PRACTICE DIRECTION NO 6 OF 2000

ANNEXURE 'A'

The purpose of this questionnaire is to collect information which is useful in developing a case management plan for the particular case and in the management of the Supervised Case List. It is not a formal court document and answers are not binding for the future.

When you have filled in the form, choose Save As from the File Menu and save the document onto your PC. To submit the form to the court you can either attach the completed document to an e-mail and send it to supcasemanager@justice.qld.gov.au or fax it to (07) 3247 5316.

		v			
			No		of
1.	What is	your current best estimate of the ler	igth of a	trial of this cas	e (or group)?:
		1 – 5 days		10 – 2	0 days
2.	What is	your current best estimate of how m	uch mor	ney is at issue?	:
		\$250,000 - \$500,000		\$500,000 - \$1	,000,000
		\$1,000,000 - \$5,000,000		\$5,000,000 - \$	\$10,000,000
		\$10,000,000 +			
3.	What ca	ategory best describes this case (sel	ect no m	ore than two)?:	:-
		construction dispute		contract dispu	ite
		fraud/misrepresentation (including	Trade Pi	ractices Act)	
		money claim negligence		personal injur	у
		professional negligence		none are appl	licable
4.	Identify	two key issues to the resolution of the	ne disput	e:	
		basis of calculation of damages		construction of	of contract
		credibility		differences in	expert opinion
		quantum of damages		none is applic	able
5.	(a)	What has been done to date to res	olve this	dispute short of	of trial?:
		settlement conference	media	tion 🗌	case appraisal

	(b)	Should	ould a:-								
		settlement conference		ion	n 🗌 case appraisa		ppraisal				
	be:-		now	[later			not at a	all	
6.	Is the ca	se fully	constitu	uted? ie:							
	All partie	s to be	joined a	are joine	d:				Yes		No
	The plea	dings a	re close	ed:					Yes		No
	Notices of	claimin	g contrik	oution are	e filed	and se	ved:		Yes		No
	Third par	rty plea	dings c	ompleted	l.				Yes		No
	There is	no kno	wn intei	ntion to a	mend:	:			Yes		No
	There ar	e no ou	ıtstandiı	ng reque	sts for	particu	lars:		Yes		No
7.	Has cons	siderati	on beer	n given to	makii	ng an o	ffer of s	ettleme	nt purs	uant to t	the rules?:
				Yes			No				
8.	Has cons 293?:	siderati	on beer	_	apply	ving for		ry judgr	nent un	der rule	e 292 or
				Yes			No				
9.	(a)	Is discl	osure c	completed	d?:						
				Yes			No				
	(b)	Is it int	ended t	o pursue	non-p	arty dis	closure	?:			
				Yes			No			Uncert	ain
	efficien is addre and ele electro	tly in bo essed t ectronic nic vers	oth the phe bette disclossions of	preparati er. It can ure. In a documer	on and comm pprop nts. Ti	d trial st nence v riate ca here is	ages. The ses, the an infor	The soo electror court of mation	ner the nic exch can be p pamphl	issues nange of provided et on th	
10.	(a) the par		ere more	e than a t	total 5	00 disc	overable	e docun	nents b	etween	
	•			Yes				No			
	If yes:		_								
	(b)	(i)	has co	nsideration?:	on bee	en giver	n to the	electror	nic exch	nange of	f
				Yes				No			

	(ii)	If yes	, state the posit	ion briefly:		
	(iii)	If no,	why not?:			
(c)	(i)	docur	consideration nents or other a Yes , state the posit	aids at trial?:	to the electronic m	anagement of
			why not?			
			not to be manaç form order num		ally, should there be a	direction in
			Yes		No	
				-	e contentious items? as of standard form or	
			Yes		No	
Is the of 501?:	case or	any asp	ect of it suitable	e for referral to	a special referee pur	suant to rule
			Yes		No	
			Uncertain		Have not considere	ed it

11.

12.

13.

14.	Is the ca	Is the case or any aspect of it suitable for an order for a separate decision on a									
question or questions pursuant to rule 483?:											
				Yes		No					
				Uncertain		Have not considered it					
15.	(a)	ls a no	tice to	admit pursuant to rule	189 cor	ntemplated?:					
				Yes		No					
	- OI	R –									
	(b)	been g									
				Yes		No					
16.	(a)	Will the	ere be a	any opinion (expert) ev	vidence	(including from a party)?:					
				Yes		No					
	(b)	If ves	should	the court consider ann	nointina	an expert pursuant to rule 425?:					
	(5)	11 y 00,		Yes		No					
				Uncertain		Have not considered it					
		_									
(c) Should there be d				be directions in terms	of short						
				Yes		No					
17.	Should	Should there be provisions for witness statements to go to the parties prior to the trial in									
	the form	n of stan	dard fo	rm order number 22?:							
				Yes		No					
18.	Should each party provide to the other(s) a written outline of contentious issues and										
	identify relevant authorities and statutory provisions?:										
				Yes		No					
19.	Is the	matter r	eady fo	or trial?:							
				Yes		No					
	If no, when will it be ready for trial?:										