-		arrangements through SPER reflect personal
		circumstances of defendant to pay. See [18] – [19].
Kues-Sales v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC	38/16	Defendant's financial situation was not taken into
53	·	account. Although referred to SPER did not have a
		real prospect of paying it off in the foreseeable
		future. Breach of s48 Penalties and Sentences Act
		<i>1992</i> . See [17].
SENTENCE – FINE – TOTALITY		(back to inde
Waterloo Car Centre Pty Ltd v Commissioner of	64/17	Totality principle applies to fines
Police [2017] QDC 149	04/1/	rotaity principle applies to files
100000 [2017] (200115		
SENTENCE – FOOD		(back to inde
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported)	3/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter	3/18 20/18	•
	•	
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter	•	Council appealed adequacy of sentence
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13	•	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214	20/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	20/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214	20/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30	20/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return.
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30	20/18	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return.
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30	20/18 74/20 19/21	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30 SENTENCE – IMPRISONMENT	20/18 74/20 19/21	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30	20/18 74/20 19/21	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct should be read as actual imprisonment or include
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30	20/18 74/20 19/21	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct should be read as actual imprisonment or include wholly suspended imprisonment and imprisonment
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30 SENTENCE – IMPRISONMENT R v MacDonald [2015] QCA 253	20/18 74/20 19/21 97/15	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct should be read as actual imprisonment or include wholly suspended imprisonment and imprisonment with immediate parole Imprisoning a defendant on appeal that is otherwise
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported)Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter[2018] QDC 13SENTENCE – HARDSHIPR v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC30SENTENCE – IMPRISONMENTR v MacDonald [2015] QCA 253Greenwood v Tom [2016] QDC 196Allen v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 34	20/18 74/20 19/21 97/15 76/16 41/19	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to index Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to index Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct should be read as actual imprisonment or include wholly suspended imprisonment and imprisonment with immediate parole Imprisoning a defendant on appeal that is otherwise at liberty Whether to declare the day of sentence as time served
Higgin v Nguyen [2013] QDC (unreported) Woolworths Limited v Stacey Maree Spletter [2018] QDC 13 SENTENCE – HARDSHIP R v Ibbetson [2020] QCA 214 Kemp v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 30 SENTENCE – IMPRISONMENT R v MacDonald [2015] QCA 253 Greenwood v Tom [2016] QDC 196	20/18 74/20 19/21 97/15 76/16	Council appealed adequacy of sentence Displaying foods past their use-by date (back to inde Effect of sentence on family, consequences must be exceptional Petrol drive-off, had made arrangements to pay for fuel but forgot to return. (back to inde Whether "imprisonment" in s 9(12) of the P&SAct should be read as actual imprisonment or include wholly suspended imprisonment and imprisonment with immediate parole Imprisoning a defendant on appeal that is otherwise at liberty Whether to declare the day of sentence as time

SENTENCE – INDIGENOUS

Churnside v The State of Western Australia [2016] WASCA 146	1/17	Over representation of Indigenous persons in the Criminal Justice System
<i>Goodman v Commissioner of Police</i> [2017] QDC 252	97/17	Social disadvantage, particularly in indigenous communities. <i>Bugmy v The Queen</i> (2013) 249 CLR 571
<i>R v JPG</i> [2019] QChC 10	53/19	Sentence discretion miscarried by perceived
		prevalence of offending of Indigenous youths
SENTENCE – INTERSTATE IMPRISONMENT (RELEVA	NCE)	(back to index
AMD v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 22	31/19	Application of s9(2)(k) Penalties and Sentences Act. Regard to be had to sentences imposed on, and served by, the offender in another State or a Territory for an offence committed at, or about the same time, as the offence with which the court is dealing
SENTENCE – LAND CLEARING		(back to index
Hill v Holeszko [2017] QDC 35	41/17	Contravening s 578(1) of the Sustainable Planning Act 2009
SENTENCE – LEAVING A CHILD UNDER 12 UNATTEN	IDED	(back to index)
AJM v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 25	32/19	4 year old child left in car in car park
SENTENCE – LIQUOR		(back to index
<i>Fourmile v Queensland Police Service</i> [2016] QDC 182	68/16	Possession of liquor in a restricted area. Community Service Order imposed in place of fine.
<i>Thimble v Queensland Police Service</i> [2016] QDC 190	73/16	Possession of liquor in a restricted area. Fine imposed had little or no deterrent effect. See <i>Callope v Senior Constable B Elsey</i> (Unreported, Qld District Court, White DCJ, Cairns, 8 March 2005). See [23] – [26].
Bulmer v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 197	77/16	Possession of liquor in a restricted area. Magistrate allowed general deterrence to overwhelm the defendant's personal circumstances. See [20] re prevalence of alcohol-fuelled violence in the local restricted area.
Noble v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 295	5/17	Possession of liquor in a restricted area. Fine imposed reduced. See <i>Callope v Senior Constable B</i> <i>Elsey</i> (Unreported, Qld District Court, White DCJ, Cairns, 8 March 2005); <i>Thimble v Queensland Police</i> <i>Service</i> [2016] QDC 190; and <i>Bulmer v Queensland</i> <i>Police Service</i> [2016] QDC 197.
Street v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 60	39/18	Possession of liquor in a restricted area. See <i>Callope</i> v Senior Constable B Elsey (Unreported, Qld District Court, White DCJ, Cairns, 8 March 2005).
SENTENCE – MAXIMUM PENALTY		(back to index)
Ross v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 315	7/16	Approach to follow where there has been an increase in the maximum penalty
Komar v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 79	45/16	Maximum penalty imposed
Bird v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 49	46/21	Sentence imposed exceeding maximum penalty

SENTENCE – MENTAL IMPAIRMENT	E A / 4 E	(back to index
Shelley v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 218	54/15	Sentencing mentally impaired offenders. See <i>R v</i> <i>Goodger</i> [2007] QCA 377.
SENTENCE – MENTAL IMPAIRMENT – DETERRENCE	:	(back to inde
R v Stephens [2017] QCA 173	84/17	Verdins principles referred to. See [21]. See also [22] reference to <i>R v Goodger</i> [2007] QCA 377: a mental disorder short of insanity may lessen the moral culpability of an offender and so reduce the claims of general or personal deterrence upon the sentencing discretion
ROV v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 324	14/18	Impaired mental functioning. General deterrence was of little relevance. See [16] – [18]. See <i>R v</i> <i>Goodger</i> [2007] QCA 377
Bye v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 74	45/18	Magistrate referred to importance of general deterrence without moderating due to psychiatric condition. See [22]. Referred to Verdins principles.
<i>McClintock v Commissioner of Police</i> [2020] QDC 147	46/20	Magistrate considered the limited relevance of deterrence where there is a mental disorder. See R v Yarwood [2011] QCA 367. Whether a personality disorder could constitute mitigating circumstances that engage the "Verdins" principle not considered.
SENTENCE – MENTAL IMPAIRMENT – EVIDENCE		(back to index
<i>RJD v Queensland Police Service</i> [2018] QDC 147	64/18	See [34] - [39]. See <i>LJS v Sweeney</i> [2017] QDC 18. See also <i>R v Goodger</i> [2009] QCA 377: reduction of penalty where relevant psychiatric evidence affecting and related to the offending.
Dawkins v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 161	68/18	See [54] – [56]. Insufficient material placed before court re defendant suffering a psychiatric illness in terms of <i>R v Goodger</i> [2009] QCA 377; <i>R v Yarwood</i> [2011] QCA 367; <i>R v Verdins</i> [2007] 16 VR 269; and <i>Muldrock v The Queen</i> [2011] HCA 39.
NVZ v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 216	92/18	See [50] – [54]. No compelling evidence that the defendant's mental health was connected to offending. See <i>R v Goodger</i> [2009] QCA377 and <i>R v Verdins</i> [2007] 16 VR 269.
Holden v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 217	94/18	See [34] – [35] and [57]. Lack of evidence about the defendant's mental health at sentence. See <i>R v Goodger</i> [2009] QCA 377; <i>R v Neumann; ex parte A-G</i> [2007] 1 Qd R 53; <i>R v Yarwood</i> (2011) 200 A Crim R 497; <i>R v Tsiaras</i> [1996] 1 VR 398 and <i>R v Verdins</i> (2007) 16 VR 269.
SENTENCE – MENTAL IMPAIRMENT – RECORDING		TION (back to index
Hurley v The Commissioner of the Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 297	9/18	Recording of a conviction. See [149], [155] – [158]. See <i>R v Tsiaras</i> [1996] 1 VR 398; <i>R v Verdins</i> [2007] VSCA 102; <i>R v CBQ</i> [2016] QCA 125; and <i>R v Rix</i> [2014] QCA 278.
Ahmad v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 212	70/20	Useful example of the impact the <i>Verdins</i> principles have on the exercise of the discretion to record a conviction.

