DISTRICT COURT OF QUEENSLAND

PRACTICE DIRECTION NUMBER 1 OF 2006

REPEALS PRACTICE DIRECTION NO 3 OF 2004

RECORDING DEVICES IN COURTROOMS: DISTRICT COURT

- 1. Practice Direction 3 of 2004 is repealed.
- 2. Except with permission of the presiding Judge (and save, obviously, for recording by officers of the State Reporting Bureau under the *Recording of Evidence Act* 1962), any device capable of capturing or transmitting the proceedings of the court, aurally and/or visually, is not to be used for that purpose in a court room where proceedings are being conducted.
- 3. If the presiding Judge grants permission for an electronic device, such as a laptop computer, personal digital assistant, or similar device, to be used during proceedings the device must be muted, so that calls, alerts or alarms do not interrupt the proceedings. Should such a device interrupt proceedings, the bailiff may take possession of the device, should the presiding Judge require that.
- 4. Mobile phones, pagers or devices that communicate via a cellular network must be switched off throughout court proceedings.
- 5. In the event of breach, the bailiff may take possession of the device and delete any recording, should the Judge require that.

Chief Judge PM Wolfe 8 August 2006

<u>Note</u>

The reason for the issue of this Practice Direction is as follows: When devices which use a cellular network, such as a mobile phone or a Blackberry, communicate via that network, there is substantial resultant interference with the recording of proceedings by the State Reporting Bureau. Often, devices will "poll" the cellular network in passive mode and cause interference even when not being actively used.