132. Trafficking in Dangerous Drugs: s 5

132.1 Legislation

[Last reviewed: December 2024]

Drugs Misuse Act 1986 (Qld)

<u>Section 5</u> – Trafficking in dangerous drugs

Section 4 – Definitions

Section 129 – Evidentiary provisions

132.2 Commentary

[Last reviewed: December 2024]

The Defendant must have:

- (1) Carried on the business of unlawfully trafficking in;
- (2) A dangerous drug.

Section 4 of the *Drugs Misuse Act 1986* (Qld) defines 'unlawfully' as 'without authorisation, justification or excuse by law'. Section 4 also defines 'dangerous drug', with reference to the *Drugs Misuse Regulation 1987* (Qld), schedules 1 and 2. Sections 4A, 4AA, and 4BA of the *Drugs Misuse Act 1986* (Qld) are also relevant to what is a 'dangerous drug'.

'Trafficking' includes selling. Typically, the trafficking will be selling. But 'trafficking' is of wider import, meaning 'knowingly engaging in the movement of drugs from source to ultimate user' (see *R v Elhusseini* [1988] 2 Qd R 442, [450]).

To establish trafficking it is necessary to show a regularity of drug dealing sufficient to establish that it occurred in the course of a business which might be regarded as trafficking (see *Martin v Osborne* (1936) 55 CLR 367, 376; R v Kelly [2005] QCA 103, [7]).

132.3 Suggested Direction

[Last reviewed: December 2024]

(The suggested direction is tailored to situations of 'selling'. It should be modified to fit the relevant conduct of the defendant).

It is a crime to carry on a business of unlawfully trafficking in a dangerous drug. [Drug name] is a dangerous drug. 'Trafficking' includes selling. Here there is no suggestion that the defendant was selling [drug name] lawfully. So the critical question is whether the Defendant was carrying on a business of selling [drug name] at some time between the dates, and at the place, mentioned in the indictment.

What does the expression 'carrying on a business' connote in this context?

Generally speaking, a single sale may be proved to have been carried out in such circumstances as to show that it was a part of the carrying on of a business. However, mere occasional sales of the drug could not amount to the carrying on of a business of selling it. 'Carrying on a business' for present purposes signifies much more than a few isolated transactions. The expression connotes a continuous course of conduct engaged in to obtain a reward of a commercial character. Proof of the carrying on of a business therefore requires the prosecution to establish several transactions done for gain over more than a brief interval. Repetition of acts, and activities of a commercial nature possessing something of a permanent character, are hallmarks of a business being carried on. But the person does not need to intend to trade indefinitely before that person can be said to be carrying on a business. The venture does not have to be profitable before it may fairly answer the description 'business'.

The reward does not need be money. For example, an addict could carry on a business though the only reward is drugs for personal consumption. And it is hardly to be expected that a person who carries on an illicit trade [in the drug/s in question] would establish shop premises, have business cards, or advertise. It is not essential to the identification of a venture as a business that it have more than one customer. Some businesses of their nature will have more than one customer: for example, the local grocer. Others may not.