R v Bowley [2016] QCA 254	105/16	Relevance of mental abnormality not amounting to
	200,20	a defence and voluntary intoxication alcohol/drugs,
		referred to the Verdins principles: [33], [34], [40]
SENTENCE – OBSTRUCT POLICE		(back to inde
CSN v The Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC	43/19	Obstruct police, manifest excessiveness, error of
43		fact as to the assessment of criminality as "amongst
		the most serious of obstruct police charges"
SENTENCE – PARITY		(back to inde
George v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	34/15	See [38] – [46]. Lowe v The Queen (1984) 154 CLR
163		606; R v Edwards [2013] QCA 216
Young v White [2016] QDC 159	67/16	Role in conduct. See [46] – [47] and [55] – [56]. See
		Lowe v R [1984] 154 CLR 606 and Clarke-Davis v
		Commissioner of Police [2014] QDC 61.
Burmeister v Queensland Police Service [2017]	106/17	Consider the details of charges, mitigating factors
QDC 278		and aggravating circumstances. See [10] – [11] and
		[15] – [16]
<i>R v MCP</i> [2018] QCA 154	58/18	Distinguishing features
Beardsley v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC	49/20	Cox v The Queen (1991) 55 A Crim R 396: sufficient
172		discrepancy between sentences
SENTENCE – PARITY – CUMULATIVE		(hook to inde
Snyder v Detective Sergeant SR Carr [2016] QDC	60/16	(back to index Cumulative sentences and parity
153	00/10	cumulative sentences and parity
SENTENCE – PAROLE		(back to index
Gibson v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC	106/16	Combined Parole / Probation order under s92(1)(a)
264	100/10	deferring reporting to 2 business days post release
204		rather than immediate release on probation. See
		Sysel v Dinon & Ors [2002] QCA 385. See [15].
Bye v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 74	45/18	Ordering parole on last day of sentence
Smallwood v Queensland Police Service [2011]	24/21	Likelihood defendant will be required to serve
QDC 43	24/21	entirety of sentence
Hemmett v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	2/22	A prisoner is still serving a term of imprisonment
318	2/22	even if on parole and the parole order is suspended
SENTENCE – PAROLE – CANCELLATION		(back to inde
Maclure v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	55/18	Relevance of criminal history, parole cancellation s
122		205 parole eligibility date, cumulative sentencing
Douglas v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 229	81/21	Relevant consideration at sentence
SENTENCE – PAROLE – CUMULATIVE SENTENCING		(back to inde
Turnbull v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 36	28/16	Cumulative sentences setting a parole date. See R v
	-	Herbert [2013] QCA 62 at [16].
Maclure v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	55/18	Relevance of criminal history, parole cancellation s

SENTENCE – PAROLE – DELAYS

Stuurman v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 80	53/21	Delays with Parole Board considering parole applications
<i>R v Jason</i> [2021] QCA 151	63/21	Example of the Court of Appeal considering delay in
		consideration of parole applications (that was not
		raised at the original sentencing hearing) and
		weighing that issue with other factors that supported the imposition of a parole eligibility date
R v Eru-Guthrie [2021] QDC 174	69/21	Incorrect assumption that the defendant would be
	09/21	considered for parole when eligible meant
		sentencing discretion miscarried as sentence could
		not be given effect due to circumstances not known
		to the court at the time of sentence
Burnett v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 251	83/21	Impact of Parole Board delays on fixing the appropriate parole eligibility date
ENTENCE – PAROLE - OFFENDING ON PAROLE		(back to inde
Chevathen v Queensland Police Service [2016]	2/17	Offending while on a suspended parole order, fixing
QDC 270		a parole release date
Addo v Senior Constable Jacovos [2016] QDC 271	3/17	Offending while parole suspended whether parole
		eligibility date or parole release date
The Queen v Hall [2018] QSC 101	40/18	Effect of imposing a sentence for an offence
		committed while on parole
ENTENCE – PAROLE – SETTING DATE		(back to inde
Lannigan v The Commissioner of Police [2015]	45/15	Setting a parole eligibility date on a fresh sentence,
QDC 192		should be proportionate
Murray v The Queen [2015] QDC 219	53/15	Setting a parole eligibility date. Error in applying s60F <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992
Chevathen v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 270	2/17	Offending while on a suspended parole order, fixing a parole release date
Trott v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 165	77/18	Reopening and correcting error about parole release
		date and eligibility
ENTENCE – PAROLE – SETTING DATE – HALFWAY A	AND BEYC	OND (back to inde
<i>Williams v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2019] QDC 86	60/19	Parole release date set on the full-time date of sentence
Hemmings v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 172	74/21	Requirement to give reasons
Pamtoonda v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	80/21	Parole release date set at halfway point rather than
207		one third. See [53] – [58]. See also <i>R v Nagy</i> [2004] 1 Qd R 63.
ENTENCE – PAROLE – REASONS		(back to inde
	73/19	Failure to give reasons for deferring a parole eligibility date
BAB v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 118		
BAB v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 118 ENTENCE – PAROLE – SECTION 60F PENALTIES AND	D SENTEN	
	D SENTEN 53/15	

