160.1 Legislation

[Last reviewed: March 2025]

Criminal Code

Section 119 – Definitions for ch 16

Section 123 – Perjury

<u>Section 123A</u> – Perjury – contradictory statements

160.2 Commentary

[Last reviewed: March 2025]

The Defendant must have:

- (1) In any judicial proceeding, or for the purpose of instituting any judicial proceeding;
- (2) Knowingly;
- (3) Given false testimony;
- (4) Touching any matter which is material to any question then depending in that proceeding, or intended to be raised in that proceeding.

For contradictory statements see s 123A of the *Criminal Code*.

Materiality

For those interested in the argument whether the question of materiality is one of law (for the judge) or one of fact (for the jury), see: (*R v Lewis* (1914)10 Tas LR 48; *R v Davies* (1974) SASR 375; *R v Traino* (1987) 45 SASR 473; *R v Scaffidi- Gennario* [2002] WASCA 46; Smith v The Queen (1999) WA CCA 183 of 1997; *R v Millward* [1985] 1 All ER 859; *R v Balan* (2006) QCA 463; *R v Deemal* (2009) QCA 131; *Re Terrence Mellifont* CA No. 76 of 1990; Mellifont v A-G (1991) 173 CLR 289; *R v Dobos* (1984) 13 A Crim R 306; Christianos v Young (1990) 2 WAR 303).

The suggested direction treats the question of materiality as one for the judge and the jury. That is, it is for the judge to decide whether the matter is capable of being material, and for the jury to decide whether the matter is in fact material.

It is for the prosecution to provide particulars of and prove:

1. The matter that the false statement is relevant or related to; and

2. The question pending or intended to be raised in the proceeding.

The test to be applied by the judge to determine whether the matter is material to the question pending, or to be raised, in the proceeding is whether the matter is relevant to, and is capable of, directly or indirectly, affecting the decision on any such question.

Knowingly

The false testimony must have been given deliberately; a Defendant does not knowingly give false testimony if it is given inadvertently, or by mistake or while confused (see R v Lowe [1917] VLR 155).

Meaning of 'judicial proceeding'

A 'judicial proceeding' includes any proceeding had, or taken in, or before any, court, tribunal or person, in which evidence may be taken on oath (see s 119 of the *Criminal Code* and R v Deemal [2010] 2 Qd R 70).

160.3 Suggested Direction

[Last reviewed: March 2025]

The prosecution must prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the Defendant gave false testimony.

The false testimony relied on is [as particularised by the prosecution].

(If appropriate): The Defendant argues that the testimony was not false because [insert argument/s here].

You cannot convict the Defendant of this offence unless you are satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that what [he/she] testified about was in fact false.

<u>Secondly</u>, the Defendant *knowingly* gave the false testimony.

The false testimony must be given deliberately. The Defendant does not knowingly give false testimony if it is given inadvertently, or by mistake or while confused.

<u>Thirdly</u>, the false testimony was given [in any or for the purpose of instituting] any judicial proceeding.

A judicial proceeding includes any proceeding had or taken in or before any court, tribunal or person in which evidence may be taken on oath.

In this case there is evidence that [example: the Defendant was lawfully sworn as a witness to give testimony in a court/tribunal/Commission].

<u>Fourthly</u>, the false testimony touched [i.e., was relevant, pertained or related to] any matter which is material to any question [then depending on or intended to be raised] in that proceeding.

The matter the false testimony touched [i.e., was relevant, pertained or related to] is [describe the matter].

A question in a proceeding [which includes an issue in the proceedings] is one which arises, but is not necessarily to be determined, in the proceedings.

The question or issue [then depending on or intended to be raised] in the proceeding was [describe the question or issue].

The matter is 'material' to any question [then depending on or intended to be raised in the proceeding] if it is relevant to and is capable of, directly or indirectly, affecting the decision.

Materiality is concerned with the significance of the false statement made and not the significance a true statement would have had.

So, for this element, the prosecution must prove:

<u>First</u>, the false testimony was relevant to or did pertain or relate to the matter of [describe the matter]; and

<u>Secondly</u>, the matter was material to the question or issue of [describe the question or issue] that was [then pending or intended to be raised] in the proceeding.