R v Degn [2021] QCA 33	22/21	Application of s 160F of the Penalties and Sentences Act 1992, does not "[prescribe] any sentencing methodology."
SENTENCE – PAROLE – TOTALITY		(back to index
CBC v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 3	18/19	Imprisonment resulting in parole eligibility date had a "crushing effect". See [36] – [37].
SENTENCE – PENALTIES AND SENTENCES ACT 1992		(back to index
R v Townshend [2021] QCA 106	51/21	Construction of s. 9 of the <i>Penalties and Sentences</i> <i>Act 1992</i> and the usefulness of authorities where there was a different statutory regime in force
SENTENCE – PIN CODES		(back to index
Ross v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 99	52/18	Contravening order about information necessary to access information stored electronically
Ross v Commissioner of Police [2019] QCA 96	42/19	Appeal against CM Note 52/18. Appeal dismissed.
<i>Calvet v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2020] QDC 161	43/20	Sentence of imprisonment – contravening order about information necessary to access information stored electronically.
SENTENCE – PLEA OF GUILTY		(back to index
R v Haddou [2019] QDC 152	7/20	No allocutus administered
SENTENCE – PLEA OF GUILTY – TAKEN INTO ACCOU	NT	(back to index
<i>TZL v QPS</i> [2015] QDC 171	36/15	State how plea of guilty taken into account
Roll v QPS [2015] QDC 296	95/15	<i>R v Safi</i> [2015] QCA 13 and <i>R v Taki</i> [2015] QCA 60 in
		relation to the obligation imposed by s 13(3)
		Penalties and Sentences Act 1992 to state in open
		court how plea of guilty is taken into account
Andrews v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 89	47/18	Magistrate erred in not stating how guilty plea was taken into account. See R v Safi [2015] QCA 13. See [4] – [5] and [22] – [23].
Russell v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 102	41/16	Whether sufficiently taken into account
Williamson v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 56	48/19	Failure to explain how a plea of guilty is taken into account pursuant to s13(3) of the <i>Penalties and Sentences Act 1992</i>
SENTENCE – PLEA OF GUILTY – SENTENCE REDUCTION	ON	(back to index
Smalley v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 322	13/17	Magistrate erred by not stating in open court that the plea of guilty had been taken into account and did not provide reasons for reducing sentence upon the plea of guilty. See [21] and [37] – [38].
SENTENCE – PLEA OF GUILTY – WEIGHT		(back to index
Gavey v Mellor [2015] QDC 282	90/15	Weight to be given to a plea of guilty
Nielson v Radcliffe (Constable) [2016] QDC 213	81/16	See [20] – [21]. Magistrate failed to deal with the pleading in open court or give sufficient weight to plea of guilty. See <i>The Queen v Mallon</i> [1997] QCA 058.

SENTENCE – POLICE OFFICER

(back to index)

ROV v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 324	14/18	Computer hacking and misuse / police computer, range of sentences
<i>Punchard v Commissioner of Police</i> [2020] QDC 211	67/20	9 x using a restricted computer without consent and cause or intend to cause detriment, damage or gain. See <i>Hughes v R</i> [2014] NSWCCA 15 per Hall J Overturned by Court of Appeal [2021] QCA 166
<i>Commissioner of Police v Punchard</i> [2021] QCA 166	72/21	9 x use of restricted computer without consent and cause or intend to cause detriment, damage or gain (appeal from 67/20)
SENTENCE – PRESENTENCE CUSTODY		(back to index)
R v Byriel [2016] QDC 43	32/16	Pre-sentence custody declaration
<i>R v NT</i> [2018] QCA 106	48/18	Taking into account pre-sentence custody
<i>R v OCS</i> [2019] QChC 9	52/19	Sentencing Magistrate incorrectly informed as to level of pre-sentence custody
Stuurman v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 80	53/21	Consideration to be given to the overall sentence
The Queen v Whitely [2021] QSC 154	54/21	Consideration of the construction of s159A(1) of the <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992
SENTENCE – PRESENTENCE CUSTODY – CERTIFICA	ATE	(back to index)
<i>TZL v QPS</i> [2015] QDC 171	36/15	Pre-sentence custody certificate
SENTENCE – PRESENTENCE CUSTODY – NON-DEC	LARABLE	(back to index)
<i>R v Lappan</i> [2015] QCA 180	63/15	Giving effect to non-declarable pre-sentence custody in relation to the effective head sentence
<i>R v McCusker</i> [2015] QCA 179	6/16	Allowance for non-declarable pre-sentence custody.
<i>R v Carter</i> [2016] QSC 86	47/16	Non-declarable pre-sentence custody
R v Houkamau [2016] QCA 328	12/17	Reducing the head sentence to take into account

<i>R v Houkamau</i> [2016] QCA 328	12/17	Reducing the head sentence to take into account
		non-declarable pre-sentence custody
The Queen v Whitely [2021] QSC 154	54/21	Consideration of the construction of s159A(1) of the
		Penalties and Sentences Act 1992

SENTENCE – PRISON HARDSHIP		(back to index
<i>R v KAX</i> [2020] QCA 218	78/20	Effect of the restrictions imposed on prisoners as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic
SENTENCE – PROBATION		(back to index
R v Naidu [2019] ODC 94	62/19	Application to revoke probation order pursuant to

	02/19	ss122 and 120 <i>Penalties and Sentences Act 1992</i> ; whether discretion to record or not record a conviction under s12 of the PSA is available upon re- sentence on revocation of a probation order
SENTENCE – PROBATION – COMBINATION ORDER		(back to index)
Skinner v The Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC	56/16	Probation order with suspended sentence
138		
Gibson v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC	106/16	Combined Parole / Probation order

SENTENCE – PROBATION – CONSENT	

264

-	-	-	-				
			6				
MEGV	Commi	ccinner	· nt Pr	lice	20171	QDC 302	
IVILOV	COMMIN	SSIUTICI	0110			QDC 302	

12/18 Defendant's consent

-	Defendant's consent
59/19	Requirement to seek child's willingness to do
	probation; method to seek such consent;
	requirement to consider restorative justice process
	(back to index
1/22	Appeal against conviction and sentence for
•	knowingly carrying on the business of providing
	unlawful prostitution
101/15	(back to index
101/15	Magistrate erred in stating, "the default position is that convictions are recorded." Held to be
	appellable error. See R v Cay, Gersch and Schell ex
	parte Attorney-General (Qld) [2005] QCA 467. See
	also [3] and [7].
74/16	Magistrate erred in acting on mistaken belief as to
	starting point for sentencing which had potential to
	affect the balance of considerations.
33/19	Relevance to determination if a sentence is
	excessive. Thompson v State of Queensland [2009]
	QDC 242.
62/21	Magistrate relied heavily on impermissible
	aggravating features when assessing the appellant's
	character which resulted in error
ΔΙΤΗ	(back to index)
	Whether the magistrate erred in not discharging
- 1 -	under s 19B Crimes Act 1914 without recording a
	conviction
79/18	s 19B Crimes Act 1914 (Cth). See Commissioner of
	Taxation v Baffsky [2001] NSWCCA 332.
TORY	(he data index)
-	(back to index) Do not allow the criminal history to overwhelm or
75/21	unduly infect the sentencing discretion
	,
	(back to index)
79/21	Possession of a dangerous drug (cocaine)
т	(back to index)
	Defendant studying for a real estate licence
	Employment considerations
42/21	Blue card – impact on employment
	Medical profession. APRHA guidelines indicated that
	mere finding of guilt on the three charges may be
	sufficient to affect participation in the medical
	profession
	21.22
I – PRINCI	PLES (back to index)
J/4 ⊑	Employment on uncipting principles in a (2/2)
2/15	Employment, enunciating principles in s 12(2) Penalties and Sentences Act 1992
	33/19 62/21 ALTH 25/16 79/18 5TORY 75/21 75/21 79/21 T 54/16 34/21

Weston v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 221	55/15	Employment, See R v Cay, Gersh and Schell; ex parte
		Attorney General Queensland [2005] QCA 467:
		authority for the proposition that the offer of work
		is more than a mere chance of employment. s 12(2)
		Penalties and Sentences Act 1992,
SENTENCE – RECORD CONVICTION – MENTAL HEAL	тн	(back to index
Hurley v The Commissioner of the Queensland	9/18	Relevance of Verdins principles
Police Service [2017] QDC 297	- / -	
SENTENCE – RECORD CONVICTION – OPPORTUNITY	TO BE HE	ARD (back to index)
Opitz v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 293	93/15	Defendant's solicitor did not make and was not
		invited to make submissions about the recording of
		a conviction and requested to be heard after
		sentence. Impact on employment and Family Court
		proceedings. Judicial officer should invite
		submissions prior to any determination. See [11] –
		[14] and [31].
Greenwood v Tom [2016] QDC 196	76/16	Requirement to hear parties as to recording of a
	, 10	conviction. See [39] – [40].
AHL v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 176	71/17	s 179(2) of the Domestic and Family Violence
And V commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 170	/ 1/ 1/	Protection Act 2012. Opportunity to be heard. See R
		v Cunningham [2005] QCA 321.
ENTENCE - RECORD CONVICTION - PREVIOUS COM	VICTION	S (back to index
Embleton v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC	9/17	See [8] – [17]. Defendant had a very dated previous
282		offending with no previous conviction. No evidence
		placed before the court in relation to the
		defendant's economic or social wellbeing or chances
		of finding employment. See R v Siler [2003] QCA
Spencer v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 273	104/17	217. s 47(5) <i>Justices Act</i> 1886 – Notice of prior conviction
<i>R v Everett</i> [2018] QCA 248	39/19	Relevance of previous convictions
Taylor v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC	62/21	•
144	02/21	Child criminal history where no conviction recorded
ENTENCE – RECORD CONVICTION – REASONS		(back to index)
Thrush v The Commissioner of the Queensland	85/15	Must provide reasons for recording a conviction, See
Police Service [2015] QDC 272	, =•	The Queen v Dodd [2010] QCA 31.
Payne v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 294	102/15	No consideration of s 12(2) Penalties and Sentences
	,	<i>Act</i> 1992. See <i>R v Cay; Ex parte A-G (Qld)</i> (2005) 158
		A Crim R 488.
Poile v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 61	41/18	No reasons were given by the Magistrate in
	/ 10	recording a conviction.
Faircloth v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC	66/21	Magistrate did not state reasons for recording a
205		conviction
	ON	
ENTENCE – RECORD CONVICTION - REHABILITATIO		(back to index
	DN 46/18	(back to index) Consider impact of conviction for certain offences
SENTENCE – RECORD CONVICTION - REHABILITATIO		(back to index)

49/21 OFFENDE 10/21	No error is exercise of discretion, poor prospects of rehabilitation and criminal history R (back to index) Reportable offender within the meaning of the Child Protection (Offender Reporting and Offender
	Reportable offender within the meaning of the Child
10/21	Reportable offender within the meaning of the Child
	Prohibition Order) Act 2004.
	(back to index)
27/17	Recording convictions for traffic matters
	(back to index)
34/15	See [63] – [66]. <i>R v Bell</i> [1982] Qd R 216; <i>R v Molina</i> (1984) 12 A Crim R 76
74/15	Allowance for rehabilitation
18/18	Relevance of a defendants tentative steps towards rehabilitation
16/21	Important aspect of sentencing distraction to assist defendant to rehabilitate
	(back to index)
8/21	Clear factual error of substance
24/16	(back to index)
	Restitution when actual imprisonment is imposed. Section 35 Penalties and Sentences Act.
	Restitution where imprisonment is ordered
·	Awarding restitution in circumstances where there was no power to do so
76/20	Hierarchy of enforcement options under the State Penalties Enforcement Act 1999
	(back to index)
55/19	Restitution different from compensation; procedural
·	fairness in sentencing and considering compensation order
60/21	Restitution different from compensation; see ss35
	and 36 Penalties and Sentences Act 1992. Where
	order made necessary to articulate orders with
	reference to statutory provision
	(back to index)
67/16	Bandidos at Broadbeach mall.
	(back to index)
43/17	Biting (2 officers), assault occasioning bodily harm,
	crushed officer's glasses
46/18	Punched police officer in the jaw. Recording conviction
68/18	Kicked a police officer in the eye, spat at police
	74/15 18/18 16/21 8/21 24/16 45/16 10/20 76/20 55/19 60/21 60/21

Fuller v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 96	58/21	Police require protection of the courts – require sentences that act as a personal and general deterrent
SENTENCE – SERIOUS ASSAULT – SPITTING		(back to index
Ross v Commissioner of Police [2013] QDC 315	107/15	Spitting on face of police officer – not into mouth
Burke v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 328	1/16	Spitting on face of police officer – intoxicated
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC	6/18	Spitting at police officer
310		
Dawkins v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	68/18	Kicked a police officer in the eye, spat at police
161		officer
SENTENCE – SERIOUS ASSAULT – SPITTING – AMBU		FICER (back to index
Greenwood v Tom [2016] QDC 196	76/16	Spitting in the face of an ambulance officer
	/0/10	
SENTENCE – SERIOUS ASSAULT – SPITTING – BUS D	RIVER	(back to index
Harris v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 27	19/18	Public officer (spitting on a bus driver)
SENTENCE – SERIOUS ASSAULT – SPITTING – CIVILI	AN	(back to index
Williams v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC	38/15	Spitting common assault - civilian
168		
SENTENCE – SEXUAL OFFENCES		(back to index
R v Devenish [2016] QDC 236	94/16	s 9(4) Penalties and Sentences Act 1992 whether
	•	committed 'in relation to a child'.
Braga v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 48	42/18	Indecent assault. Short term of imprisonment
		wholly suspended not outside sentencing discretion.
The Commissioner of Police v Lloyd-West [2018]	66/18	Indecent treatment of a child under 16
QDC 153		years, grooming a child under 16. Too much weight
		given to complainant child's consent. Premeditation
		and persistence. See <i>R v Clifford; ex parte A-G (Qld)</i>
		[2006] QCA 492 and <i>GAF v QPS</i> [2008] QCA 190.
Andersen v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 23	21/20	Sexual assault. Defendant and complainant now
		known to each other, early plea of guilty, letter of apology, no criminal history in past 30 years. See
		Braga v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 48; R v
		Harper [2002] QCA 107; <i>R v Goodman</i> [2016] QCA
		56; <i>R v Bradford</i> [2007] QCA 293 and <i>R v SDF</i> [2018]
		QCA 316.
Jenkins v Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 289	96/21	Sexual assault. While the complainant was asleep,
	•	the applicant touched her buttocks, pulled down her
		underwear, took photos, and touched her thighs.
		Held on appeal that magistrate erred in finding he
		had little insight. Actual imprisonment reduced to
		suspension after time served.
SENTENCE – SEXUAL OFFENCES – EXCEPTIONAL CIR	CUMSTAN	VCES (back to index
<i>R v GAW</i> [2015] QCA 166	62/15	Meaning of "exceptional circumstances". See $R v$
	,	Gallagher; ex parte Attorney-General (Qld) [1999] 1
		Qd R 200, <i>R v Pham</i> [1996] QCA 3; <i>R v Quick; ex</i>

	588 at 590 [8] and R v Tootell; ex parte Attorney- General (Qld) [2012] QCA 273. See [53] – [54].
69/15	Meaning of "exceptional circumstances". See <i>R v</i> <i>Quick; ex parte Attorney-General</i> (Qld) (2006) 166 A Crim R 588 and <i>R v Tootell; ex parte Attorney-</i> <i>General</i> (Qld) [2012] QCA 273. See [29] – [36].
29/16	Exceptional circumstances, low level offending s 9(4),(5) and (6) of the <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992
82/18	Exceptional circumstances. See <i>R v Tootell ex parte</i> <i>Attorney-General</i> [2012] QCA 273. Note: On 7 December 2018 the Court of Appeal upheld an appeal against this decision reported as <i>R v GBD</i> [2018] QCA 340
	(back to index)
48/16	Incest, relationships, validity of charge – adopted brother and sister. See [21] – [23] by virtue of s28 of the <i>Adoption of Children Act 1964</i> the defendant was in the eyes of the law, the natural brother of the complainant and the charge was valid. See <i>R v Stanley</i> (1903) 23 NZLR 378, <i>R v Campbell</i> [1968] TASSR 38, <i>R v R, WD</i> (2005) 91 SASR 522.
)	(back to index)
16/17	Sexual Assault. Magistrate made no express reference to the requirements of s 9(2)(a) <i>Penalties</i> <i>and Sentences Act</i> 1992 (imprisonment as a last resort)
)	(back to index)
97/16	Whether s9(4)(b) <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992 has retrospective operation (imprisonment as a last resort)
	(back to index)
36/17	Failing to have clean-up costs insurance by shipowner
	(back to index)
62/16	Stalked female gym instructor
	(back to index)
6/15	Activating suspended sentences
56/16	Probation order with suspended sentence
99/17	Suspended sentence activated cumulatively, totality
	29/16 82/18 48/16) 16/17) 97/16) 97/16 36/17 36/17 62/16

Tierney v Commissioner of Police [2020] QDC 4	16/20	Length of restraining order. Definition of "personal injury"
SENTENCE – TOTALITY		(back to index)
<i>George v Queensland Police Service</i> [2015] QDC 163	34/15	See [47] – [49]: totality principles. <i>Mill v R</i> (1988) 83 ALR 1
Spizzirri v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 222	57/15	Where the Magistrates Court sentences an offender previously sentenced by a higher court on other charges after committing the offence before the magistrate. Magistrate failed to address considerations of totality.
CXS v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 205	82/17	See [25] and <i>Mill v R</i> (1988) 166 CLR 59. Magistrate started too high in imposing penalty and erred in relation to totality.
<i>Moore v Queensland Police Service</i> [2018] QDC 192	80/18	See R v Williams [1995] QCA 522; Postiglione v The Queen (1997) 189 CLR 295; and R v Hill [2017] QCA 177. Magistrate did not apply totality principle to the bottom of the sentence imposed to ensure not crushing.
<i>R v Degn</i> [2021] QCA 33	22/21	Need for just and appropriate sentence that is not crushing. See [10] – [11]. See also <i>Postiglione v The</i> <i>Queen</i> (1997) 189 CLR 295 and <i>R v Baker</i> [2011] QCA 104.
SENTENCE – TOTALITY – CUMULATIVE		(back to index)
Nielson v Radcliffe (Constable) [2016] QDC 213	81/16	Cumulative disqualification orders. See [37]. See Santillan v The Queensland Police Service [2008] QDC 33 in relation to the making of cumulative disqualification orders and the totality principle.
SAE v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 254	99/17	Suspended sentence activated cumulatively, totality
<i>Pearce v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2019] QDC 12	22/19	Where cumulative sentence imposed. Magistrate reduced the sentence and period spent in custody prior to parole eligibility to avoid it being a crushing sentence.
Age v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 169	47/20	Section 156A Penalties and Sentences Act 1992. Application of totality principle when imposing cumulative sentence.
SENTENCE – TOTALITY – EXISTING SENTENCE		(back to index)
Green v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 341	19/16	See [23] – [26]: must take into account any existing sentence so that the total period is adequate and takes into account the totality of the criminality
Smalley v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 322	13/17	Offender serving an existing sentence. See [14]. See <i>Mill v R</i> [1988] 166 CLR 59: a judicial officer is required to review the aggregate sentence and consider whether it is just and appropriate.
PFM v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 210	83/17	Totality when offender serving an existing sentence, contravention of a domestic violence order
Hoger v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 145	62/18	See [46]. See <i>R v Hill</i> [2017] QCA 177: combined effect of an original sentence and a sentence imposed in relation to a later offence should not create a "crushing" burden on the defendant.

Norwood v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC	70/18	Totality where there is an existing sentence.
170		Sentencing judge must take into account any
		existing sentence.

SENTENCE – TOTALITY – FINES		(back to index)
Eastwood v The Commissioner of Police [2015]	41/15	Fine reduced to reflect offences of the same nature
QDC 182		committed on the same day.
Waterloo Car Centre Pty Ltd v Commissioner of	64/17	Totality principle applies to fines. See Sgroi v R
Police [2017] QDC 149		(1989) 40 Australian Criminal Reports 197.

SENTENCE – TOTALITY – PAROLE		(back to index)
Heydt v The Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC	51/17	Determination as to the parole eligibility date as
104		part of the overall determination of a just sentence
<i>Pearce v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2019] QDC 12	22/19	Where cumulative sentence imposed. Magistrate reduced the sentence and period spent in custody prior to parole eligibility to avoid it being a crushing sentence.

SENTENCE – TOTALITY – PRESENTENCE CUSTODY		(back to index)
Komar v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 79	45/16	See <i>R v Kendrick</i> [2015] QCA 27. See [10] – [11]. Magistrate did not consider an undeclarable period of time served.
NVZ v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 216	92/18	See [65]. Sentence moderated to take into account total time spent in custody.
AMD v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 22	31/19	Principle in <i>Mill v The Queen</i> (1988) 166 CLR 59. See also <i>R v Beattie; Ex parte Attorney-General</i> (2014) 244 A Crim R 177. See [25] and [28]. Take into account time spent in custody interstate.

SENTENCE – TRESPASS		(back to index)
Newell v Weston [2015] QDC 158	30/15	Compliance with s 634 Police Powers and
		Responsibilities Act 2000
North v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC	47/15	Banned from entering a chemist – threatening
207		/harassing behaviour towards employees
Hendrey v Bowdern [2017] QDC 240	96/17	Entered yard of a dwelling
Cleret v Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC 20	29/19	Whether defendant remained on property
EH v QPS; GS v QPS [2020] QDC 205	64/20	Trespass when obstructing a railway
SENTENCE – TRESPASS – PROTEST		(back to index
Avery & Ors v Queensland Police Service [2019]	28/19	Protest at a port
QDC 21		
EH v QPS; GS v QPS [2020] QDC 205	64/20	Trespass when obstructing a railway
SENTENCE – UNCHARGED CONDUCT		(back to index
<i>R v BCY</i> [2015] QCA 200	74/15	Taking into account on sentence
SENTENCE – UNLAWFUL USE OF A MOTOR VEHICL	F	(beskte index
Rongo v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 258	101/17	(back to index Reliance on circumstance of aggravation
Tobin v The Commissioner of Police [2019] QDC	51/19	Principles concerning regard to be had to the
52		circumstances of an offence but being precluded
		from sentencing an offender for an offence as if

guilty of an circumstance of aggravation, or more	
serious offence	

SENTENCE – VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENT		(back to index
<i>R v BCY</i> [2015] QCA 200	74/15	Uncharged conduct
R v Smith [2016] QCA 9	10/16	Use in sentencing
Wagstaff v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 344	21/17	Victim impact statement inconsistency with facts
SENTENCE – WORKPLACE		(back to index
Williamson v VH & MG Imports Pty Ltd [2017] QDC 56	44/17	Breach of s 32 of the <i>Work Health and Safety Act</i> 2011
Steward v Mac Plant Pty Ltd and Mac Farms Pty Ltd [2018] QDC 20	28/18	Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and the Work Health and Safety Regulation 2011
Guilfoyle v Wild Breads Pty Ltd [2021] QDC 58	31/21	Breach of duty under s19 Work Health and Safety Act by exposing a worker to a risk of death or serious injury contrary to s32
SENTENCE – YOUNG ADULTS		(back to index
Tooth v The Commissioner of Police [2021] QDC 326	3/22	Reminder that all the circumstances of a case, including youthful age and personal circumstances of a defendant, need to be considered when imposing a penalty
R v Tilling [2022] QCA 5	7/22	Discusses balancing mitigating circumstances (ie youth) with aggravating features such as offending whilst on bail for a domestic violence offence and a commercial possession of a Schedule 1 drug
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT		(back to inde
Doolan v Commissioner of Police [2014] QChC 9	14/15	See [17]. Imprisonment likely to expose youth to corrupting influences.
KPC v Queensland Police Service [2017] QChC 12	92/17	Whether s 108B of the <i>Penalties and Sentences Act</i> 1992 applies to a child
<i>R v MG</i> [2018] QDC 194	82/18	See <i>R v Dullroy and Yates</i> [2005] QCA 219 re: sentencing youthful offenders. Note: On 7 December 2018 the Court of Appeal upheld an appeal against this decision reported as <i>R v GBD</i> [2018] QCA 340
<i>R v NMQ</i> [2019] QChC 6	46/19	Proper reflection of criminality, same sentence for each offence without declaring a global penalty; special circumstances in relation to release on detention
MOJ v The Queen [2019] QChC 45	17/20	Consideration of the time spent in detention
GJY v The Queen [2020] QChC 1	17/20	Importance of ensuring a sentence reflects the criminality of the offending
ARR v The Commissioner of Police [2020] QChC 8	28/20	The penalty to convict and not further punish is not available under the YJA
<i>R v HCG</i> [2021] QCA 200	94/21	Reminder of the importance of the application of the sentencing principles in the <i>Youth Justice Act</i>

SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – COMMITTED ON BAIL

(back to index)

<i>R v S; R v L</i> [2015] QChC 003	4/15	Offence committed on bail, s59A Youth Ju 1992	stice Act
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – COMMUNITY SE		DER	(back to index
<i>R v OPR</i> [2019] QChC 17	5/20	Sentencing magistrate did not enquire as willingness to comply community service 194 of the YJA	
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – DETENTION			(back to index)
<i>EW v QPS</i> [2015] QDC 308	105/15	Juvenile offender, detention order, undes short custodial orders	
<i>R v SCR</i> [2017] QCA 60	48/17	Detention for a child – special circumstand rule, s 227(2) Youth Justice Act 1992	ces 70%
Vaevae v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 66	43/18	Youthful offender, imprisonment as a last Recording a conviction.	resort.
<i>R v GBD</i> [2018] QCA 340	13/19	Sentencing as adult or child – Transitional Regulation. Imprisonment likely to expose to corrupting influences. See <i>R v Dullroy; I</i> <i>Attorney-General (Qld)</i> [2005] QCA 219.	e a youth
JBZ v The Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions [2020] QChC 23	57/20	Sufficient reasons required when finding a order the only available sentencing option	
		л	
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – DETENTION – D EKJ v Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions [2020] QChC 21	57/20	General deterrence, imprisonment as a la	(<mark>back to index)</mark> st resort
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – DETENTION – N	IENTAL DI	SABILITY	(back to index)
R v Neuman (a pseudonym) [2021] QCA 13	29/21	Mental disability as a mitigating factor	(,
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – FIRST OFFENCE			(back to index)
<i>R v Kelley</i> [2018] QCA 18	24/18	Youthful first offender	
<i>R v Hamstra</i> [2020] QCA 185	68/20	See [24] per Sofronoff P re considerations	that a
	00, 20	Court should have regard to before decidi	
		order a youthful first offender to serve a s	-
		period of actual custody	
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – INDIGENOUS			(back to index)
<i>R v SCU</i> [2017] QCA 198	88/17	Indigenous offender, role of Community J Group	
<i>R v JPG</i> [2019] QChC 10	53/19	Sentence discretion miscarried by perceiv prevalence of offending of Aboriginal your	
<i>R v MXD</i> [2019] QChC 12	58/19	Pre-sentence custody, age of the child and the Community Justice Group	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – INTENSIVE SUPI			(back to index)
LRM v The Queen [2019] QChC 3	35/19	Conditional Release Order discharged and Intensive Supervision Order imposed, Cus	

Intensive Supervision Order imposed. Custodial order held to be excessive where Intensive Supervision Order not canvassed in presentence report or with defendant child.

<i>R v MCP</i> [2018] QCA 154		
	58/18	Parity in sentencing. See <i>Lowe v The Queen</i> (1984) 153 CLR 606. Distinguishing features between conduct.
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – PRESENTENCE		(back to index)
<i>R v MKL</i> [2016] QCA 249	104/16	Child, length of a probation order, taking into
<i>R v OCS</i> [2019] QChC 9	F2/10	account non-declarable pre-sentence custody
<i>K V OCS</i> [2019] (ChC 9	52/19	Sentencing Magistrate incorrectly informed as to amount of pre-sentence custody
MOJ v The Queen [2019] QChC 45	17/20	Consideration of the time spent in detention
<i>R v KRM</i> [2020] QChC 4	26/20	Whether time in pre-sentence detention was adequately taken into account
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – PROBATION		(back to index)
R v MKL [2016] QCA 249	104/16	Child, length of a probation order, taking into
		account non-declarable pre-sentence custody
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO	ONVICTION	(back to index)
<i>R v MKM</i> [2018] QCA 233	83/18	See [22]. Prima facie a conviction should not be recorded. See <i>R v SCU</i> [2017] QCA 198. See factors under s184 <i>Youth Justice Act</i> 1992.
MSE v The Office of the Director of Public	57/20	Recording of a conviction is not a mathematical
Prosecutions [2020] QChC 22		exercise. See <i>R v SCU</i> [2017] QCA 198 and <i>R v TAO</i> [2020] QCA 4.
<i>R v MDD</i> [2021] QCA 235	92/21	Consideration by COA of the principles and exercise of the discretion to record a conviction under YJA
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO	ONVICTION	- EMPLOYMENT (back to index)
EMR v Commissioner of Police [2016] QChC 6	85/16	See R v Cunningham [2014] QCA 88; R v WAJ [2010] QCA 87; R v Cay, Gersch and Schell; ex parte A-G
		<i>(Qld)</i> [2005] QCA 467. See [28] – [37]. Prospects of rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected.
MBD v Commissioner of Police [2016] QChC 8	102/16	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely
MBD v Commissioner of Police [2016] QChC 8	102/16	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not
MBD v Commissioner of Police [2016] QChC 8	102/16	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty
		rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment.
MBD v Commissioner of Police [2016] QChC 8 SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO R v Michael (a pseudonym) [2021] QCA 140		rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment. - PERIOD OF OFFENDING (back to index) Offending occurred over a short period, a recorded conviction ought not to be recorded in
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO R v Michael (a pseudonym) [2021] QCA 140	ONVICTION 59/21	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment. - PERIOD OF OFFENDING (back to index) Offending occurred over a short period, a recorded conviction ought not to be recorded in circumstances
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO R v Michael (a pseudonym) [2021] QCA 140 SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO	ONVICTION 59/21 ONVICTION	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment. - PERIOD OF OFFENDING (back to index) Offending occurred over a short period, a recorded conviction ought not to be recorded in circumstances - PREVIOUS OFFENDING (back to index)
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO	ONVICTION 59/21	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See <i>R v WAJ</i> [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment. - PERIOD OF OFFENDING (back to index) Offending occurred over a short period, a recorded conviction ought not to be recorded in circumstances
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO R v Michael (a pseudonym) [2021] QCA 140 SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO	ONVICTION 59/21 ONVICTION	rehabilitation and employment would be adversely affected. See R v WAJ [2010] QCA 87. Uncertainty surrounding future employment. Magistrate did not take into account effect on rehabilitation and employment. - PERIOD OF OFFENDING (back to index) Offending occurred over a short period, a recorded conviction ought not to be recorded in circumstances (back to index) - PREVIOUS OFFENDING (back to index) History of like offending. See R v SCU [2017] QCA 198. Considerations in ss183 and 184 Youth Justice

SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RECORDING CO			(back to index
IAU v The Queen [2018] QChC 10	86/18	See [15]. Child should be given oppor	•
		on rehabilitation and reintegration in	
		community without the burden of a c	
		Magistrate did not state reasons for r conviction.	ecording a
<i>R v DBU</i> [2021] QCA 51	40/21	Consequences of recording a conviction	on, steps
		towards rehabilitation taken	
ENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – REHABILITATIO	N		(back to inde
Russell v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 183	78/18	Youthful offender with limited crimin	al history and
		promising prospects of rehabilitation	. See <i>R v Lovi</i>
		[2012] QCA 24; R v Mules [2007] QCA	47; and <i>R v</i>
		Horne [2005] QCA 218. Mitigation for	disclosure of
		offence. See AB v The Queen (1999) 1	98 CLR 111.
<i>R v HBV</i> [2019] QCA 21	25/19	Disadvantaged upbringing; intellectu	al disabilities;
		recent insight and efforts at rehabilita	ation
ENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – RESTORATIVE J	USTICE		(back to inde
<i>R v LN</i> [2018] QChC 027	2/19	Youth Justice, Restorative Justice Ord	ers, enter
		premises and commit indictable offer	nce, 14 yrs, no
		criminal history	
R v AN [2018] QChC 029	4/19	Restorative Justice Orders s 162 of YJ	Act
MLN v The Queen [2018] QChC 32	16/19	Requirement to consider restorative	justice
<i>R v TWL</i> [2019] QChC 5	45/19	Mandatory consideration of restorati	ve justice and
		requirement for the consideration to	be noted on
		the record	
<i>R v PBD</i> [2019] QCA 59	47/19	Unlawful grievous bodily harm; juven	ile defendant;
		failure to consider restorative justice	referral under
		s162(2) of the Youth Justice Act	
<i>R v RLP</i> [2019] QChC 11	59/19	Requirement to seek child's willingne	ss to do
		probation; method to seek such cons	ent;
		requirement to consider restorative j	ustice process
<i>R v BWR</i> [2019] QChC 15	70/19	Requirements to consider factors wh	en declining a
		restorative justice order; requiremen	t that a child
		indicate willingness to comply with a	probation
		order that is sought to be imposed	
R v ASR [2019] QChC 16	71/19	Failure to consider the offence for a r	estorative
		justice process	
<i>R v MBD</i> [2019] QChC 43	17/20	Importance of considering restorative	e justice
		processes; consideration of the time	spent in
		detention	
SENTENCE – YOUTH JUSTICE ACT – SECTION 150			(back to inde
R v GN [2018] QChC 028	3/19	Magistrate did not apply the sentenc	
• •	•	s150 of the <i>Youth Justice Act</i> 1992	5
<i>R v JPL</i> [2019] QChC 4	44/19	Requirements of s150 of the Youth Ju	stices Act 1992
	•	considered. See R v SCU [2017] QCA	

SERVICE

(back to index)

Leyden v Venkat [2015] QDC 028	1/15	s 39A(1)(c) <i>Acts Interpretation Act</i> 1954 - adequate service
STALKING		(back to index)
<i>Grott v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 142	24/15	Constructing fake social media profiles
Ralph v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 206	50/15	Whether particularised acts amount to stalking
Porter v Queensland Police Service [2016] QDC 335	17/17	Proof of elements and sentence
<i>Tierney v Commissioner of Police</i> [2020] QDC 4	16/20	Conduct proved to be intentionally directed at the complainant permitted a conclusion that it was a genuine apprehension which reasonably arose in the circumstances.
STRANGULATION		(back to index)
<i>R v HBZ</i> [2020] QCA 73	18/20	Clarification of the definition of "chokes"
SUSTAINABLE PLANNING ACT 2009		(back to index)
Hill v Holeszko [2017] QDC 35	41/17	Contravening s 578(1) of the <i>Sustainable Planning</i> Act 2009
McDonald v Holeszko [2018] QDC 204	7/19	Carrying out assessable development without an effective development permit
Logan City Council v Brookes [2020] QDC 24	72/20	Carrying out assessable development without an effective development permit
Powe v David Hansen on behalf of Logan City Council [2021] QDC 12	18/21	Carrying out assessable development without an effective development permit, failing to comply with a government approval, failing to comply with an enforcement notice
TRAFFIC		(back to index)
<i>Giffin v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2015] QDC 81	12/15	Driving with a dealer plate
Sheldrick v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 140	23/15	Time served for licence suspension between charge and conviction
Van Der Walt v Winston [2015] QDC 303	99/15	Driving a vehicle that was not unsafe but defective
Lea v Snajdara [2016] QDC 353	26/17	Failure to provide specimen of breath for analysis
Branch v Commissioner of Police [2019] QCA 19	27/19	Unlawful edge filtering
TRAFFIC – DISQUALIFICATION OF LICENCE		(back to index)
Walsh v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 273	86/15	Removal of absolute disqualification
Cassidy v Gooch [2015] QDC 275	88/15	Matters to be considered on disqualification
Slivo v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 46	34/16	Removal of licence disqualification
Hopper v Commissioner of Queensland Police [2016] QDC 210	89/16	Application to remove an absolute disqualification
TRAFFIC – DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE		(back to index)
McCurley v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 80	47/17	Being in charge of a motor vehicle
Harvey v Queensland Police Service [2017] QDC 310	6/18	Whether magistrate should have excluded certificate of analysis
Harvey v Queensland Police Service & Director of Public Prosecutions (Queensland) [2019] QCA 5	19/19	Discretion to admit BAC certificate where non compliance

90/21	Statutory penalty is a relevant factor in fixing the
	appropriate penalty
	(back to index
	(back to index) Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed
	Inadvertently hit police car. 15 prior speeding
30/19	convictions
1/21	Meaning of "due care and attention"
1/21	
	(back to index
91/15	Collision while changing lanes
60/18	Intersection with a give-way sign
	Intersection with a stop sign
-	Intersection with a stop sign
	Fail to give way to a bicycle rider – failed to stop at
00, 10	the scene of the crash
	(back to inde>
19/15	Whether stopped vehicle as soon as reasonably
	practicable
46/15	Fail to stop at red light. Raised emergency and
	mistake defences
11/17	Whether Briginshaw v Briginshaw applies to a
	defence in s 756(4) Police Powers and
	Responsibilities Act 2000
88/18	s 756(4) Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000
88/18	s 756(4) Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000 defence
88/18 54/20	
-	defence
-	defence Fail to stop at yellow light
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index
-	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out"
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index
54/20 39/16 61/15	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle
54/20	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle Whether defendant was driver. Direct evidence to
54/20 39/16 61/15	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle Whether defendant was driver. Direct evidence to link the infringement notice and the alleged offence. Admissibility of staged video and traffic experts
54/20 39/16 61/15 83/16 37/19	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle Whether defendant was driver. Direct evidence to link the infringement notice and the alleged offence. Admissibility of staged video and traffic experts report
54/20 39/16 61/15 83/16	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle Whether defendant was driver. Direct evidence to link the infringement notice and the alleged offence. Admissibility of staged video and traffic experts report Exceed limit more than 40km/hr. Practical
54/20 39/16 61/15 83/16 37/19	defence Fail to stop at yellow light (back to index See [13]: if "in failing to stop the driver took action to avoid being intercepted, then the failure to stop as soon as reasonably practicable in circumstances in which a reasonable person would stop, would be made out" (back to index Collision with vehicle while overtaking at high speed on motorcycle Whether defendant was driver. Direct evidence to link the infringement notice and the alleged offence. Admissibility of staged video and traffic experts report
	ENTION 61/15 30/19 1/21 91/15 60/18 2/18 91/18 38/19 19/15 46/15 11/17

TRAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – DELEGATION		(back to index)
Embelton v Scrivener [2017] QDC 5	34/17	Whether delegation validly delegated power to certify exhibits

Attorney-General for the State of Queensland v	32/15	Photo detection, validity of s 114 of the <i>Transport</i>
Morris & Anor [2015] QCA 112	40/45	Operations (Road Use Management) Act 1995
Al Shakarji v The Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 176	43/15	Radar device
Cooper v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 214	86/17	Speed camera Vitronic Poliscan model FM1, Adequacy of notice to challenge
Neucom v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 244	1/18	Laser based detection device
RAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – DEVICES	– LIDAR	(back to inde
Prus-Butwilowicz v Winston [2016] QDC 232	93/16	Operation of LIDAR device
RAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – DEVICES	– TRUCA	M (back to index
Crossman v The Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 265	82/15	Accuracy of TruCam speed camera system
Rotar v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 209	1/19	TruCAM laser speed detection device. Whether properly calibrated.
RAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – EVIDENC	E	(back to inde
Crossman v Queensland Police Service [2019] QDC 132	2/20	Effect of sections 124(1) and 124(4) and (5) of the TORUM
Crossman v Queensland Police Service [2020] QDC 122	37/20	Speed detection device calibration: s 120(2A) of the TORUM and s 210F of the Regulation
RAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – INTENTIO	אר	(back to inde
Queensland Police Service v Morris [2016] QMC 5	63/16	s 23 Criminal Code Act 1899 defence raised – held not to be available as a defence where not the driver. See [15].
IRAFFIC – DISOBEYING THE SPEED LIMIT – SERVICE		(back to inde
Da Costa v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 38	31/16	s 19(3) State Penalties Enforcement Act 1999 In the absence of evidence that the defendant had been served with a copy of the declaration the prosecution must fail.
FRAFFIC – FAIL TO KEEP LEFT OF A DIVIDING LINE		(back to index
Mizikovsky v QPS [2018] QDC 249	11/19	Motorcycle. Magistrate engaged in cross- examination. See See Yuill v Yuill [1945] P 15 and Jones v National Coal Board [1957] 2 QB 55. Section 24 not considered by the Magistrate.
<i>Mizikovsky v Commissioner of Police</i> [2021] QCA 22	21/21	Exception under section 139(2) places the burden on the defendant to prove existence of exception. See Vines v Djordjevitch (1955) 91 CLR 512. Note: appeal following remitting original appeal (11/19) to Magistrates Court
Hamilton v Queensland Police Service [2021] QDC 60	41/21	Defendant claimed lack of memory, not supported by medical evidence
IRAFFIC – HELMETS		(back to inde

<i>Commissioner of the Queensland Police Service v</i> <i>Gough</i> [2015] QDC 254	68/15	Jurisdiction to order return of impounded vehicle. Differences between impoundment, forfeiture and immobilisation.
TRAFFIC – INTERSTATE HISTORY		(back to index)
Elliott v Queensland Police Service [2015] QDC 90	11/15	Interstate history outside of the preceding 5 year period. No previous Queensland traffic history.
TRAFFIC – UNLICENSED DRIVING		(back to index)
Austin v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 41	36/18	Holder of interstate licence: meaning of reside
Austin v Commissioner of Police [2017] QDC 159	66/17	Repeat unlicensed driver, whether interstate licence authorised driving, whether resident of Qld
<i>Parr v Department of Transport and Main Roads</i> [2020] QDC 153	40/20	Special hardship order, stay of suspension
<i>Edwards v Commissioner of Police</i> [2021] QDC 194	65/21	Relevant consideration of community protection in sentencing for the offence of unlicensed driving
<i>Bel-Gttiba v Commissioner of Police</i> [2021] QDC 155	64/21	Summary of the operation of s. 123C of the <i>Transport Operations (Road Use Management) Act</i> 1995.
UNLAWFUL USE OF MOTOR VEHICLE		(back to index
Malayta v Queensland Police Service [2018] QDC 37	31/18	Elements of the offence, whether proof of a mental element required
UNLICENSED BUILDING WORK		(back to index
Mar v Queensland Building & Construction Commission [2017] QDC 304	13/18	Unlicensed building work
WEAPONS		(back to index
Rule v Commissioner of Police [2016] QDC 64	40/16	Whether circumstance of aggravation must be pleaded in charge
Banks v Commissioner of Police [2018] QDC 232	5/19	Unlawful possession of a prohibited weapon (whether a fishing knife is a weapon)
<i>Commissioner of Police v Broederlow</i> [2020] QCA 161	38/20	Imposition of mandatory minimum penalties for possession of weapons
WEAPONS – FOREITURE		(back to index
<i>Fraser v The Commissioner of Police</i> [2017] QDC 116	55/17	Forfeiture of weapons and ammunition
WEAPONS – RECORDING A CONVICTION		(back to index
Opitz v Commissioner of Police [2015] QDC 293	93/15	Recording a conviction
<i>Glenn Jon Williams v Queensland Police Service</i> [2019] QDC 275	24/20	Recording of a conviction
WILFUL DAMAGE		(back to index
Paul Olaf Grajewski v Director of Public Prosecutions (NSW) [2019] HCA 8	34/19	Requires alteration to the physical integrity of the property, even if only temporarily

WILFUL EXPOSURE

(back to index)

Winston v QPS [2015] QDC 306	103/15	"wilful" and "reasonable excuse"
WORKCOVER		(back to index
McLean v Workers' Compensation Regulator [2021] QDC 22	20/21	Defrauding or attempting to defraud WorkCover
Walia v The Workers Compensation Regulator [2021] QDC 235	89/21	Defrauding or attempting to defraud workers' compensation
WORKPLACE		(back to index
Morley v QBCC [2017] QDC 95	50/17	Breaches of the <i>Queensland Building and</i> Construction Commission Act 1991
Reynolds v Orora Packaging Australia Pty Ltd [2019] QDC 31	36/19	Fail to consult workers about a matter: <i>Work, Health</i> and Safety Act 2011 s47(1)
Connors v Wilmar Sugar Pty Ltd [2019] QDC 73	57/19	Prosecution appeal against the dismissal of a complaint: Work, Health and Safety Act 2011
Guilfoyle v J Hutchinson Pty Ltd [2021] QDC 221	70/21	Amendment of a complaint: Work, Health and Safety Act 2011
YOUTH JUSTICE		(back to index)
WTM v Commissioner of Police [2019] QChC 2	26/19	Arrest, section 13 of the Youth Justice Act